

Congressional Record

United States
of America I

proceedings and debates of the 117^{th} congress, second session

Vol. 168

WASHINGTON, WEDNESDAY, JUNE 8, 2022

No. 98

House of Representatives

The House met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Ms. MATSUI).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PROTEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,

I hereby appoint the Honorable DORIS O. MATSUI to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NANCY PELOSI, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 10, 2022, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties, with time equally allocated between the parties and each Member other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip limited to 5 minutes, but in no event shall debate continue beyond 11:50 a.m.

AND STILL I RISE: THOSE WHO TOLERATE GUN VIOLENCE PERPETUATE IT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GREEN) for 5 minutes.

Mr. GREEN of Texas. Madam Speaker, and still I rise. I rise today with a message of grave concern, especially for those who have suffered in Uvalde, Texas, with reference to the slaughter that took place there, the mass murders.

But I do have something that gives me some degree of hope. I have an open

letter that was published in The Dallas Morning News that I would like the share. I would like to read some excerpts from the letter. It is styled: "An Open Letter Supporting Senator JOHN CORNYN and Gun Safety Measures."

It reads in part:

We, the undersigned, are conservatives, mostly from Texas and our friends from other States, outdoor and gun enthusiasts. We vote for Republican Senators. We believe in the Second Amendment.

It goes on to read:

We are especially encouraged that Senator CORNYN is focusing on two vital ways to improve gun safety: red flag law and expanding background checks.

We are also hopeful that the momentum building for those two changes may also spur consideration of raising the age to purchase a gun to 21, which we believe is another important step in curbing gun violence.

They close with:

There are many of us across these great United States. We are organizing. Please join us.

I thank them, the conservatives, gun enthusiasts, and those who support Senators. I want to thank them for this open letter because this issue of gun violence is one that we have to have a collective response to. The politicians alone will not solve this problem.

I respect my colleagues and the political order. I happen to be one of them. But I know that there has to be some input from the influentials of the world, the chambers of commerce and the businesses communities.

So I encourage the business communities to do as these businesspersons have done: Take a stand, a public stand. Take a stand for justice. Take a stand for these children. Take a stand against mass murders. Please take a stand. Those who tolerate this perpetuate it.

THE CRISIS AT THE BORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from

Pennsylvania (Mr. JOYCE) for 5 minutes.

Mr. JOYCE of Pennsylvania. Madam Speaker, this past week, I joined a group of 12 of my colleagues to visit our southern border and to see first-hand the crisis that President Biden's open border policies have created.

What we saw were massive gaps in our border wall that allow for the cartels to traffic money, drugs, and human beings across our southern border. The Border Patrol agents whom we spoke with told us that in the Rio Grande sector that they are encountering nearly 10,000 migrants each month.

In the past year Border Patrol agents have apprehended people from 174 nations attempting to cross our border. And even more troubling, they have encountered 42 individuals on the terrorist watch list.

According to Border Patrol data, over 1,200 pounds of fentanyl were seized in the month of April alone, and at a time when we have just seen over 100,000 Americans killed by drug overdoses, this spike in fentanyl trafficking has made each and every State a border State.

It is time to address this crisis. It is time to finish our border wall—a wall that President Biden has refused to complete while \$350 million of unused border wall rust away underneath the Texas sun.

In his budget for this year, Joe Biden doesn't even mention the crisis on the border. Instead of giving our Border Patrol agents the tools that they need, President Biden has proposed to cut \$800 million from the Department of Homeland Security budget. If President Biden were to visit the border, he would see this crisis firsthand. But, instead, he has chosen to hide from the problem and continue to allow deadly drugs to flow into our communities and kill our citizens.

The American people can't afford to wait any longer. It is time for the

 \Box This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., \Box 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.



President to order the completion of the border wall and finally—finally keep all Americans safe.

REMEMBERING THE HONORABLE ELLA SCARBOROUGH

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. ADAMS) for 5 minutes.

Ms. ADAMS. Madam Speaker, I rise today to remember my friend who was a champion for the people of Charlotte, Mecklenburg County, and North Carolina; a trailblazer for Black women, especially those in public service; and sincere, authentic, and humble servant of God who always looked out for "the least of these": the Honorable Ella Butler Scarborough.

I include in the RECORD, Madam Speaker, a remembrance from her colleagues on the Mecklenburg County Commission that reads in part: "Commissioner Scarborough's passion was limitless, and her loss is immeasurable."

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

STATEMENT FROM THE MECKLENBURG BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS—ELLA SCAR-BOROUGH, CONGRESSIONAL RECORD

The Mecklenburg Board of County Commissioners was saddened to learn of the passing of Commissioner Ella Butler Scarborough.

Commissioner Scarborough was more than a colleague or public figure. Throughout her life, Commissioner Scarborough was a pillar in the Charlotte-Mecklenburg community who made an immense impact, especially on the lives of our most vulnerable and marginalized citizens.

Commissioner Scarborough was elected to the Mecklenburg Board of County Commissioners in 2014, and went on to serve as Chair from 2016 to 2018, making her the first African American woman to be elected to that position.

Prior to serving on the Board of County Commissioners, Commissioner Scarborough made history as the first African American woman to be elected to the Charlotte City Council, serving from 1987 to 1997 (district and at-large). She was also a statewide candidate for U.S. Senate in 1998 and ran for Charlotte mayor in 1999 and 2001. In 2008, Commissioner Scarborough served as a North Carolina delegate at the Democratic National Convention. In the 1960s, during her youth in South Carolina, she became known for her activity in the civil rights movement.

A fierce advocate for youth literacy, solutions to homelessness and equality, Commissioner Scarborough's tireless efforts and dedication to the community at-large was exemplary and instrumental in eliminating inequities that created challenges for many in our community.

Commissioner Scarborough was a member of Friendship Missionary Baptist Church. She was the devoted mother to two children and widow of Levern "Pete" Scarborough. She graduated from South Carolina State University with a degree in library science education and earned a master's degree from the University of Charleston in West Virginia in organizational leadership.

Commissioner Scarborough's passion was limitless, and her loss is immeasurable. Our prayers go out to her family, friends and the entire Mecklenburg County community that is a better place today due to her dedication.

Signed—The Mecklenburg Board of County Commissioners:

Chairman—George Dunlap, District 3. Vice Chair—Elaine Powell, District 1.

Pat Cotham, At-Large. Leigh Altman, At-large.

Wilhelmenia I. Rembert, Temporary At-Large.

Vilma D. Leake, District 2. Mark Jerrell, District 4. Laura Meier, District 5. Susan Rodriguez-McDowell, District 6.

Ms. ADAMS. Madam Speaker, Ella's loss is immeasurable in part because she was a veteran of the civil rights movement going all the way back to her youth in South Carolina. The consciousness and her conscience of that movement was seen in her work as a pillar of our community who fought for our vulnerable and marginalized.

She worked for equality and equity not only for Black Americans but for every community. She worked for the homeless and unhoused; she worked for youth literacy and public education.

Even as her health failed, she never stopped fighting.

Like many HBCU graduates from her generation, she made history. She was the first African-American woman elected to the Charlotte City Council and the first to serve as the chair of the Mecklenburg County Commission. Her leadership opened doors and inspired others. She encouraged other Black women to run and serve.

But Ella was the kind of person who was more concerned about the work she did than the history she made. She was a true child of the civil rights generation. She was the great-grandniece of the legendary Mary McLeod Bethune. Her entire family was involved in the movement.

When she was 17, she put her body and her life on the line to integrate a segregated movie theater in her community. Five years later, as a student at South Carolina State, she did the same at a bowling alley in Orangeburg. This time the activists were not so lucky. South Carolina Highway Patrol officers fired into the crowd of students—Ella's friends—killing 3 and injuring 10 times as many. Ella was spirited away out of danger by a member of the football team.

It is a blessing that Ella was spared that day to continue her fight. Even when she was jailed in the fight to end Jim Crow, she never stopped fighting, and she never forgot her blessings.

However, overcoming adversity and integrating segregated spaces was something she had done since the day she was born.

As Charlotte's Hannah Hasan wrote:

She has been fighting since birth. She was born premature; 3 pounds. At that time Black babies weren't guaranteed an incubator, so her little body had to fight just to exist.

Indeed, when her father convinced the hospital to admit baby Ella to the ICU in Sumter, she became the first Black baby in that space. Perhaps that miracle was why she was never afraid. It was a miracle.

But the greater miracle of Ella Scarborough was that after she counted her many blessings, she extended those blessings to others, both as a member of her faith home, Friendship Missionary Baptist Church, and then as an elected official and public servant.

Blessings are not just ours to have but to give.

That was the lesson that I hope we can all learn from Councilwoman, Commissioner, and Chairwoman Ella Scarborough as we honor and remember her today.

GETTING GUN CRIMINALS OFF THE STREETS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. McCLINTOCK) for 5 minutes.

Mr. McCLINTOCK. Madam Speaker, the mass shootings across our country cry out for solutions that work. The good news is we know what works, and we also know what doesn't work.

We have been passing gun control laws for more than 50 years. The number of American households with firearms has dropped significantly in those years.

So let me ask: Are things getting better or worse?

We know this from decades of experience: Gun control laws are extremely effective at disarming law-abiding citizens. They are completely ineffective at disarming criminals, madmen, and terrorists. They create a world where law-abiding citizens are defenseless and the gunman is king.

The day after the Uvalde massacre, a criminal with an AR-15-style rifle shot into a crowd that was gathered for a graduation party in Charleston, West Virginia. A woman with a concealed weapon shot him dead on the spot. Nobody else was hurt. Had that occurred on a school property or other so-called gun-free zone, the death toll could easily have been counted by the dozens.

The left scoffs at the notion that only a good guy with a gun can stop a bad guy with a gun.

Well, do they know of any other way? There is none.

The only question is how long it will take for that good guy with a gun to show up. It has often been said that the police can be there in minutes when seconds count. And what we found time and again is that even when the police get there, they don't always act.

Those who are directly threatened by a gunman are those who are in the best position to stop such an attack because they are there on the spot and their lives depend on it. And yet the left won't let them.

We think nothing of seeing an armed guard at a bank or a shopping mall. They are there to protect our money and our merchandise by killing bad guys with guns. Yet the left goes berserk when anyone suggests that we do the same thing to protect our children.

What makes the left believe gun laws will be any more effective at keeping

guns out of the hands of criminals than our drug laws have been at keeping drugs out of the hands of addicts?

The difference is that drug laws don't disarm law-abiding citizens from defending themselves, their children, and their fellow citizens. Gun laws do.

The President tells us that hardening our schools isn't the answer. Well, if he actually meant that, he ought to dismiss the Secret Service and open the front door of the White House and be sure to declare it a gun-free zone.

Of course, hardening vulnerable venues like schools works. There is a reason why 98 percent of mass shootings occur in so-called gun-free zones: There is nobody there to shoot back, and the criminals know that. The Buffalo shooter noted precisely that in his manifesto.

The good news is we know what works. Prosecuting gun criminals and putting them in prison until they are old and gray works. Yet woke district attorneys across the country refuse to do so. Executing murderers works. Yet the left has largely succeeded in all but abolishing the death penalty.

□ 1015

And protecting the right of responsible citizens to return fire works. It stops an estimated half million to 2 million crimes in this country every year.

The father of modern policing, Sir Robert Peale, often observed that the police are simply an extension of community; that, in reality, the community is the police and the police are the community. Every citizen, he said, has a right and duty to defend themselves and to uphold the law. The police are there, not to replace private citizens, but to support them.

So let me ask, is the surest path out of this violent chapter of our history the quixotic quest to get 400 million guns off the streets, or is it to get the criminals who use them off the streets? That is what we once did, before social justice replaced criminal justice.

We prosecuted gun criminals to the fullest measure of the law. We executed murderers. We identified the dangerously mentally ill and confined them so we could treat them. These measures worked until the left seized control of our cities and reversed them.

I think it is long past time to take those streets back, get the criminals and dangerously mentally ill off of them, and protect our children with the same resolve and force as we use to protect our money.

HONORING THE 100TH INFANTRY BATTALION OF HAWAII

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Hawaii (Mr. CASE) for 5 minutes.

Mr. CASE. Madam Speaker, I rise today with my colleagues to recognize and honor the selfless service of the Japanese Americans who served our country in World War II with the

famed 100th Infantry Battalion of Hawaii, or the One Puka Puka.

This year, the fabled 100th celebrates its 80th anniversary. The battalion was composed of 1,400 Americans of Japanese ancestry whose loyalty was questioned by our government following the attacks on Pearl Harbor and much worse. Although much of the U.S. public looked upon them with disdain and skepticism, these soldiers simply persevered. Their story is one of patriotism, tenacity, and courage.

Following the attacks on Pearl Harbor, and when anti-Japanese hysteria was at its peak, these young men raised their hands and demanded the right to defend America.

With the support of U.S. military officers and others in Hawaii and elsewhere, the Federal Government eventually created an all-nisei, or second-generation Japanese-American Army unit, the 100th Infantry Battalion.

Just before their deployment in August of 1943, the men of the One Puka Puka selected the motto "Remember Pearl Harbor" to reflect their anger at the attack on their country.

Although the cloud of racism and underlying uncertainty hovered over these brave Americans, their performance was nothing less than exemplary. In September 1943, the 100th arrived in North Africa, but soon transferred to central Italy, where it faced heavy combat. It eventually became known as the Purple Heart Battalion because of its high casualty rate.

One of its members and casualties was Captain Spark Matsunaga, who suffered grievous injuries in a mine field. He later became a Member of this hallowed House and, after that, a United States Senator, inspiring generations, including me, who was privileged to serve 3 years on his staff. His own story is just one of countless we can and should remember.

In August, 1944, and after a year of combat, the battalion integrated with the Japanese Americans of the 442nd Regimental Combat Team. They then continued to fight through Italy and France. The men of the 100th spent 20 months in Europe and fought in six campaigns across Italy and France. They received six Distinguished Service Crosses and earned three Presidential Unit Citations. Along with the 442nd, the 100th Infantry Battalion is recognized as the most decorated American unit in our history for its size and length of service.

The lineage and honors of the 100th and 442nd live on today and are preserved by the 100th Battalion, 442d Infantry, U.S. Army Reserve. Today, the unit is staffed with reservists from Hawaii, American Samoa, Guam, and Saipan. These men and women carry on the legacy of the soldiers that endured so much before them 80 years ago.

We all owe a debt of gratitude to groups like the Nisei Veterans Legacy and the Military Intelligence Service Education Society of Hawaii, and others, for keeping the 100th Battalion's legacy alive. They have all been critical in recognizing the sacrifices of our Japanese Americans to defend a country that questioned their lovalty.

On the walls of the National Japanese American Memorial, not far from our U.S. Capitol, the late Senator Spark Matsunaga's words still ring true today: "We believed a threat to this Nation's democracy was a threat to the American Dream and to all free peoples of the world."

Today, we renew our debt of gratitude to the Japanese Americans of the One Puka Puka. May they live forever in our hearts and minds.

RECOGNIZING LINDSAY HOLCOMB, JR., ON HIS 88TH BIRTHDAY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. Foxx) for 5 minutes.

Ms. FOXX. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize and wish a happy 88th birthday to an exemplary North Carolinian, Lindsay Holcomb, Jr., of Winstonsalem, North Carolina. It has been my honor and pleasure to know Lindsay for nearly 30 years, and I understand what a remarkable man he truly is.

After graduating from Duke University in under 4 years, he went on to serve in both the United States Army and National Guard. Later, he became president of Pine State Knitwear, a prominent textile manufacturer in Mount Airy, North Carolina.

Additionally, he served on the Board of Trustees for Northern Surry Hospital for three decades, and was chairman four of those years.

That is an impressive resume. But there is more, much more to his story. He served in pivotal leadership roles within the community as well and showed his generosity in many ways.

He and his late brother, Smith, funded a care wing at Arbor Acres, an independent living community in Winston-Salem, in honor of their mother who suffered from dementia. They also donated the waiting room in the Perry Clinic at Arbor Acres.

He is the kind of person who would give the shirt off his back to help someone in need, and his service to others speaks volumes about his character.

God bless you, Lindsay, and happy 88th birthday. Here is to many more.

RECOGNIZING THE SERVICE OF WILLIAM MORGAN, JR.

Ms. FOXX. Madam Speaker, I rise to recognize William Morgan, Jr., of Statesville, North Carolina. For the last 8½ years, he has served as a city council member and mayor pro tem of Statesville. In these two roles, he has excelled tremendously.

I have had the pleasure of knowing William for many years, and there is no question about how dedicated he is to serving others.

William, your tireless advocacy efforts, and record of accomplishments for the city of Statesville and its many

citizens has not gone unnoticed. Thank you for your service to our great State, and may God bless you and Tina as you enter this new chapter of your life.

IT IS TIME TO RE-EDUCATE WASHINGTON

Ms. FOXX. Madam Speaker, Washington needs to be re-educated on the meaning of "shall not be infringed."

The Second Amendment insulates the American people from the Federal Government exerting undue influence over them; otherwise known as infringement.

When the Federal Government acts in haste, the room for error is drastically compounded; as is the case with the Democrat gun control bills that are before this very body.

Let me be very clear: The horrific tragedies that occurred in Uvalde and Buffalo are truly heartbreaking. I continue to pray for our society and for the families of the men, women, and children who were senselessly murdered.

Before proposals are put on the table, however, this problem must be diagnosed in its entirety.

The Supreme Court has recognized the right of individual citizens to keep and bear arms under the Second Amendment.

Further, the Court specifically recognizes that the inherent right to self-defense is protected by the Second Amendment as well.

A study conducted in 2013 estimated that firearms are used in defense from half a million to 3 million times annually. Americans exercise their Second Amendment rights when protecting their family and property. It was not written so that one could go hunting.

As former Senator Tom Coburn once said, "It was to create a force to balance a tyrannical force here."

The Federal Government should not be in the business of issuing edicts that threaten the Second Amendment rights of Americans. It is a right that cannot be infringed.

GUN CONTROL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from the Virgin Islands (Ms. Plaskett) for 5 minutes.

Ms. PLASKETT. Madam Speaker, I have shared before that my father was for many decades a New York City police officer. He had to carry a firearm to protect himself and others, but was acutely aware of individuals on the street, often with guns illegally, who could harm him and those he was tasked to protect.

I do not oppose the right of American citizens to own guns, or intend to rob any constitutional rights. But, we all know that money, hatred, and power have used the Constitution to cover themselves from showing the real intent that they have, the love of greed, desire for power, ignorance, racism, hatred. That is what many are using that constitutional protection to cover themselves from.

Over 50 percent of gun violence is attributable to people who use handguns to commit suicide. The remainder of those deaths and injuries are largely homicide and murder, such as widespread street crime. Then there are mass killings, which have been on an uptick in recent years.

On May 24, in Texas, an 18-year old boy acted as a lone gunman in the second deadliest school shooting on record. Armed with a semiautomatic rifle and 375 rounds of ammunition, he entered Robb Elementary School, opened fire, and laid waste to 19 children and two teachers. The pervasiveness and regularity of such occurrences in the United States has reached epidemic levels.

In 2020, 45,222 Americans died of gun-

In 2020, 45,222 Americans died of gunrelated injuries, more than any other year on record. That is a 14 percent increase since 2019; a 25 percent increase since 2015; and a 43 percent increase since 2010. In this year alone, almost 19,000 people have already lost their lives; a number, evidenced by the events of the past couple of weeks, are only on the rise.

This weekend alone, over a dozen mass shootings took place: Buffalo, Texas, Philadelphia, South Carolina, Kentucky, Tennessee, Oklahoma, so many more. These mass killings are just the tip of the iceberg with respect to gun violence carnage in America.

Firearms are the leading cause of death for children and teens in this Nation. How many more children will be sacrificed before action is taken? How many more schools will we allow to become battlefields and burial grounds for the sake of the cloak that many are using the Constitution for.

The time for action is now. We know that our gun violence epidemic can be substantially curtailed with thoughtful, reasonable commonsense gun legislation. This includes raising the age, as we have done for so many things, for automatic purchases to 21, and developing and implementing more comprehensive background checks to prevent situations like the Buffalo shooting and the Uvalde massacre.

We need to strengthen gun laws for gun trafficking, which is devastating our country. Areas with strong gun laws cannot curtail the influx of illegal guns trafficked in from States with few restrictions. Places like New York City and Chicago cannot curtail the illegal weapons coming from other places.

With higher unemployment rates, places like my home, the Virgin Islands, has the second highest rate of guns smuggled across its borders and a homicide rate nine times higher than the 50 States.

As well as better establishing and regulating gun storage to prevent minors from acquiring deadly weapons, it is necessary for Members on both sides of this debate to come together to protect the lives of our citizens.

Throw off the cloak that you are using, calling our Constitution to hover and hide what you are really protecting: money, power, hatred.

 \sqcap 1030

RECOGNIZING STEVE MEINHAUSEN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. CLOUD) for 5 minutes.

Mr. CLOUD. Madam Speaker, today, I rise to recognize an extraordinary friend and great servant of the Texas 27th Congressional District, Steve Meinhausen.

Steve came to Texas in 2004 with his wife, Carrie, and four children: Matthew, Makenna, Kacey, and Ellie. Steve and his family brought selfless hearts and an entrepreneurial spirit to our part of south Texas.

Steve opened many businesses during his time, the most famous being Stevie Lew's BBQ. In 2017, when Hurricane Harvey hit Rockport, among his many generous community endeavors, Steve graciously opened his restaurant to feed over 12,000 meals to volunteers and others in need.

Steve has built for his family a legacy of generosity, a sincere love of God, and a strong work ethic. I thank Steve for all he has done for the many people he has blessed in the Rockport-Fulton area. He is truly a servant of God and an exemplary citizen.

It is my honor to recognize Steve for his outstanding service and dedication to family, to community, and to our Nation.

ENDING GUN VIOLENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Minnesota (Ms. OMAR) for 5 minutes.

Ms. OMAR. Madam Speaker, it has been 23 years since Columbine. It has been 15 years since Virginia Tech, 10 years since Sandy Hook, 4 years since Parkland. We have offered prayer and thoughts for years with no real action.

It has been 15 days since the school shooting in Uvalde, Texas, where 19 children were massacred. One of the students, a 10-year-old girl, survived because she covered herself in the blood of her dead classmate.

We have trained kids to hide under their tables. We have trained teachers to barricade their doors. We have trained schools to lock down their campuses. Now, are we going to train kids to cover themselves with their friend's blood in order to survive?

When are we going to understand the common denominator here is not just criminals but making it easy for criminals to access deadly weapons?

Australia, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and Canada all dealt with mass shootings, but there was a national outcry, and they put in place restrictions to stop mass carnage from happening again.

We don't have mental health issues more than any other country. What we do have here are politicians who lack the moral courage to stand up to the NRA. We have a society that is not willing to stand up to protect its citizens, especially its most vulnerable,

which is our beautiful children. We HONORING THE LEGACY OF CAROL have to be better than that.

We also must remember, Madam Speaker, it is not just mass shootings. It is white supremacists in Buffalo who massacre elders. It is gangs who carnage our neighborhoods, who allow for a 3-year-old in my district to get mowed down by gunfire.

The problem is guns. The problem is our colleagues on the other side of the aisle. We have to have the courage to stand up to them and to stand up for our country.

PROTECTING INDIVIDUAL FREEDOMS

Ms. OMAR. Madam Speaker, Roe v. Wade is fundamentally about privacy. Fifty, 60, 70 years ago, in order for women to access abortion care, they had to go to back-alley clinics and use wire hangers.

In 1973, it was decided that women have a right to make their own decisions about their bodies. We have to be a country that refuses to go back. We have to be a country that refuses to have women in my generation and the generation before enjoy more freedoms than my daughter's generation and the next

Republicans will say they are the party of freedom and liberty, but they are the party that doesn't believe in liberty and freedom for women. Democrats are the party that is protecting your freedom and expanding liberty, which is why we introduced and passed the Women's Health Protection Act because codifying Roe v. Wade into law is essentially about protecting the freedoms for individuals to make their own private decisions about healthcare.

If you stand for freedom, if you stand for liberty, join us and reject an extremist, fascist, misogynistic, backward party that wants to take us back to the stone age where men dictated what women do with their bodies. Help us exist in a country where our values of uplifting and advancing the rights of individuals still stand.

RAMPANT FOOD INSECURITY

Ms. OMAR. Madam Speaker, one in six children in the United States doesn't have enough food to eat. It is unconscionable that we allow 16 percent of our children here in this country to go hungry.

Right now, MITCH MCCONNELL and Republicans are blocking funding to continue the school waivers that allow for children to continue to eat in schools. Our inability to get these waivers and feed millions of children who are food insecure is inexcusable.

Globally, there are 276 million people facing food insecurity around the world, with countries in the Horn of Africa teetering on the edge of famine. We have an opportunity to get people on board and rally around those who are in need and starving.

MARTINEAU BALDWIN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York (Mr. Katko) for 5 minutes.

Mr. KATKO. Madam Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Carol Martineau Baldwin, who passed away on May 26, 2022, at the age of 92.

Carol was a beloved member of the central New York community and a devoted philanthropist.

A Syracuse native. Carol met her husband, Alexander Baldwin, while attending Syracuse University. They were married in 1954 and had six chil-

The family lived in Long Island, where Alexander taught at Massapequa High School until his death from lung cancer in 1983. Carol moved back to Syracuse and, in 1990, was diagnosed with breast cancer. She nearly lost her battle with breast cancer but overcame it following a double mastectomy.

Following this experience, she dedicated her life to helping others fight breast cancer. In 1996, she founded the Carol M. Baldwin Breast Cancer Research Fund on the campus of SUNY Stony Brook. Five years later, in conjunction with SUNY Upstate Medical University, the Carol M. Baldwin Fund of Central New York was established in Syracuse.

To date, the Baldwin fund has provided more than 60 grants to support research programs and has spurred nearly \$24 million in additional grant funding. The fund supports numerous programs, including studies of contributing factors to breast cancer, education of medical professionals and the general public, and studies of the outcomes of detection and treatment on patients and families.

Outside of her philanthropic work. Carol has been a prominent fixture in our community, and many in her family are dear friends to my wife, Robin, and me.

For the last two decades, breast cancer patients in central New York and throughout the country have benefited from the steadfast commitment of Carol Baldwin.

Carol is survived by her six children. 25 grandchildren, and 14 great-grandchildren. While she will be dearly missed in our community, central New Yorkers will continue to honor her legacv.

Madam Speaker, I ask that my colleagues in the House join me in honoring the life and legacy of Carol Martineau Baldwin. May she rest in peace.

PREVENTING GUN VIOLENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. Cherfilus-McCormick) for 5 minutes.

CHERFILUS-McCORMICK. Mrs. Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 7910, the Protecting Our Kids

As we all know by now, on May 24, 21 children and teachers were senselessly murdered in Uvalde, Texas. Just 10 days earlier. 10 Black people were killed in a racially motivated hate

For decades, the epidemic of gun violence has gripped this country, depriving Americans of their neighbors, siblings, children, and friends. On average, over 38,000 people die from gun violence every year in this country, including. on average, over 1,600 children. That is roughly an average of five kids every day.

Think about that: Five children being murdered, committing suicide, or being killed by the unintentional discharge of a weapon every day in America.

I do not pretend that this bill will save every one of those children or stop racially motivated murder in our Nation, but the idea that we simply stand back and do nothing and expect this problem to resolve itself is nonsense.

It offends the tens of thousands of gun violence victims every year, their families, and communities that are ravaged by unending tragedy. We can and we must take steps, meaningful steps, to reduce gun violence.

This bill will restrict the sale of assault-style weapons to those over the age of 21 years old. It will close longstanding and dangerous loopholes in Federal law, require that firearms sold in the United States be traceable, and help prevent gun trafficking, among other steps. These are measured, commonsense, and widely supported measures that would help reduce gun violence in our country.

As an American, as a Congresswoman, and as a mother of two children, I implore the Members of the House and the Senate to pass this bill. I am pleading with this Congress to set aside partisanship, dogma, and reflexive bickering. Now is the time to come together and act in unison to end the violence in our schools and on our streets

RECOGNIZING KOREAN WAR VETERANS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Malliotakis) for 5 minutes.

Ms. MALLIOTAKIS. Madam Speaker. I rise today to recognize veterans of the Korean war as this month marks the 72nd anniversary of the war's beginning.

Sadly, the Korean war is too often referred to as the forgotten war. I am here today to recognize the 5.7 million American men and women who served in that war, along with seven Korean war veterans our community lost in the past year: Albert A. Fowler, Ralph M. Lanza, Thomas H. O'Rourke, Nicholas J. Matranga, Walter H. Zipf, Robert Klose, and Hermando J. Folla.

These veterans put their lives on the line to defend our Nation and to fight communism, and we are forever grateful for their service.

This past weekend, I joined the Corporal Allan Kivlehan Chapter of the Korean War Veterans Association and local members of the Korean-American community to honor them.

□ 1045

Last year, I also honored the life and legacy of Private First Class Raymond Andrew Smith as his remains returned to Brooklyn 71 years after he was reported missing in action.

While the return of Raymond's remains is something to be celebrated, our Nation must not forget that more than 81,600 Americans remain missing from World War II, the Korean war, the Vietnam war, the Cold War, the Gulf war, and other conflicts.

On Monday, the anniversary of Dday, I was honored to receive a POW/ MIA Chair of Honor from our local Rolling Thunder chapter to display in my office as a permanent tribute to the brave men and women who honorably served our Nation overseas, but sadly never made it home.

I urge my colleagues to consider doing the same because we all must do more as a grateful Nation to raise attention to this issue and diligently work to return all prisoners of war and soldiers missing in action back to our homeland.

PRIORITIZING THE SAFETY OF OUR CHILDREN

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentlewoman from Michigan (Ms. SLOTKIN) for 5 minutes.

Ms. SLOTKIN. Madam Speaker, I rise today almost exactly 6 months after the shooting at Oxford High School in Oxford, Michigan, in my district. I represent Michigan's Eighth District, which includes Ingham County, Livingston County, and north Oakland County. Over the past couple of weeks, I have been in meetings with survivors and local officials. There are few issues that link our urban areas to our suburban areas to our rural areas more than gun violence.

I also represent the east side of Lansing, Michigan, where, just in the past week, we have had gun violence between three young people. And I also represent Oxford, where 6 months ago we had the school shooting, the most recent school shooting before Uvalde,

I happened to be scheduled to speak at the Oxford Virtual Academy graduation, in-person graduation, the day after the Uvalde shooting. I spent the day in Oxford, as I have been doing about every month, and you could hear the pain that people felt in being retraumatized by watching what was going on in Uvalde.

The students who I was speaking to were a group of students who chose to join school virtually, many of them in the aftermath of the shooting. I had been with the Superintendent of Oxford

Schools earlier in the day. He was one of the very first people on the scene helping to provide first aid to the young people that we lost. We talked about the trauma and retrauma that sets in in communities where gun violence happens again and again.

I may have a different angle on this issue than most. Guns and firearms are part of our culture in the State of Michigan. I grew up with guns. I remember very distinctly getting a fourwheeler along with my brother when I was 11, and my dad installing the gun racks when I was 12 so that my brother and I could go out shooting during the

I am a CIA officer who was trained on a Glock and an M4 semiautomatic for my three tours in Iraq alongside the military. My husband is a career Army officer and carried a weapon every day he was deployed. It is just something that is normal among the 99 percent of responsible gun owners in the State of Michigan.

It is because of that background that I fundamentally reject this idea that either you care about gun ownership, or you care about school safety. That is a fundamentally false choice.

In Michigan, as I said, we have responsible gun owners everywhere. Since the shooting, I have heard from more of them in the past 2 weeks than I have in the previous 4 years; active hunters and sportsmen who are strongly in favor of things like commonsense background checks so that mentally ill people don't get their hands on weapons, and certainly things like safe storage of weapons so that a child can't get ahold of a parent's weapon and use it to kill their peers.

I have a bill that is going in this package today in the House. Safe Guns. Safe Kids Act is the name of it. It is part of protecting our kids in a serious, commonsense way. All it says is that if you are an adult and you have a firearm in the house, and you also have children, you have to take reasonable steps to secure that weapon, and if the child gets ahold of that weapon and uses it to commit a crime, like mass murder, you can be criminally liable for that crime.

The bill doesn't impact your decision to buy a gun. Instead, it asks that you act responsibly when you have it. This is not controversial. It is not partisan. It is basic common sense and what most people do anyway, just like my dad. Personal responsibility is at the heart of what it means to be an American, and gun owners have a critical role to play in making sure we can protect our communities.

While the provisions that the House will approve today are commonsense measures, I have no illusion on how partisan this is going to be. I know how much the gun lobby has sway with my peers, and I know that straight-up, selfish interest in being reelected is guiding my colleagues in this Chamber. But I encourage my friends on both sides of the aisle to prioritize public

health and public safety over political concerns.

Anything that we pass here in the House will need support in the Senate to become law, so we want more of our Republican colleagues to join with us. We want more brave individuals to cross the aisle and sav: I am going to do something about the thing that is now the number one killer of young people in America. It is gun violence. It is not car accidents. It is not drug overdoses, and it is not cancer. It is gun violence.

To my friends who are considering how to vote on some of the provisions today, I encourage you to make a choice. Do you care about dealing with the leading cause of death of young people or not? I urge you to join us.

WE NEED RELIEF

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. WALBERG) for 5 minutes.

Mr. WALBERG. Madam Speaker, when President Biden took office, the price of a gallon of gas stood at \$2.39 a gallon. Today, the national average is more than double, clocking in at \$4.96 per gallon.

Since the beginning of the travel season, gas prices have reached a new record high every single day. The situation in my home State of Michigan is even more dire and unaffordable. In the past week, gas prices spiked more in Michigan, the motor capital of the world, than any other State. Our State average has skyrocketed up to \$5.21.

In Jackson, in my district, gas prices rose 20 cents overnight last week. In Lenawee County, my home county. they rose at an even quicker rate to \$5.29, when I drove to the airport yesterday morning. Michigan families are struggling to pay these historic prices at the pump.

Robert from Tecumseh, Michigan, told me that he along with many other seniors on a fixed income are simply unable to use their cars because of the price of gas.

Another constituent wrote to me that someone drilled a hole in their truck's gas tank and stole their gas.

This is the environment that President Biden's antienergy policies have created. Since day one, the Biden administration has been hostile to American energy. They canceled the Keystone XL pipeline. They halted oil and gas leases on Federal lands. They recklessly depleted our strategic reserves, which is now at its lowest level since 1987. They have done everything possible to discourage investment in domestic production.

At the same time, the administration continues to beg Saudi Arabia and other foreign countries to boost their oil supply. It simply makes no sense.

In Congress, just one side—House Republicans—has been proposing solutions. We should pass the American Energy Independence from Russia Act to unleash our domestic production capaWe should pass the Strategic Production Response Act to transition supply concerns from stopgap measures to permanent production solutions.

And we should pass the PIPES Act, which I introduced, to prevent a sitting President from unilaterally attacking existing domestic energy infrastructure.

Let's not forget: America was energy independent just 2 short years ago. There is a path to regaining that independence and bringing down prices at the pump if President Biden will reverse course and flip the switch on American energy.

My constituents cannot afford \$5-plus per gallon of gas. They need relief. We need relief. America needs relief, and fast.

ADDRESSING GUN VIOLENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. COSTA) for 5 minutes.

Mr. COSTA. Madam Speaker, gun violence, as we know, is plaguing our Nation. In 2022, this year, there have already been 200 mass shootings in the United States. Think about that. It is absolutely horrific.

We ask ourselves, when is enough enough?

Our constituents across the country ask us, how many more innocent people must die before any reasonable, commonsense gun reform legislation can pass and add more protection for the American public?

Let's pass the Protecting Our Kids Act, which raises the purchasing age for semiautomatic weapons from 18 to 21, a commonsense idea. Let's also deal with the subject of ghost gun purchases and background checks. Again, commonsense ideas, and cracks down on gun trafficking and straw purchases.

If the combination of the Protecting Our Kids Act is something that you might find objectionable in some instance, we have individual pieces of legislation, resources for victims of gun violence, bipartisan background checks, assault weapons ban, legislation that would also go further to provide protection in condemning the horrific shootings in Atlanta, Georgia, and dealing with hate, bigotry, and violence against Asian-American and Pacific-Islander communities.

We also have legislation that would designate June 4th National Gun Violence Awareness Day. There is legislation that deals with disarming of hate, and to try to provide extreme risk protection for Federal firearms sales to include those who are subject to extreme risk protection that we know red-flag legislation would help protect us against.

So, there are a lot of things we can do. Will we totally eliminate the threats to the public? Of course not.

But there are commonsense ideas we can do to improve and increase safety for our schools, for our churches, and for people who are just going to shop for groceries one afternoon. These are things we can do, and we should do.

As chair of the Congressional Crime Survivors and Justice Caucus, I am advocating for resources for survivors of gun violence to cope with their trauma, whether it be in Sacramento or Fresno or anywhere else around this country.

I support the Second Amendment for responsible gun ownership. Let that be clear. But America deserves better, and I call on all House Members to join me in this effort. Let's put partisanship aside and take action to address the gun epidemic once and for all.

Madam Speaker, how much time do I have remaining?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has 2 minutes remaining.

CELEBRATING DIA DE PORTUGAL

Mr. COSTA. Madam Speaker, I rise today also to celebrate Dia de Portugal, which is a significant holiday for Portuguese people all over the world.

My grandparents came to the United States from the Azore Islands in the late 19th century and the turn of the 20th century, 1897 to 1904. Illiterate, non-English speaking, like immigrants past and immigrants present, coming to America to have a better life for themselves. But they never, ever left their pride of their home country, Portugal, or their sense of culture, which lives in me today.

Portugal is one of America's longest and strongest allies, as it was the first to recognize the United States as an independent Nation after the Revolutionary War.

I am proud to be an original cosponsor of the AMIGOS Act, introduced by our colleague and Congressman DAVID CICILLINE. It would create more American jobs and increase investment in the United States by strengthening our bonds with Portugal. As the co-chair of the Portuguese Caucus, I think it is important that we continue to build on this relationship and the trade that already exists today.

I urge the Senate to pass this bipartisan legislation and, again, we will continue to work with other colleagues of ours who are part of our Portuguese-American Caucus to continue to advocate for mutual interests, not only for Portugal but for the United States and the Portuguese-American community.

"Happy Portugal Day." "Feliz Dia de Portugal."

Let us celebrate and let us remember that America is a stronger country because of our welcoming of immigrants past and present, and this is a part of that recognition.

□ 1100

FARM BILL IMPACT SERIES NUMBER 10: RURAL DEVELOPMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. MANN) for 5 minutes.

Mr. MANN. Madam Speaker, in preparation for reauthorizing the farm bill

in 2023, I rise today to deliver the tenth installment of my farm bill impact series where I am highlighting various aspects of the farm bill that deserve this Congress' awareness and support.

Rural Development programs exist within the farm bill because the people of rural America, many of whom work to feed, fuel, and clothe us all, must remain connected to their families, local communities, and the world to do their jobs.

Communities are made up of families, and families in rural areas need well-built affordable housing in order to thrive. USDA Rural Development's Single Family Housing Programs enable families and individuals to buy, build, or repair affordable homes located in rural America. Through these programs, qualifying individuals can finance a new home with no money down or get financial assistance to repair their wells, hookups to rural water, septic, plumbing, heating, air-conditioning, and electrical systems, insulation, and storm shelters or safe rooms.

USDA Rural Development is also committed to helping improve the economy and quality of life in rural America, and every strong community needs strong local businesses. The Business and Industry Loan Guarantee program improves the economic health of rural communities by increasing access to business capital through loan guarantees, as well as enabling commercial lenders to provide affordable financing to rural businesses.

In Healy, Kansas, the Sharp Brothers Seed Company has sold native grass seeds since 1958. They needed additional working capital to build up their inventory for a Conservation Reserve Program enrollment, so they used the USDA Rural Development Business and Industry program to secure a \$5 million guaranteed loan for additional working capital. This program helped make their operation stronger than ever before, which is good for rural Kansas.

Finally, strengthening rural broadband will help ensure that rural Americans, agricultural producers included, can keep pace with an increasingly tech-driven society and international marketplace. I believe that taxpayer dollars must be spent judiciously on existing broadband programs, and the goals set by the USDA and the FCC must be realistic.

Last summer, the House Agriculture Committee advanced the Broadband Internet Connections for Rural America Act out of committee, which authorizes \$43 billion toward critical infrastructure in rural communities. I also joined several of my House Republican colleagues and introduced legislation that would leverage the ReConnect program with some of the 2018 rural broadband investments in rural areas with little or no internet access.

The ReConnect program is an example of a successful public-private partnership. Its matching grants help cover the cost of cable, implementation, and installment for broadband in rural

communities. I support whatever directly benefits the resilient people of rural America, because they are the lifeblood of this country. That is why I support the Rural Development programs within the farm bill, and why I am bringing awareness to them now to ensure that these programs remain strong in the 2023 farm bill.

I will be back to the floor soon to deliver another installment of my farm bill impact series and highlight more programs and titles within the bill that I believe Congress must understand and support to ensure that agriculture and rural America thrives in this country.

CONGRATULATING DALE MOORE ON HIS RETIREMENT

Mr. MANN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize a great Kansan, Dale Moore, and to congratulate him on his retirement from a career of dedicated service to farmers, ranchers, and agricultural producers.

Dale grew up on a livestock, hay, and grain farm in Copeland, Kansas, which lies in my district, the Big First of Kansas. He went to Fort Hays State University, worked for Kansas Pork Producers Council, the National Cattlemen's Beef Association, and Senator Pat Roberts.

In 2001, President Bush appointed Dale to serve as chief of staff at USDA, after which he served as legislative director for the House Agriculture Committee. Most recently, Dale has been serving as executive vice president at the American Farm Bureau Federation where his presence will be deeply missed.

Dale has tirelessly advocated for agriculture for more than 40 years, and he never forgot his southwest Kansas roots or the values he learned there: hard work, others before self, and personal responsibility.

I am grateful for Dale's leadership and service, and I offer him, his wife, Faith, and their entire family my best wishes as they begin this new adventure.

Congratulations, Dale.

$\begin{array}{c} \text{COMMONSENSE GUN SAFETY} \\ \text{REFORM} \end{array}$

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. VEASEY) for 5 minutes.

Mr. VEASEY. Madam Speaker, I pose a few questions to my friends and colleagues on the other side of the aisle.

You certainly cannot be okay with criminals continuously being able to access guns.

You can't be okay with terrorists being able to buy guns.

You can't be okay with sociopaths continuing to buy guns.

You certainly can't be okay with what has happened in Uvalde; before that, Buffalo; what just happened in Tulsa, Sandy Hook, Parkland.

So if you are not okay with that, why the inaction? Why do you continue to prevent commonsense gun safety legislation that would stop sociopaths, terrorists, and criminals from obtaining weapons? Why don't you work with us to pass commonsense legislation that, in many cases, over 90 percent of the public supports?

So many gun owners that I have talked to all across north Texas, people that are on the opposite end of the political spectrum to me, tell me that they support this commonsense gun safety legislation.

You continue to show inaction to the American public. It is unacceptable. We have got to do better. We have an opportunity to get something done this time around, and it is about time. The American public is sick of seeing nothing occur every time one of these massacres takes place in our country.

Madam Speaker, I urge our Republican colleagues to work with us on passing legislation that is long overdue and that the public is asking for. We can't continue to watch this happen and do nothing. We need to take action.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to address their remarks to the Chair.

MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS IN OUR NATION

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Tony Gonzales) for 5 minutes.

Mr. TONY GONZALES of Texas. Madam Speaker, I rise today to raise awareness for the severe mental health crisis in our country.

Two weeks ago, there was a terrible incident that occurred in Uvalde, Texas; 19 innocent children were murdered and two of their teachers alongside them. Uvalde is in my district, Texas' 23rd District.

Over a year ago, after I got elected, I visited Uvalde and I sat down with the county judge, who is a Democrat, and the mayor, who is a Republican, and the local sheriff. I sat down with them, and I said, If I could do one thing, what would that be, gentlemen? And they go: Tony, we need a mental health hospital.

They identified this crisis over a year ago. Last year, we appropriated over \$2 million toward that hospital. It is a \$25-million project, and I am working on the remaining \$23 million to get that over the finish line. This is something that that community asked for.

There is so much division here in Congress. There is so much hate and rhetoric that gets spewed. I think mental health is an area that can bring us together, that can unite us as we go forward, not only as we reflect on what happened in Uvalde, but across the country.

COVID did something to this country. We are coming out of that, and I think part of the mental health crisis that is going on in our country has to be addressed. It is heartbreaking to see what happened, to be there as the Uvalde community heals and comes to-

gether. What I will say is, this mental health crisis goes beyond those that are looking to cause harm to themselves and others.

Madam Speaker, I would argue everyone in that community needs to sit down with a mental health provider; my staff, included. Our team essentially had turned into a mini FEMA office where we were answering all kinds of different claims every day and having to hear these horrendous stories and having to hear the issues that our community was dealing with.

So this crisis is very deep and it is very sad. It is an issue that this body needs to address. It needs to start early on, not wait until when an incident occurs. We have to start very early on. This shooter, this gunman, was a troubled young man for a very long time. It was no surprise to a lot of people what occurred. If we could have got ahead of the issue instead of waiting until the problem happened, I think that is critical.

Another thing a lot of people don't realize, the day of the shooting, there was actually a young lady that had suicide ideations, and two of the local law enforcement officers had taken her to San Antonio. This was hours before the shooting.

What does that mean? That means the community of Uvalde has a very deep mental health issue that is popping up every single day. And Uvalde isn't unique. It isn't just this one small town and this one incident. We have seen it happen all over the country.

Madam Speaker, I urge this body to come together. I urge Congress to come together and use this terrible incident to unite us in finding real, tangible solutions. Part of those solutions is identifying mental health resources which we can provide directly to the communities of need.

BABY FORMULA SHORTAGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. ROSE) for 5 minutes.

Mr. ROSE. Madam Speaker, in late April and early May when parents and Republicans in Congress began to sound the alarm about the unacceptable scarcity of baby formula, I thought to myself, Well, this is just another example of the compounding effect of the bad policies of the Biden administration.

But at the time, the facts had not come to light that the crisis is yet another direct result of the mismanagement and misguided Biden Presidency; a President focused on a woke, progressive agenda, while the basic functions of government are neglected or mismanaged.

The most critical fact that has come to light is that Abbott Nutrition's Sturgis plant supplied about one-fifth, 20 percent, of all infant formula in the United States before it closed. Furthermore, the company makes the majority of the formula for infants in the

Women, Infants, and Children program. That is more than 43 percent of babies in the United States. It is unfathomable that a facility this critical to the lives of our Nation's newborns can somehow slip through the cracks.

As of this past weekend, I am glad to see that the Sturgis plant is making formula again, but it could take a couple of months for supply to hit store shelves. Currently, the Nation's current out-of-stock rate is 74 percent. Trennessee, it is 92 percent. This is indeed a worst-case scenario for parents and their vulnerable infant children.

How did we get here? Let's go through the timeline.

In September of 2019, inspectors found a handful of sanitary issues with the plant in Sturgis but allowed managers to continue operating while they implemented necessary changes.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the FDA conducted its first routine inspection of the Abbott Sturgis plant in 2 years in September of 2021. They found that the issues uncovered in the 2019 surveillance inspection had been resolved. At about the same time, in September 2021, the FDA receives the first complaint that a child was hospitalized with Cronobacter. Meanwhile, the Nation's out-of-stock rate for infant formula rose to 11 percent in November of 2021, when Dr. Robert Califf was nominated to serve as commissioner of the FDA.

December 1, the FDA received a second complaint related to the death of a child. The Centers for Disease Control determined that Cronobacter could have been a contributing factor.

In January of this year, the FDA receives their third complaint of a child hospitalized with Cronobacter, while at the same time The New York Times reports that baby formula shortages are, "worsening throughout the United States."

On January 31, the FDA begins a delayed follow-up inspection of the Abbott Sturgis plant. Thirteen days later, the nationwide out-of-stock rate of infant formula rises to 26 percent. Two days later, on February 15, Dr. Robert Califf is confirmed by the U.S. Senate as the new commissioner of the FDA.

Two days after that, on the commissioner's second day on the job, Abbott announces a voluntary recall and hold of certain powdered infant formulas produced at the Sturgis plant. The same day, the FDA receives a fourth Cronobacter complaint.

Why does this timeline matter? Because President Biden said he wasn't made aware of the issues with the stock of baby formula until late April.

Now just imagine, on your second day on the job, a fire breaks out in your office, and you don't call the President to let him know? I don't understand. I truly don't.

Again, this plant produces one-fifth of the Nation's supply of baby formula. The crisis was foreseeable and extraordinary steps should have been taken to avoid it. There is no doubt in my mind that the February formula recall followed by the Sturgis plant closure is the primary factor that turned an already increasing problem into a crisis.

There should have been more urgency. It should have been clear to the Biden administration in February that we were going to have a problem. Maybe it was and they just didn't want to tell the President, or maybe he was busy that day. Who knows. I am sure we will find out when Republicans take back the majority and conduct proper oversight of this administration.

Put simply, the FDA and President Biden fumbled the ball for months, and families across this country are paying the price. We cannot afford for this administration to take its eye off the ball again. The President must do better.

□ 1115

CELEBRATING THE SESQUI-CENTENNIAL CELEBRATION OF ENNIS, TEXAS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas (Mr. ELLZEY) for 5 minutes.

Mr. ELLZEY. Madam Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the sesquicentennial celebration of the city of Ennis, Texas, founded on June 8, 1872.

When the railroad was expanded from Houston to St. Louis in 1872, many of the workers found solace and community in what we know today as Ennis.

The railroad workers were drawn to the area's bluebonnets and cotton fields. The appreciation for the natural beauty that surrounds this Texas town still runs deep in the community.

Through the years, Ennis has grown as an agricultural and industrial community, attracting residents and visitors, which has earned it the title of the "Official Bluebonnet City" of our State

Ennis is known for more than just its bluebonnets. It is also the hosting city of the National Polka Festival. The National Polka Festival began in 1967 and attracts as many as 50,000 people to the town.

Ennis is also home to the Texas Motorplex, where national records have been set and speed milestones have been broken. My family and I have been able to witness some truly remarkable races there.

It is places like these that make Texas proud. The events and venues of Ennis, Texas proudly promote Texas values by providing a family atmosphere and cultivating community outreach.

Strolling along the historic district of Ennis, one can experience plentiful restaurants and shopping options. When entering any store, you are kindly greeted. Families and neighbors welcome each other with open arms. The hospitality of Ennis is seen through these interactions, and it becomes evident why the citizens of Ennis take so much pride in their town.

Ennis has encompassed many of our Texan values, including community and family, and has shown Texas pride for the past 150 years. I am proud and honored to represent the people of Ennis in the Sixth Congressional District of Texas. I look forward to what the next 150 years brings to the great city of Ennis.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until noon today.

Accordingly (at 11 o'clock and 17 minutes a.m.), the House stood in recess

\square 1200

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker at noon.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Margaret Grun Kibben, offered the following prayer:

God of peace and author of our salvation, we pause in prayer to You for the ongoing conflict overwhelming the country of Ukraine and terrorizing its people. After over 100 days of fighting, O Lord, the people cry to You for deliverance.

We pray to You on their behalf as their voices grow weak with exhaustion, their hope dim with each passing hour of unrelenting adversity.

God, You are their refuge and their strength. Do not delay Your salvation from them. You are their ever-present help in time of trouble. Do not deny them the strength of Your abiding spirit.

Though the Earth gives way to the aggression of their foe, give them reason not to fear. Though the seas are ensnared with the adversary's worst intentions, send Your spirit to brood over these waters and disrupt their chaotic plans and bring the order of Your peace.

May Ukraine bear witness to Your promises. God, You are within her, she will not fall. God, You will help her at break of day. Lord Almighty, You are with them. You are their fortress.

We pray with Ukraine by the power of Your sovereign name.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to section 11(a) of House Resolution 188, the Journal of the last day's proceedings is approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER. Will the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. PHILLIPS) come

forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. PHILLIPS led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER

The SPEAKER. The Chair will entertain up to 15 requests for 1-minute speeches on each side of the aisle.

GUN REFORM

(Mr. PHILLIPS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PHILLIPS. Madam Speaker, Jim Ramstad represented Minnesota's Third District in Congress as a principled Republican from 1991 to 2009.

His widow, Kathryn, a remarkable woman in her own right, wrote me last week and asked that I share her message with the country: "Jim voted for the 1994 crime bill and its gun control measures. He was one of only six Republicans to cast what was clearly a difficult vote, but he felt so strongly about the compelling need to act and believed it was consistent with his bipartisan, pragmatic, and commonsense approach to fighting crime.

"After so many mass shootings, I cannot understand why Congress does not at the very least," she wrote, "ban semiautomatic weapons like the AR-15 that have been used in so many recent mass shootings."

She continued, "I feel very strongly about this and ask sincerely, what can we do to legislate reasonable legislation like in 1994?"

What can she do? She asks; she and the country ask, I might add.

We all know what we can do. And I say, let's do it.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Ms. Byrd, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate has agreed to the following resolution:

S. RES. 660

Whereas Norman Yoshio Mineta (referred to in this preamble as "Norman Y. Mineta") was born in San Jose, California on November 12, 1931;

Whereas, as a young child during World War II, Norman Y. Mineta and his family were unjustly sent to Heart Mountain Relocation Camp in Park County, Wyoming by the United States Government due to their Japanese ancestry;

Whereas Norman Y. Mineta returned to San Jose with his family after World War II, graduated from San Jose High School, and went on to earn a degree in business administration from the University of California, Berkeley:

Whereas Norman Y. Mineta honorably served as a United States Army intelligence officer in Japan and Korea before returning home and joining the insurance business run by his father; Whereas, in 1967, Norman Y. Mineta became the first person of color to serve on the San Jose City Council and, in 1971, was elected as the 59th Mayor of San Jose, becoming the first Asian American to serve as mayor of a major city in the United States;

Whereas Norman Y. Mineta was elected to the United States House of Representatives in 1974, where he served as president of the freshman class and went on to spend more than 20 years, during which time he never forgot a name:

Whereas Norman Y. Mineta was a champion of civil rights and cosponsored the Civil Liberties Act of 1988 (50 U.S.C. 4211 et seq.), which...

(1) was signed into law by President Ronald W. Reagan; and

(2) expressed a formal apology to the thousands of individuals of Japanese ancestry in the United States who were forced into internment camps during World War II and offered \$20,000 payments to each individual;

Whereas Norman Y. Mineta distinguished himself as an expert on transportation issues and an advocate for mass transit, serving as Chairman of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives:

Whereas Norman Y. Mineta entered the private sector after leaving Congress, but continued to serve the United States as Chairman of the National Civil Aviation Review Commission;

Whereas Norman Y. Mineta was nominated by President William J. Clinton in 2000 to be the United States Secretary of Commerce;

Whereas Norman Y. Mineta was nominated by President George W. Bush in 2001 to serve as the United States Secretary of Transportation:

Whereas, while serving as Secretary of Transportation on September 11, 2001, Norman Y. Mineta secured the airspace of the United States by ordering all civilian air traffic to land immediately and implemented new security protocols to ensure the safety of air travelers:

Whereas Norman Y. Mineta was the loving husband of Danealia Brantner Mineta, and father of David Mineta, Stuart Mineta, Robert Brantner, and Mark Brantner; and

Whereas Norman Y. Mineta was known for his warm personality, commitment to public service, accessibility and accountability, and passion for his work: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—
(1) has heard with profound sorrow and deep regret the announcement of the death of the Honorable Norman Yoshio Mineta, former member of the United States House of Representatives, former Secretary of Commerce, and former Secretary of Transportation:

(2) respectfully requests the Secretary of the Senate communicate this resolution to the House of Representatives and transmit an enrolled copy of this resolution to the family of Norman Yoshio Mineta; and

(3) when the Senate adjourns today, stands adjourned as a further mark of respect to the memory of the Honorable Norman Yoshio Mineta.

The message also announced that the Senate has passed a bill of the following title in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 3499. An act to amend the Post-Katrina Emergency Management Reform Act of 2006 to repeal certain obsolete requirements, and for other purposes.

The message also announced that pursuant to Public Law 68-541, as amended by the appropriate provisions of Public Law 102-246, the Chair, on behalf of the Republican Leader, and in

consultation with the Majority Leader, announces the appointment of the following individuals to serve as members of the Library of Congress Trust Fund Board for a five year term:

Chris Long of New York.

Kathleen Casey of Virginia.

The message also announced that pursuant to Public Law 115–123, the Chair, on behalf of the Republican Leader, appoints the following individual as a member of the Commission on Social Impact Partnerships:

Ryan T.E. Martin of Virginia.

The message also announced that pursuant to Public Law 115–123, the Chair, on behalf of the Majority Leader, reappoints the following individual as a member of the Commission on Social Impact Partnerships:

Carol B. Kellermann of New York.

CONGRATULATING MASTER SERGEANT SILVERINE JAMES

(Mr. CLINE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CLINE. Madam Speaker, I rise today to congratulate retired Army Master Sergeant Silverine James on the naming of the Salem VA Medical Center's Women's Health Clinic in her honor.

Allowed to enlist by her mother, James joined the Army in 1949 and, after leaving in 1951, she eventually returned to the service in 1953 as an administrative specialist at the New York Point of Embarkation.

Though the Army was still segregated at the time of her rejoining, Mrs. James was given an assignment that would help lead to the integration of her company and later was a trail-blazer while serving at Camp Zama in Japan as the only African American in the Judge Advocate General section of the Far East Command.

By the time she retired in 1973, Master Sergeant James had completed 22 years of service. Taking a well-deserved year off after retirement, James began a job at the Salem VA, where she spent nearly 5 years before taking a job with FEMA.

In an article chronicling her life, Master Sergeant James said simply, "I gave my country the best part of my life."

It was great to be with Mrs. James last week to name the center in her honor. She surely did give the VA the best part of her life and her country the best part of her life.

Congratulations on this well-deserved honor.

WHAT JESUS SAID ABOUT HOMOSEXUALITY

(Mr. LIEU asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. LIEU. Madam Speaker, in March of this year, The Washington Post published an article titled: "GOP lawmakers push historic wave of bills targeting rights of LGBTQ teens, children, and their families."

In April, The Washington Post published another article titled: "Some Republicans fear party overreach on LGBT measures."

I just thought I would now recite for you what Jesus Christ said about homosexuality: "...."

GUN CONTROL

(Mr. ROSE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ROSE. Madam Speaker, I rise today to address the heartbreak Americans continue to reel from, the horrible killing of 19 students and two teachers by a demented teenager in Uvalde, Texas.

As an elected Representative, as well as a parent, I am eager to find solutions that make our kids safer. Those solutions must include strengthening mental health services and school security across this country.

Democrats in Congress have a choice to make. Do they want to focus yet again on more gun control, which won't work and won't pass the Senate, or do they want to focus on mental health and school security improvements which will help, and on which we might be able to reach consensus and pass into law?

Madam Speaker, I believe we can enhance protection for our children without throwing away any of our hard-won freedoms. The ball is in the Democrats' court.

GUN SAFETY LAWS

(Mrs. LEE of Nevada asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. LEE of Nevada. Madam Speaker, I rise today as we mark the 159th day of this year, and more than 246 mass shootings; Columbine, Sandy Hook, Uvalde, Parkland. We cannot pretend that we have not been here before, and we cannot fail to act yet again.

I have heard from mothers in my district who are frightened for their children's safety. I have heard the horrors of the survivors of the October 1 shooting in Las Vegas, and survivors of domestic violence and other daily gun violence that doesn't always make the headlines.

And I have heard the echoes of decades of disappointment and frustration as Congress has failed over and over again to do something.

This is not about politics. It is about protecting our kids. This is about doing the jobs we were elected to do because I refuse to accept that because we may not be able to prevent all gun violence, we should not prevent any gun violence.

We can act and must act, and that is why I am voting to pass the gun safety

GAS PRICES

(Mr. VALADAO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. VALADAO. Madam Speaker, gas prices across the country have reached yet another record-breaking high. The pain at the pump my constituents are facing is a direct result of this administration's war on American energy.

Unfortunately, the administration continues to pass the blame for a crisis of their own making.

In California, we are suffering with out-of-control prices that reached over \$6.30 per gallon this week. That is nearly \$1.50 higher than the national average; thanks, in part, to the State's gas tax.

Central Valley families are struggling to drive their kids to school, get themselves to work, and buy groceries to feed their families. Any reduction in prices would provide much-needed relief.

I am, once again, calling on the State to immediately suspend the 51 cent per gallon tax. We need to unleash the power of our domestic energy production and suspend the gas tax to get these prices under control for Central Valley residents.

SENSIBLE GUN SAFETY LEGISLATION

(Mr. HIGGINS of New York asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. HIGGINS of New York. Madam Speaker, in the House Oversight and Reform Committee this morning, we heard compelling testimony from individuals that had been directly affected by gun violence.

The Buffalo Police Commissioner, Joseph Gramaglia, and also Zeneta Everhart provided testimony that is clear and compelling.

The Buffalo shooting that took place, the shooting started at 2:30 in the afternoon and ended 2 minutes and 3 seconds later; one shooter, one weapon of mass destruction, 13 people shot, 10 people dead.

We need sensible gun safety legislation in this country. When you look at the need for background checks, that doesn't violate anybody's constitutional rights; but it affirms the right of people to live freely, to live safely, to live in a prosperous way in our neighborhoods and throughout the country.

100 DAYS OF PUTIN'S WAR

(Mr. WILSON of South Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Madam Speaker, last week marked 100 tragic days since war criminal Putin's war of mass murder against the sovereign country of Ukraine. Putin's merciless killing continues to horrify the world, while the heroic fight by President Volodymyr Zelenskyy and the brave Ukrainians continue to draw inspiration.

Ukrainians are front line in the global conflict of democracy's rule of law, opposed by authoritarian's rule of gun.

I was grateful to be in Lithuania last week meeting with members of the NATO Parliamentary Assembly. Led by its president, GERRY CONNOLLY, the bipartisan delegation welcomed Finland and Sweden into NATO, which Putin has unintentionally unified for victory in Ukraine.

Putin is sacrificing Russian troops for his personal gain of oil, money, and power. Ever resolute, President Zelenskyy has said, "We have defended Ukraine for 100 days already. Victory will be ours."

America and the world support Ukraine in its efforts to protect its citizens and defeat evil.

In conclusion, God bless our troops who have successfully protected America for 20 years in the global war on terrorism as it continues moving from the Afghanistan safe haven to America.

HONORING DR. LIANG CHEE WEE

(Mrs. HINSON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. HINSON. Madam Speaker, I rise today to recognize and honor a dynamic leader from Iowa's First Congressional District, Dr. Liang Chee Wee.

Dr. Wee is retiring after serving as the president of Northeast Iowa Community College for nearly 13 years. His passion for inclusivity ensured that everyone who stepped foot on the NICC campus, students, educators, employees, and members of the community all felt at home.

Under his leadership, NICC became a space for addressing challenges in the community, and the college has made great strides in promoting growth for minority-owned businesses in the area.

Dr. Wee always has a smile and a kind word of encouragement for everyone that he meets. His authentic servant leadership will be missed at NICC, but I know that his work to improve our community is far from over.

So thank you, Dr. Wee, and congratulations on this exciting new chapter in your retirement.

□ 1215

CELEBRATING DR. FREEMAN HRABOWSKI'S RETIREMENT

(Mr. HOYER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.) $\,$

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, this week, a champion for STEM education,

and a dear friend of mine, will retire from his long-time role as president of the University of Maryland, Baltimore County, more affectionately known as UMBC

Over the past 30 years, Dr. Freeman Hrabowski has transformed STEM education at UMBC, most notably by expanding resources for women and students of color.

UMBC was barely 10 years old when Dr. Hrabowski arrived as vice provost in 1987. Later, he was chosen as executive vice president and then named president in 1992, helping make UMBC a nationwide leader in STEM learning and a nationwide leader in education generally as well.

Over the last decade, UMBC has graduated more African Americans with doctorates in science and engineering than any other university in the United States. The school also produces the highest number of African-American undergraduates who go on to earn Ph.D.s in the natural sciences and engineering.

These achievements occurred in no small part thanks to Dr. Hrabowski's leadership, which made a critical difference as UMBC grew and expanded its academic programs.

At UMBC and beyond, he has dedicated his career to realizing the promise of students of color in STEM, and students generally as well, no matter their background, are assured access to high-quality science education.

In 2011, Madam Speaker, Dr. Hrabowski chaired the National Academies committee that produced a key report on expanding minority participation in science. In 2012, he was tapped by President Obama to serve as the chair of the inaugural President's Advisory Commission on Educational Excellence for African Americans.

When the COVID-19 pandemic hit in 2020, Dr. Hrabowski sprang into action. Not only did he work to ensure that UMBC was contributing to research and taking safety measures, he and his wife, Jacqueline, personally volunteered for the phase 3 Moderna vaccine trial. Together, they campaigned to reduce vaccine hesitancy in Maryland, particularly in communities of color.

Madam Speaker, one of the lead researchers who developed the Moderna vaccine, Dr. Corbett, was a UMBC graduate and the keynote speaker at our annual Maryland Fourth and Fifth Districts' Black History Breakfast this year.

In addition to his leadership of UMBC, Dr. Hrabowski has authored numerous books on STEM education and lifting up academically successful students of color so they can reach for success in their careers.

In a field where representation is lacking and where opportunities have not always been equitable, he has been at the forefront of the effort to ensure that all students, regardless of their background, can pursue their dreams and ambitions.

Dr. Hrabowski has been recognized nationally and internationally for his

impact. He was the recipient of the Carnegie Corporation of New York's Academic Leadership Award in 2011, one of the highest honors for an educator in this country.

He has been named as one of the 100 most influential people in the world, as one of America's most impactful leaders, and as a top-tier university president.

Indeed, many universities in the Big Ten, many universities in the Ivy League, many universities in other parts of our country have opportuned Dr. Hrabowski to come to be their president. His love and commitment to UMBC, however, kept him there and focused on developing it as an extraordinary institution of higher learning.

I believe that the last part is the one of which Dr. Hrabowski is most proud; that is, a top-tier university president. While he has been rightfully lauded as a global leader in STEM, first and foremost, he is an educator.

Frederick Douglass once wrote that it is easier to build strong children than it is to repair broken men. Over his long career in education, Dr. Hrabowski has laid the foundation for many thousands of young people to become the best version of themselves.

UMBC today graduates a diverse group of exceptionally bright and capable students who are quick to follow their dreams in large part because of Dr. Hrabowski's talent, focus, and effort. He has been working so hard to make his dream of educational opportunity and excellence a reality, and he has succeeded in many ways.

His legacy will surely be cemented in the innumerable achievements of his students that they have made over the last 30 years and will surely continue to do so for decades to come.

Madam Speaker, as he prepares to leave UMBC at the end of this year, Dr. Hrabowski has set the school on a path of success and continued innovation. All Marylanders, and all Americans, can be proud of his unwavering commitment to his students, to UMBC, and to his unshakable belief in the power of young people of color to achieve and contribute in the fields of STEM.

The future of science, technology, engineering, and math education nationwide is stronger not only at UMBC but throughout this country as a result of his leadership.

Those of us fortunate enough to count Dr. Hrabowski among our friends are all the better for knowing him. I hope my colleagues in the House will join me, Madam Speaker, in congratulating Dr. Hrabowski on his retirement this week and thanking him for his groundbreaking work over the last 30 years.

He is retiring from UMBC, Madam Speaker, but I am sure that he is not retiring from his life of advocacy for those who seek opportunity and the realization of the American Dream.

He is an extraordinary individual, an extraordinary speaker, an extraordinary human being. I am certain that

he will continue to benefit Maryland and our country as an educator and a visionary for many years to come.

Godspeed, Dr. Hrabowski.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 2377, FEDERAL EXTREME RISK PROTECTION ORDER ACT OF 2021; PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 7910, PROTECTING OUR KIDS ACT; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 1153 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1153

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 2377) to authorize the issuance of extreme risk protection orders. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. In lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on the Judiciary now printed in the bill, an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 117-46, modified by the amendment printed in the report of the Committee on Rules accompanying this resolution, shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary or their respective designees; and (2) one motion to recom-

SEC. 2 Upon adoption of this resolution it. shall be in order to consider in the House the bill (H.R. 7910) to amend title 18. United States Code, to provide for an increased age limit on the purchase of certain firearms, prevent gun trafficking, modernize the prohibition on untraceable firearms, encourage the safe storage of firearms, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. In lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on the Judiciary now printed in the bill, an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 117-48 shall be considered as adopted. The bill, as amended, shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill, as amended, are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill, as amended, and on any further amendment thereto, to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) two hours of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary or their respective designees; (2) proceedings under section 3 of this resolution; and (3) one motion to recommit.

SEC. 3. The proceedings referred to in section 2 of this resolution are as follows:

(a) after debate pursuant to section 2 of this resolution, the Chair shall put the question on retaining each title of the bill, as amended, in the order specified by the Chair;

(b) the yeas and nays shall be considered as ordered on each of the questions under subsection (a); and

(c) after disposition of the questions under subsection (a), the Chair shall put the question on engrossment and third reading of the text comprising those portions of the bill retained pursuant to subsection (a).

SEC. 4. In the engrossment of H.R. 7910, the Clerk shall conform title and section numbers and make related corrections to cross-references in the event a portion of the bill is not retained pursuant to section 3 of this resolution.

SEC. 5. House Resolution 1151 is hereby adopted.

SEC. 6. House Resolution 1152 is hereby adopted

SEC. 7. House Resolution 188, agreed to March 8, 2021 (as most recently amended by House Resolution 1097, agreed to May 10, 2022), is amended by striking "June 10, 2022" each place it appears and inserting (in each instance) "June 17, 2022".

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. McBath). The gentleman from Massachusetts is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, for the purpose of debate only, I yield the customary 30 minutes to the gentle-woman from Minnesota (Mrs. FISCHBACH), pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume. During consideration of this resolution, all time yielded is for the purpose of debate only.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Massachusetts?

There was no objection.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, yesterday, the Rules Committee met and reported a rule, House Resolution 1153, for two measures.

First, it provides for consideration of H.R. 2377 under a closed rule. The rule self-executes a manager's amendment, provides 1 hour of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking member of the Judiciary Committee, and provides one motion to recommit.

Second, the rule provides for consideration of H.R. 7910 under a closed rule. The rule provides 2 hours of general debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking member of the Judiciary Committee. It provides that following debate the House will vote separately on retaining each title of the bill and provides one motion to recommit.

Additionally, the rule deems passage of H. Res. 1151 and H. Res. 1152.

Finally, the rule extends recess instructions, suspension authority, and same-day authority through June 17.

Madam Speaker, I am struggling to put into words right now the tremendous pain that so many Americans feel in the wake of the mass shootings in Uvalde, Texas, and Buffalo, New York, pain because, once again, we are burying America's children.

The hopes and dreams and futures of our kids, birthday parties and bar mitzvahs, and summer breaks and high school graduations stolen by a senseless, unceasing drumbeat of gun violence. The lives of innocent shoppers ended in a hate-fueled rampage of white supremacy.

It does not have to be this way. What kind of country are we if we let this happen and do nothing? What does that say about our values and our priorities as a society?

I am so deeply disappointed and frustrated as a Member of Congress, but even more as a parent. What happened in Uvalde is unconscionable.

For God's sake, the parents had to submit DNA because the bodies of their fourth graders were unrecognizable. They had to identify them by their shoes because the exit wounds produced by an AR-15 were so large that their bodies were torn apart by the bullets.

Madam Speaker, 18-year-olds can't even rent a car, but they can buy guns that can tear people apart. It just doesn't make any sense.

When I think of the trauma the parents had to go through, burying their kids, knowing that this could have been prevented if bills like the ones we are considering today were passed into law, it is beyond heartbreaking.

There are no words, just sadness, when I think of my kids. Then, I think of all the parents who won't get to watch their own kids grow up.

For Uvalde, for Parkland, for Sandy Hook, for Buffalo, and all the mass shootings that have torn apart communities all across this country, I am pleading with my colleagues: Do not throw away this opportunity to get something done. Do not let partisan talking points get in the way of reasonable gun safety measures.

□ 1230

None of these ideas are extreme. In fact, they are what the vast majority of people in this country want us to do.

This vote will unequivocally show where each and every one of us stands: on red flag laws, on raising the age to purchase a semiautomatic rifle from 18 to 21, on gun trafficking and straw purchases, on ghost guns, on the safe storage of firearms, particularly when a minor is likely to gain access to them, on bump stocks, and on large-capacity magazines.

We will have separate votes on all of these issues. This week there will be no excuses.

Really, think about that list. None of these proposals are aimed at taking firearms away from law-abiding gun owners. They are aimed at stopping people from getting slaughtered in their schools, in churches, in grocery stores, in homes.

These bills would have stopped the shooters in Buffalo and Uvalde from buying their guns.

Madam Speaker, I am pleading with my colleagues on the other side of the aisle to work with us, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Massachu-

setts for yielding me the customary 30 minutes, and I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Let me be clear: House Republicans condemn the violence in Buffalo, Uvalde, Tulsa, and Philadelphia. We stand ready to work with the majority to directly address school safety, mental health, and the root causes of gun violence.

Unfortunately, the bills we are considering today under the rule are nothing more than an attempt by Democrats to try to push their antigun agenda.

H.R. 7910 is a grab bag full of far-left proposals that will not effectively address gun violence but will severely limit America's Second Amendment rights.

There are a few provisions I would like to point out.

The bill raises the legal age of gun ownership to 21. This provision is very likely unconstitutional. Even a liberal district court in California has already determined that with regard to similar restrictions.

This bill broadens the definition of "frame or receiver" that could define multiple parts of the same gun as separate firearms. Each of these parts would need its own distinct serial number or risk becoming a classified ghost gun. This could turn millions of legal guns into contraband, and law-abiding gun owners into felons.

H.R. 2377 is another reminder the Democrats fundamentally have no respect for Second Amendment rights. It shows their lack of respect for Fourth Amendment rights also. This bill destroys the presumption of innocence that is the bedrock of our justice system

It does away with the notion that an individual is innocent until proven guilty, and instead makes anyone subject to an extreme risk protection order guilty until proven innocent with what amounts to another version of a red flag law.

They also want to mandate a system for gun storage in private homes, which is unconstitutional, and almost impossible to enforce without stripping even more rights from law-abiding citizens. Democrats are picking and choosing legal standards to deprive citizens of their constitutional rights based on how closely those rights are aligned with their political agenda.

Furthermore, the universe of individuals who can petition a court for an extreme risk protection order under this bill is far too broad, and it creates a process that is ripe for abuse. This bill would create an opportunity for a disgruntled ex-roommate or predatory domestic partner to use the judicial system to harass and burden an individual by requiring law enforcement to seize that individual's firearms and ammunition.

Federal law already prohibits dangerous and unfit individuals from purchasing or possessing firearms. An individual with a misdemeanor domestic violence conviction, an individual involuntarily committed to a mental institution or adjudicated mentally defective, or an individual who is an unlawful user of controlled substances are all prohibited from possessing or purchasing a firearm under current law.

Democrats rejected an amendment that will allow for transfers of a firearm to a victim of domestic violence for self-defense. Under this bill, a friend or neighbor trying to help a victim would be charged with gun trafficking.

These bills are not about public safety, they are about the left's antigun agenda.

During the Judiciary Committee's consideration of this bill, the chairman of the committee conceded that the strict gun laws in liberal jurisdictions don't work because criminals are able to obtain guns elsewhere illegally. A Member from Tennessee on that committee admitted that the Democrats' bills will make it harder for law-abiding Americans to exercise their Second Amendment rights. Another Member, one from New York, threatened to abolish the filibuster and pack the Supreme Court if any of our Nation's checks and balances stood in the way of the Democrats' agenda to trample the Second Amendment.

The majority will argue that these are commonsense proposals, but they fail to explain the details and the real effects of these provisions.

And what are law-abiding gun owners concerned about? They are concerned about the attack on their constitutional rights provided in the Second Amendment.

All of us recognize the recent tragedies, and our heart goes out to the parents, the families, and communities, but the root causes must be addressed. Simply attacking law-abiding gun owners will not solve the problem. Addressing the causes will.

House Republicans stand ready to address the root causes of these senseless acts of violence, but not at the cost of America's constitutional rights.

Madam Speaker, I oppose the rule, and I ask Members to do the same. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

The gentlewoman said Republicans stand ready to address school safety and other root causes of gun violence.

I include in the RECORD a May 26, 2022, article from The Texas Tribune entitled: "Texas already 'hardened' schools. It didn't save Uvalde."

[From The Texas Tribune, May 26, 2022]
TEXAS ALREADY "HARDENED" SCHOOLS. IT
DIDN'T SAVE UVALDE.

(By Jolie McCullough and Kate McGee)

Four years after an armed 17-year-old opened fire inside a Texas high school, killing 10, Gov. Greg Abbott tried to tell another shell-shocked community that lost 19 children and two teachers to a teen gunman about his wins in what is now an ongoing effort against mass shootings.

"We consider what we did in 2019 to be one of the most profound legislative sessions not just in Texas but in any state to address school shootings," Abbott said inside a Uvalde auditorium Wednesday as he sat flanked by state and local officials. "But to be clear, we understand our work is not done, our work must continue."

Throughout the 60-minute news conference, he and other Republican leaders said a 2019 law allowed districts to "harden" schools from external threats after a deadly shooting inside an art classroom at Santa Fe High School near Houston the year before. After the Uvalde gunman was reportedly able to enter Robb Elementary School through a back door this week, their calls to secure buildings resurfaced yet again.

But a deeper dive into the 2019 law revealed many of its "hardening" elements have fallen short. Schools didn't receive enough state money to make the types of physical improvements lawmakers are touting publicly. Few school employees signed up to bring guns to work. And many school districts either don't have an active shooting plan or produced insufficient ones.

In January 2020, the Uvalde Consolidated Independent School District received \$69,000 from a one-time, \$100 million state grant to enhance physical security in Texas public schools, according to a dataset detailing the Texas Education Agency grants. The funds were comparable to what similarly sized districts received.

Even with more funds and better enforcement of policies, experts have said there is no indication that beefing up security in schools has prevented any violence. Plus, they said, it can be detrimental to children, especially children of color.

"This concept of hardening, the more it has been done, it's not shown the results," said Jagdish Khubchandani, a public health professor at New Mexico State University who studies school security practices and their effectiveness.

Khubchandani said the majority of public schools in the United States already implement the security measures most often promoted by public officials, including locked doors to the outside and in classrooms, active shooter plans and security cameras.

After a review of 18 years of school security measures, Khubchandani and James Price from the University of Toledo did not find any evidence that such tactics or more armed teachers reduced gun violence in schools

"It's not just guns. It's not just security," Khubchandani said. "It's a combination of issues, and if you have a piecemeal approach, then you'll never succeed. You need a comprehensive approach."

INSUFFICIENT ACTIVE SHOOTER PLANS

Since the shooting, GOP lawmakers have repeatedly suggested limiting access to schools to one door.

"We've got to, in our smaller schools where we can, get down to one entrance," Lt. Gov. Dan Patrick offered at the press conference Wednesday. "One entrance might be one of those solutions. If he had taken three more minutes to find that open door . . . the police were there pretty quickly."

There are still questions about the timing and details of the tragedy, however, including whether the shooter busted a lock to get into the school or if a door was unlocked. A state police official reported Thursday that the door appeared to be unlocked but that it was still under investigation.

Khubchandani and education advocates said locking doors and routing everyone through one entrance is already standard practice in most districts. And safety leaders said locking exterior doors is a best practice,

but it's one strategy that needs to be strictly enforced.

"Sometimes convenience can take priority over safety and you can have a plan in place, you can have policies in place," said Kathy Martinez Prather, director of the Texas School Safety Center at Texas State University. "They're only as effective as they're being implemented."

At Wednesday's press conference, Abbott emphasized that the package of school safety laws passed in 2019 required school districts to submit emergency operations plans to the Texas School Safety Center and make sure they have adequate active shooter strategies to employ in an emergency.

State law dictates that districts must be able to show how they will prepare for, respond to and recover from disasters like active threats, but also extreme weather and communicable disease. These plans must include training mechanisms, communication plans and mandatory drills. Schools must create safety committees and establish a way to assess threats.

But a three-year audit by the center in 2020 found that out of the 1,022 school districts in the state, just 200 had active shooter policies, even though most districts reported having one. The audit revealed 626 districts did not have active shooter policies in place and 196 districts had insufficient policies.

Just 67 school districts had viable emergency operations plans overall, the report found

Martinez Prather wouldn't say if Uvalde's emergency plan was considered adequate because of ongoing investigations into the shooting. But said the center's review did not find any areas of noncompliance.

The audit reviewed school districts' emergency plans in June 2020, and Martinez Prather said she was "absolutely" surprised that so many schools did not have clear-cut plans, especially after the Santa Fe shooting and others around the country.

"Our attention to this issue should not be as close to the nearest and latest school shooting," she said. "We need to keep sending that message that this can happen at any point in time and to anybody."

She said the center has spent the last year and a half following up with schools to get their plans up to standard.

ARMING TEACHERS AND STAFF WITH GUNS

Texas leaders have already shunned the idea of restricting gun access in the aftermath of the Uvalde shooting. In fact, in recent years, Texas lawmakers have loosened gun laws after mass shootings.

Instead, lawmakers point to the nearly decade-old school marshal program in Texas as another measure to deter and prevent mass shootings. That program was created in response to the deadly shooting at an elementary school in Newtown, Connecticut, that left 26 people dead, including 20 first-graders.

Designated school employees who take an 80-hour training course and pass a psychological exam are allowed to keep a firearm in a lockbox on school grounds, an idea most attractive to rural schools in areas where law enforcement response can take longer.

After the school shooting in Santa Fe, state lawmakers removed the cap that limited schools to one marshal per 200 students. Today, according to the Texas Commission on Law Enforcement, which oversees the training for the program, there are 256 marshals across the state.

While lawmakers tout it as a potential tool to prevent mass shootings, just 6% of school districts use it, according to a report from the Texas School Safety Center. Martinez Prather at the Texas School Safety Center said many school districts say it's expensive

and the training is time-consuming for edu-

Meanwhile, 280 schools are utilizing an unregulated option known as the Guardian Program, which allows local school boards to approve individuals in schools to carry concealed weapons. Each "guardian" must have a handgun license and take 15 to 20 hours of specialized training by the Texas Department of Public Safety.

Nicole Golden, executive director of Texas Gun Sense, said she's concerned by the "minimal" level of training school staff go through before they are approved to have a weapon in the classroom.

"These aren't law enforcement officers," she said. "These are school staff who have some training, and there's really not a lot of data to support that that's the safe direction to go in.'

Plus, Golden said, placing more guns on school grounds can be problematic when data shows students of color are disproportionately disciplined.

When lawmakers decided to expand the number of marshals in Texas schools in 2019, Black students and parents said the idea made them feel less safe in school, knowing they are disciplined more than other students.

The study from Khubchandani and Price pointed to a 2018 shooting at a high school in Kentucky where the shooter killed two and injured 14 students in 10 seconds.

Armed school personnel would have needed to be in the exact same spot in the school as the shooter to significantly reduce this level of trauma," the researchers wrote. "Ten seconds is too fast to stop a school shooter with a semiautomatic firearm when the armed school guard is in another place in the school.'

\$10 PER STUDENT FOR SAFETY

Big changes often take big money, and officials have noted that the 2019 school safety bill gives about \$100 million per biennium to the Texas Education Agency. The agency then distributes the money to school districts to use on equipment, programs and training related to school safety and security, a little less than \$10 per student based on average daily attendance. The money can be used broadly, ranging from physical security enhancements to suicide prevention programs

According to a self-reported survey of districts by the Texas School Safety Center, more than two thirds of school districts have used this money for security cameras, 20% used it for active shooter response training. Nearly 40% of districts installed physical barriers with the allotment.

But Zeph Capo, president of the Texas chapter of the American Federation of Teachers, said that money wasn't enough to pay for the more expensive projects law-

makers were suggesting.

Districts ended up spending money on some programs, some electronic AV equipment, but I don't think it was nearly enough to do what needs to be done in most of the schools, which is really change the structures of the buildings so there's better control over entrance and egress," he said, noting that AFT believes more gun restrictions is a better solution.

The TEA also received a separate one-time \$100 million pool of money to provide grants to districts specifically for physical security enhancements, like metal detectors, doorlocking systems or bullet-resistant glass.

It's unclear how Uvalde CISD spent the \$69,000 it received from the state to enhance its physical security. School officials did not respond to questions Wednesday. As of the May 2 report, the district had spent about \$48,000 of the grant, which is set to end at the end of the month.

Other remote town school districts received comparable grants per their student population, according to an analysis by The Texas Tribune. For example, the Sulphur Springs Independent School District in East Texas has only a slightly larger student population and received about \$71,000 in grant funds.

According to a district document, Uvalde CISD, which enrolls around 4.100 students. had a variety of so-called hardening measures in place that lawmakers and school safety leaders recommend.

The district employed four district police officers, installed perimeter fencing meant to limit access around schools, including Robb, and instituted a policy that all classroom doors remain locked during the day.

There are campus teams that identify and address potential threats, and schools hold emergency drills for students "regularly." The district employed a threat reporting system for community members to raise concerns. Some schools had security vestibules at their entrances and buzz-in systems to get inside from the outdoors.

But a security vestibule, which is basically a secure lobby to the school, can be a huge expense for school districts already tight on money. In 2019, the Waller Independent School District estimated that the addition of two of these entrances to the junior high school would cost \$345,000. Security cameras at a small elementary school can cost more than \$20,000, according to industry experts.

In recent years—even before the Santa Fe shooting-school districts have begun to rely on bond proposals to find the money to implement some of these changes.

But Texas voters have expressed hesitancy at the ballot box to approve such bonds in recent years, which the Texas Association of School Boards attributed to the lingering pandemic and political polarization. Recent changes by the Texas Legislature have also complicated bond requests for schools after it started to require districts to write, "This is a property tax increase," on bond project signs, even when the proposals wouldn't affect the tax rate.

Overall, Monty Exter, a senior lobbyist with the Association of Texas Professional Educators, said the per-student allotment and one-time grants set aside for school security could never pay for the types of construction projects lawmakers have touted publicly in the wake of the shooting.

about making significant 'Thinking changes to 8,000-plus campuses, \$100 million doesn't necessarily go that far," he said.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, we keep hearing that the epidemic of mass school shootings can be solved by hardening schools. Guess what? Robb Elementary had been hardened. We can harden schools all we want, we can turn them into fortresses, but unless we deal with the underlying issue, it is going to keep happening.

The gentlewoman from Minnesota also said mental health is a root cause. I include in the RECORD a Bloomberg article published May 27, 2022, entitled: "Republicans Push Unfounded Mental Health Claim for Gun Violence.'

[From Bloomberg, May 27, 2022] REPUBLICANS PUSH UNFOUNDED MENTAL HEALTH CLAIM FOR GUN VIOLENCE

(By Emma Court)

Republican politicians from Senator Ted Cruz to Texas Governor Greg Abbott have been quick to blame mental illness following a deadly school shooting in Uvalde, Texas, that killed 19 children and two teachers.

The problem with that thinking is that the evidence doesn't support it—even if common

sense suggests a mass shooting, especially of children, is not the act of a person who is mentally well.

While reporting from Texas following the May 24 shooting makes clear the Uvalde gunman, Salvador Ramos, was a deeply troubled individual, state officials have said he had no documented mental health issues. Research shows that only a very small percentage of violent behavior is connected to mental ill-

"If we magically cured all these serious mental illnesses tomorrow, which would be wonderful-imagine the alleviation of suffering-our violence problem would go down by about 4%," said Jeffrey Swanson, a professor in psychiatry and behavioral sciences at Duke University.

Firearm violence is a greater risk for young males, individuals with a violent childhood and those who abuse drugs and alcohol. While mental illness can contribute to gun violence, the vast majority of those suffering from mental illness will never engage in violent acts, Swanson said.

Attributing school shootings to mental illness, meanwhile, increases the stigma around such conditions, which include depression, schizophrenia and psychosis, according to experts.

GUN DEATHS

National Rifle Association leaders are expected to shift the focus away from gun policies that put deadly weapons in the hands of the public when their national convention kicks off in Houston on Friday. The organization called the Uvalde massacre "the act of a lone, deranged criminal" in a statement.

Around 45,000 people died from gun-related deaths in the US in 2020, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. More than half those deaths were suicides. and many of the remainder were murders.

Guns are also now the leading cause of death among children and adolescents, surpassing car crashes, drug overdoses and drownings, according to recent CDC data.

Texas is fiercely pro-gun rights, and Abbott last year signed legislation allowing Texans to carry handguns without a license.

Abbott, at a press conference in Uvalde, suggested that access to guns isn't the issue.

'We haven't had episodes like this before." Abbott said. "One thing that has substantially changed is the status of mental health in our communities.

In truth, shooters in the US have tried to kill in places like schools, malls and bars for decades.

Cruz, who is expected to be at the NRA event, has described the shooting as the actions of a "violent psychopath." He also said none of the gun-law proposals made by Democrats would have stopped it.

Democrats have been quick to dispute those claims. "Spare me the bull," Democrat Chris Murphy of Connecticut said to reporters after urging his colleagues to take action against gun violence.

Other countries have mental health problems too but rarely have mass shootings, President Joe Biden said in a May 24 speech in which he pleaded for gun reform and called for standing up to gun manufacturers.

"They have mental health problems. They have domestic disputes in other countries. They have people who are lost," Biden said. "But these kinds of mass shootings never happen with the kind of frequency they happen in America.'

WIDESPREAD MISCONCEPTION

Many people associate mental illness with violence, likely because of how these conditions are portrayed in the media, including in reporting about shootings like Uvalde.

Mental illness can also be an easy scapegoat for making sense of tragedies like Uvalde, which are devastating and hard to comprehend, said Lynsay Ayer, a senior behavioral scientist at Rand Corp., a nonprofit research organization.

"People want to explain it, to say 'this person wasn't thinking rationally, wasn't thinking like you and me, something went wrong in their brain wiring,'" she said. Blaming mental illness is "convenient, but it's overly simplistic and runs the risk of hurting people who have mental health problems."

People with mental health disorders are, in fact, more likely to be the victims of violence than a perpetrator, Ayer said.

Using mental illness as an explanation for such events also plays into outdated tropes, like the idea that "something is wrong with" those individuals, said Hannah Wesolowski, chief advocacy officer for the National Alliance on Mental Illness.

"I think people confuse having a mental health condition with being troubled, and they are not one and the same," she said. Mental illness is defined by specific medical guidelines. It's also widespread, affecting one in five US adults every year.

Gun violence remains poorly understood. One reason: Since 1996, Congress has limited federal funding of research into the subject. While that's now changing, gaps in understanding remain. Studying mass shootings is also challenging because such events are relatively rare. Ayer said.

(Everytown for Gun Safety, which advocates for universal background checks and gun-safety measures, is backed by Michael Bloomberg, founder and majority owner of Bloomberg News parent company Bloomberg LP)

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, let me set the record straight.

Yes, we have people with mental health issues in America. So do other countries. Only here in America do we have widespread, fatal gun violence to the extent that we do, so spare us the lectures.

I should say, under GOP Governor Greg Abbott, Texas is last—last—in the Nation for mental health access.

Just one final thing. We keep hearing about the inconveniences of these proposals: safe storage, background checks, waiting lists. I get it. There may be some inconvenience here, but stack that up against the carnage, stack that up against the mass shootings, the daily killings in this country. I mean, for once, can we put that first over the inconvenience of going through a background check? This is a moment I hoped that we could actually do something, but instead we are complaining about inconveniences. Give me a break.

Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. BOWMAN).

Mr. BOWMAN. Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of my resolution, H. Res. 1152, to condemn the great replacement myth, which is a delusional white supremacist conspiracy theory.

I am honored to be joined by my coleads: CBC Chairwoman BEATTY, CHC Chairman RUIZ, CAPAC Chairwoman CHU, LGBTQ-plus Equality Caucus Chairman CICILLINE, CPC Chairwoman JAYAPAL, Congressman TAKANO, Congressman RASKIN, and the Representative from Buffalo, New York, Congress-

man Higgins. We are joined by more than 140 of our Democratic colleagues as original cosponsors.

On May 14, a self-described white supremacist and anti-Semite drove more than 200 miles to Buffalo, New York, where he killed 10 people and injured 3 others, 11 of which were Black.

In a 180-page manifesto that he posted publicly online, he cites the great replacement myth as his motivation and cause to target Black people. The great replacement myth is a racist, anti-Semitic, Islamophobic, xenophobic, nativist, and hateful lie.

It is 2022, and Black people are still being hunted down and killed in America. The same goes for every person of color, Jewish people, the LGBTQ-plus community, and every marginalized person in this country. We remember the lives of Aaron Salter, Ruth Whitfield, Pearl Young, Katherine "Kat" Massey, Heyward Patterson, Celestine Chaney, Roberta Drury, Margus D. Morrison, Andre Mackneil, and Geraldine Talley, all who should still be here with us today.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield the gentleman an additional 30 seconds.

Mr. BOWMAN. Madam Speaker, our Nation has been mourning since this country was founded. We cannot continue to carry on as if this hatred is an undeniable part of American culture and cannot change. We must combat white supremacy. I refuse to be complicit in this hatred because we have failed to take a stand as a Nation.

I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to stand together and condemn this myth as the white supremacist conspiracy theory that it is and vote "yes" on the rule.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I just want to make a couple of comments. The gentleman from Massachusetts, with all due respect, mentioned other countries. I will just point out that other countries don't have the freedoms and don't live the way we do in our great democracy or Republic, whichever, and they don't have the constitutional rights that we have under the Second Amendment.

Our citizens ask to have that Constitution respected, and I don't believe I used the word "inconvenient" at all. What I used are the words, "trampling our constitutional rights," and that is what I think is important here, that we do not talk about the gentleman from Massachusetts mentioning these inconvenient things. I am talking about our citizens' constitutional rights under the Second Amendment.

Madam Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. Foxx).

Ms. FOXX. Madam Speaker, I rise today in opposition to H.R. 2377, the Extreme Risk Protection Order Act. Simply put, this bill tramples upon the

Second Amendment by means of destroying the Fifth.

However, I would like to direct my argument against the bill towards another amendment, the Tenth, which reserves powers to the States. Nineteen States have already enacted red flag laws in some form or another, and all 31 additional States have the authority to do so.

The Federal Government must ask itself whether this bill will add any measure of additional security the States are not already able to make for themselves. The Federal Government must also look to these States to gauge whether red flag laws have any effect on gun violence at all.

It is certainly not the case in Chicago, Illinois, a city subject to State red flag laws, which leads all American cities in the number of persons killed and injured in mass shootings over the past 4 years. Red flag laws have saved no lives in Chicago.

This bill is redundant, not to mention likely ineffective. Americans deserve better than this.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, the gentlewoman from Minnesota made a reference to the United States in comparison to other countries. I am holding this chart up here. You may not be able to see, but this is the number of gun deaths. The U.K. is way down here, France, Germany, Denmark, Switzerland, all free countries. You may not be able to see because it is so small, compared to the United States. You sure as hell can see the number of gun deaths here in the United States. It is unacceptable. It is unacceptable. And it is about time that Democrats and Republicans all agree that it is unacceptable, and that is what this debate is about.

If we want to talk about other countries around the world that are free, lots of countries that enjoy freedom do not have the number of gun deaths and massacres. Their parliaments and their Congresses are not meeting to grieve over the execution and the mass killing of little children in schools like we do on a regular basis.

□ 1245

The only question for people here is whether we are going to do anything or whether this is going to be business as usual: Take the money from the gun lobby and do nothing.

Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. Ross), a distinguished member of the Committee on Rules.

Ms. ROSS. Madam Speaker, I thank the chairman for yielding.

Madam Speaker, I rise today because there is no greater moral imperative for us as Representatives than protecting the safety of our children and our residents.

In recent weeks, our Nation has been yet again shaken to its core by the senseless attack on our most vulnerable. Heartbreakingly, mass shootings have become a steady drumbeat in American life, striking community after community, and instilling fear in the American people as we drop our kids off at school, go to the grocery store, or enter a house of worship.

Madam Speaker, after each of these terrifying events, after the vigils have been held and the new cycle has moved on, there is one thing that never fades. Every person who has had a friend, neighbor, spouse, or child taken by violence, carries with them the heartbreaking pain of that incomprehensible loss.

So what will it take for Republican leaders to join us in taking action? So many Republicans agree with us. How many kids need to die? How many families need to suffer before they finally say enough is enough?

I have spent much of my public life in public service, and I believe in American democracy. And I still believe in the ability of men and women in this Chamber to set their differences aside, to make transformative change for the public good.

Madam Speaker, my plea to my colleagues across the aisle is to stand up for what is right. Let's start the hard work of building a safer America. I come from a southern State with plenty of law-abiding gun owners, but people from across North Carolina have been reaching out to me and my office, including several Republicans and gun owners.

Madam Speaker, I support the rule and commend it to this body.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, if we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule to immediately consider the Prosecutors Need to Prosecute Act, legislation authored by Representatives Malliotakis and Tiffany that ensures accountability for those charged with keeping our streets, our schools, and our communities safe.

Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of my amendment along with extraneous materials immediately prior to the vote on the previous question.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentlewoman from Minnesota?

There was no objection.

Mrs. FISCHBAČH. Madam Speaker, while my colleagues in the majority believe that the best approach to addressing violence is to strip away American's constitutional rights, Republicans stand with parents and communities in ensuring those who commit crimes are prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law. And those who don't, will be held accountable. Just last night, families in San Francisco ousted their district attorney for failing to keep their streets free from criminals.

Americans are fed up with liberal prosecutors letting criminals run

rampant for the sake of woke idealism. This legislation will ensure the Department of Justice and the American public have the data and information necessary to hold those responsible for keeping our streets safe accountable.

Madam Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. MALLIOTAKIS) to further explain this amendment.

Ms. MALLIOTAKIS. Madam Speaker, I rise today to oppose the previous question so that we can immediately consider my bill, H.R. 7967, the Prosecutors Need to Prosecute Act.

My legislation will hold prosecutors accountable and create transparency by letting the public know how many cases prosecutors are declining to prosecute, the number of offenses committed by career criminals, and the number of criminals released.

Over the past 2 years, we have seen a disturbing trend in progressive district attorneys in cities across the country who are refusing to prosecute violent criminal offenders.

Look no further than my city of New York, where Manhattan DA Alvin Bragg released a "Day One" memo initially directing his staff not to prosecute certain crimes, including: drug possession, trespassing, driving with a suspended license, sex trading, resisting arrest, and public obscenity.

He even directed his staff to downgrade felony charges filed by our police, including armed robbery, weapons charges, and drug dealing. Worst of all, his office will no longer seek life sentences without parole, which means the most heinous murderers, including terrorists, serial killers, cop killers, and perps who kill young children in connection with sex crimes will be released back on to our streets in 20 years or less.

These policies have sent a clear message to criminals, and that message is: Go ahead, commit crime, break the law, because we will not enforce it. What is the point of creating laws if the ones currently on the books are not enforced?

With prosecutors that refuse to prosecute, it is no surprise that crime is surging in cities across America. In Boston and Los Angeles, if you want a designer purse, toiletries, or food, you can break into any store and take it. No questions asked.

This week, LA's District Attorney George Gascon doubled down on the light sentence given to a teen driver who mowed down a mother and her newborn baby last summer. The teen only received juvenile probation, which authorities say is less than military school and a little bit tougher than summer camp.

In 2020, defund the police rioters and looters created chaos in my city of New York, destroying storefronts; they assaulted police officers, and they even put police cars on fire. While police made hundreds of arrests, New York City district attorneys dismissed the majority of those charges filed.

In the Bronx, more than 60 percent of arrestees had their charges dropped, and of the 485 rioters arrested in Manhattan, 222 individuals had their charges dropped entirely, while 73 received lesser counts.

The same goes for Federal prosecutors in Portland, Oregon, who dismissed roughly half the cases charged in connection with violence and antipolice protests.

In Philadelphia, 23-year-old Police Corporal James O'Connor was gunned down and killed in 2020 by a career felon and wanted gangbanger because the DA's office allowed him to freely roam the streets. Perhaps if the DA's office had done its job, Corporal O'Connor would be alive today. We had a similar story in my city of New York as well.

In NANCY PELOSI'S San Francisco, on New Year's Eve 2020, a man slammed a stolen car into two women crossing the street, killing them both. The man, who had a lengthy criminal rap sheet, was out on parole, thanks to San Francisco's soft-on-crime DA Chesa Boudin, the son of a domestic terrorist cop-killer associated with the far-left militant group. Weather Underground.

Well, you know what? Voters have had enough of the violence and crime plaguing our communities and endangering their families. In fact, just last night, when San Francisco voters recalled Boudin, they sent a clear message that prosecutors who fail to do their job will be removed from office.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I yield an additional 30 seconds to the gentlewoman.

Ms. MALLIOTAKIS. Madam Speaker, our Nation is under attack by criminals with no regard for property and life, and rogue district attorneys who allow them to wreak havoc on our streets.

Madam Speaker, I close with the words of the late Democrat Senator Robert Kennedy: "Every society gets the kind of criminal it deserves. What is equally true is that every community gets the kind of law enforcement it insists on."

Let's stop siding with the criminals preying on our cities. Let's stop emboldening the district attorneys to lay idly by as crime plagues our streets.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support my legislation today. It is time to support our citizens, not criminals.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, I began this debate by appealing to my colleagues to try to find common ground and come together and do something about this gun violence epidemic. And yet, we hear the same old tired red State versus blue State talking points directly from the gun lobby.

Madam Speaker, since it was brought up, I include in the RECORD an April 4,

2022, Yahoo News article entitled, "Republican-controlled States have higher murder rates than Democratic ones," according to the study.

[From Yahoo! News, April 4, 2022]

REPUBLICAN-CONTROLLED STATES HAVE HIGH-ER MURDER RATES THAN DEMOCRATIC ONES: STUDY

(By Ben Adler)

Republican politicians routinely claim that cities run by Democrats have been experiencing crime waves caused by failed governance, but a new study shows murder rates are actually higher in states and cities controlled by Republicans.

"We're seeing murders in our cities, all Democrat-run," former President Donald Trump asserted at a March 26 rally in Georgia. "People are afraid to go out."

In February, Sen. Tom Cotton, R-Ark., blamed Democrats for a 2018 law that reduced some federal prison sentences—even though it was signed by Trump after passing a GOP-controlled Congress. "It's your party who voted in lockstep for the First Step Act that let thousands of violent felons on the street who have now committed innumerable violent crimes," Cotton said during a speech in the Senate.

Last December, Rep. Dan Crenshaw, R-Texas, told Fox News viewers, "America's most beautiful cities are indeed being ruined by liberal policies: There's a direct line between death and decay and liberal policies."

But a comparison of violent crime rates in jurisdictions controlled by Democrats and Republicans tells a very different story. In fact, a new study from the center-left think tank Third Way shows that states won by Trump in the 2020 election have higher murder rates than those carried by Joe Biden. The highest murder rates, the study found, are often in conservative, rural states.

The study found that murder rates in the 25 states Trump carried in 2020 are 40 percent higher overall than in the states Biden won. (The report used 2020 data because 2021 data is not yet fully available.) The five states with the highest per capita murder rate—Mississippi, Louisiana, Kentucky, Alabama and Missouri—all lean Republican and voted for Trump.

There are some examples of states Biden won in 2020 that also have high per capita murder rates, including New Mexico and Georgia, which have the seventh- and eighth-highest murder rates, respectively. And there are Trump-supporting states with low murder rates, such as Idaho and Utah. Broadly speaking, the South, and to a lesser extent the Midwest, has more murders per capita than the Northeast, interior West and West Coast, the study found.

Those findings are consistent with a pattern that has existed for decades, in which the South has had higher rates of violent crime than the nation as a whole.

"We as criminologists have known this for quite some time," Jennifer Ortiz, a professor of criminology at Indiana University Southeast, told Yahoo News. "States like Mississippi, Louisiana and Alabama have historically had high crime rates."

Criminologists say research shows higher rates of violent crime are found in areas that have low average education levels, high rates of poverty and relatively modest access to government assistance. Those conditions characterize some portions of the American South

"They are among the poorest states in our union," Ortiz said of the Deep South. "They have among the highest rates of child poverty. They are among the least-educated states. They are among the states with the highest levels of substance abuse. All of

those factors contribute to people engaging in criminal behavior."
"I thought that was a very good study,"

Richard Rosenfeld, a professor of criminology at the University of Missouri-St. Louis and former president of the American Society of Criminology, told Yahoo News about the Third Way report. "In Republican states, states with Republican governors, crime rates tend to be higher. I'm not certain that's related to the fact that the governor is a Republican, but it's a fact none-theless."

(While the Third Way study divided states by presidential vote in 2020, using gubernatorial party affiliation leads to similar results because most states have recently chosen the same party for governor and for president. Based on presidential vote, eight of the 10 states with the highest murder rates lean Republican, versus seven of the top 10 if one uses the governor's party.)

Although murder rates tend to be highest in the South, the biggest increases in 2020 were found in the Great Plains and Midwest, according to Third Way. The largest jumps were in Wyoming (91.7 percent higher than in 2019), South Dakota (69 percent), Wisconsin (63.2 percent), Nebraska (59.1 percent) and Minnesota (58.1 percent). Wyoming, South Dakota and Nebraska all voted for Trump and have Republican governors. Wisconsin and Minnesota voted for Biden and are led by Democrats.

Few large cities are governed by Republicans—only 26 of the 100 largest U.S. cities have Republican mayors—making apples-to-apples comparisons difficult. But cities that do have Republican mayors do not have lower murder rates than similarly sized Democratic-led cities, the study found.

Some experts warn against the impulse to use crime data to score quick political points.

"Being a Republican or Democratic state or city is correlated with many other issues," David Weisburd, a professor of criminology and executive director of the Center for Evidence-Based Crime Policy at George Mason University, wrote in an email to Yahoo News. "That means that the murder rate may be due to the state being Republican, or it may be due to the fact that Republican states have many other risk factors related to crime or murder rates. Even with a very comprehensive modeling of all of these factors, it is very difficult to get a valid causal result for explaining crime rates."

That argument cuts both ways, however. Weisburd also thinks the claims of Trump and other Republicans who say Democrats have caused a crime wave in the cities and states they govern are unfounded. "I don't think this argument can be supported no matter which way you go," Weisburd said.

Murder rates in the U.S. rose dramatically in 2020 from record lows, and the increases are similar across states—regardless of partisan preference. For homicides in 2020, Third Way found a 32.2 percent uptick in Trump-backing states versus a 30.8 percent rise in those that voted for Biden. Some states with large cities, such as New York and Pennsylvania, saw larger-than-average increases: New York went up 47 percent and Pennsylvania is up 39 percent. But the largest increases were in rural, Republican-led states, including Montana (+84 percent and South Dakota (+81 percent).

The higher national murder rate is naturally causing public concern, although violent crime does remain far below its early 1990s high point. "Using the FBI data, the violent crime rate fell 49 percent between 1993 and 2019," from 757 incidents per 100,000 people to 379 per 100,000, the Pew Research Center noted last November. Between 2019

and 2020, the murder rate jumped from 6 homicides per 100,000 people to 7.8 homicides per 100,000, but that was still 22 percent below the rate in 1991 of 10 homicides per 100,000.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, Republicans would rather point fingers than look in the mirror, but a recent study found that the highest murder rates are often in conservative, rural States. The five States with the highest per capita murder rate: Mississippi, Louisiana, Kentucky, Alabama, Missouri—all lean Republican.

Madam Speaker, I include in the RECORD the summary of a December 16, 2021, report from Everytown Research & Policy, entitled, "City Dashboard: Murder and Gun Homicide Report."

[From Everytown Research, Dec. 16, 2021] SUMMARY: CITY DASHBOARD: MURDER AND GUN HOMICIDE REPORT

(By Everytown Research & Policy)

In the midst of one public health epidemic, COVID-19, 2021 and 2020 were also two of the deadliest years on record for another public health crisis—gun violence. The United States saw a 33 percent increase from 2019 to 2020 in the rate of gun homicides. This upward trend continued—but slowed—through the end of 2021 during which time there was an additional 7 percent increase in gun homicides relative to 2020.

Due to limited funding and inconsistent data collection, data on city gun violence is too often old, incomplete, and conflicting from one federal agency to another. Below is the most recent, available, reliable data on murders (firearm and non-firearm) from 2016 to the first three quarters of 2021 and on gun homicides from 2016 to 2020 in nearly 500 cities.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I will debunk some myths. Chicago, New York, Los Angeles, and San Francisco do not have the highest gun violence rates in the country.

You don't measure gun violence rates by the raw number. These are big cities. Of course, they are going to have more gun deaths than other places. You measure these rates by measuring per 100,000 people. When you do that, these cities aren't even in the top 20.

Jackson, Mississippi; Gary, Indiana; St. Louis, Missouri; New Orleans; Memphis—the list goes on and on. Find a new talking point.

Madam Speaker, I include in the RECORD a February 28, 2018, piece from ABC News entitled, "Trump calls for raising minimum age to buy all guns to 21."

[From ABC News, Feb. 28, 2018]
TRUMP CALLS FOR RAISING MINIMUM AGE TO
BUY ALL GUNS TO 21
(By Jordyn Phelps)

President Trump again called for raising the minimum legal age to purchase all guns to age 21 during a meeting with lawmakers on guns and school safety Wednesday, while suggesting that those who are staying silent on the topic are "afraid" to come up against the NRA,

"Tm going to give it a lot of consideration," Trump said. "People aren't bringing it up because they're afraid to bring it up. You can't buy a handgun at 18, 19 or 20. You have to wait until you're 21. You could buy the weapon used in this horrible shooting at 18. You are going to decide—the people in

this room pretty much—are going to decide. I would give very serious thought to it."

While noting the NRA's opposition to proposals to raise the minimum age to purchase firearms, the president made the case that raising the minimum age is common sense.

"The NRA is opposed to it and I'm a fan of the NRA. No bigger fan. I'm a big fan of the NRA. These are great people. Great patriots. They love our country but that doesn't mean we have to agree on everything," Trump said. "It doesn't make sense that I have to wait till I'm 21 to get a handgun but I can get this."

The president also signaled his support for the Manchin-Toomey proposal that was defeated back in 2013, and is in the process of being reworked, that calls for expanding background checks on guns sales to include firearms sold at gun shows and on the internet.

The president suggested that the measure failed back in 2013 because President Barack Obama was in office at the time, saying "that was your problem," in reference to Obama. But in fact, Obama backed the bill at the time.

He asked Sen. Pat Toomey, a Pennsylvania Republican, if the bill he's sponsoring with Senator Joe Manchin, a West Virginia Democrat, would proposing raising the purchasing age for certain guns.

"We don't address it," Toomey told the president.

"You know why, because you're afraid of the NRA," the president said in reply.

Wednesday evening, the NRA responded to Trump's proposals.

In a statement, spokesperson Jennifer Baker said: "While today's meeting made for great tv, the gun-control proposals discussed would make for bad policy that would not keep our children safe. Instead of punishing law-abiding gun owners for the acts of a deranged lunatic our leaders should pass meaningful reforms that would actually prevent future tragedies."

"They can start by fixing the broken mental health system," her statement continued, "strengthening background checks to ensure the records of people who are prohibited from possessing firearms are in the NICS system, securing our schools and preventing the dangerously mentally ill from accessing firearms."

At the White House meeting, the president repeatedly expressed his support for legislation to improve the nation's background check system but said such a measure should not also include a provision to expand concealed carry law to allow people with concealed carry licenses to carry their firearms across state line.

"I'm with you but let it be a separate bill," Trump told Louisiana Republican Rep. Steve Scalise, who was gunned down during a congressional baseball practice last year. "You'll never get this passed if you add concealed carry to this, you'll never get it passed. I don't think—again, you'll never get it passed. We want to get something done."

The president also vowed to ban bump stocks through executive action, telling the lawmakers that the rapid-fire devices are "gone."

"I'm going to write that out. We can do that by executive order," the president said, noting that "the lawyers" are working on the language.

Last week, Trump said he ordered the Justice Department to move to ban the rapid-fire devices that were used in the Las Vegas massacre last year. Bump stocks were not used in the Parkland shooting.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, the very person that everybody on the other side of the aisle is so afraid to take on, so frightened of, says that we should raise the age to 21.

Madam Speaker, former President Donald Trump said it himself: "You can't buy a handgun at 18, 19, or 20. You have to wait until you're 21. You could buy the weapon," meaning an AR-15, "used in this horrible shooting at 18... It doesn't make sense."

I mean, come on. Trump said this in 2018, and these guys here are telling us that somehow this is a violation of the Constitution? Give me a break.

We are here to try to save the lives of America's kids. And there should be more outrage on the other side of the aisle, not the usual talking points that we hear over and over again from the gun lobby. If this isn't important, then nothing is.

Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. MORELLE), a distinguished member of the Committee on Rules.

Mr. MORELLE. Madam Speaker, I thank Mr. McGovern, my colleague and friend, the distinguished chair of the Committee on Rules, for yielding.

Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of the rule and the underlying legislation, the Protecting Our Kids Act and the Federal Extreme Risk Protection Order Act.

It is hard to find words to describe the despair we feel in the wake of the recent series of senseless mass shootings in Buffalo, Uvalde, Tulsa, and too many neighborhoods across our country. For the sake of the victims, their families, and all Americans, we cannot rest until we put an end to this vicious cycle.

Madam Speaker, there have been more than 200 mass shootings already in 2022. That is more shootings than there have been days of the year. And according to a recent analysis published in the New England Journal of Medicine, firearm deaths have now replaced motor vehicle accidents as the leading cause of death for children in this country. I know the insurmountable pain of losing a child all too well, but losing a child to something entirely avoidable, that is a uniquely devastating kind of tragedy.

Madam Speaker, June is gun violence awareness month, but we are already painfully, brutally aware. What we need now is action. That is why this week we are passing legislation to strengthen red flag laws, raise the age for semiautomatic gun purchases, ban bump stocks and high-capacity magazines, and promote safe storage of firearms

This builds on action we have already taken to enact universal background checks and marks a critical step forward in keeping dangerous weapons out of the wrong hands.

In the midst of so much pain and suffering, it is astonishing that there are those who still refuse to act. If my colleagues on the other side of the aisle are not willing to be part of the solution, then please, please, please, stand aside so you are not part of the problem.

Madam Speaker, it doesn't stop here. I will continue pushing to ban assault weapons, combat gun trafficking so we can put a stop to this devastating and maddening cycle of violence. Because the absolute worst thing we can do is nothing at all.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. TIFFANY).

□ 1300

Mr. TIFFANY. Madam Speaker, I rise to oppose the previous question so that the House can consider the Prosecutors Need to Prosecute Act.

Madam Speaker, it is no secret that crime is out of control in this country. Annual homicide records have been broken in at least a dozen major cities. Brazen smash-and-grab robberies in broad daylight are a daily occurrence. Killing, severe beatings, armed robberies, carjackings, sexual assaults, arson, and looting have become a common feature on the evening news.

Yet, rogue prosecutors in cities like Los Angeles, San Francisco, Philadelphia, New York City, and Milwaukee continue to release predators from custody almost as fast as the police can arrest them.

The use of no-cash bail policies, plea bargains, and a complete refusal to put dangerous repeat offenders behind bars has demoralized our police and endangered our communities, and America's most iconic cities resemble a Third World country.

I will give you an example in my home State. In Milwaukee County, we witnessed back at Christmas a massacre at the Waukesha County Christmas Parade, 6 people dead, 60 injured. How? With a guy that had a rap sheet that had felonies, misdemeanors, statutory rape, resisting arrest, and strangulation, and he was out on \$1,000 bail.

Here is the worst part, though: That district attorney, John Chisholm in Milwaukee County, here is what he said years ago about his philosophy. "Is there going to be an individual I divert, or I put into treatment program, who is going to go out and kill somebody? You bet. Guaranteed. It is guaranteed to happen. It does not invalidate the overall approach."

That is what we have for district attorneys around the United States.

Americans are sick and tired of the lawlessness, and they are demanding accountability.

Madam Speaker, each year, jurisdictions across the United States benefit from the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant program, which provides funding to States, Tribes, and local governments to support a range of justice-related programs.

If we defeat the previous question, I will offer an amendment to the rule, calling for immediate consideration of the Prosecutors Need to Prosecute Act. It would, among other things, mandate that district attorneys report to Congress on how often they follow through, holding criminals charged with violent

crimes, like murder, rape, arson, crimes involving illegal guns, and motor vehicle theft accountable.

They would also be required to disclose how often they prosecute the initial charges, how often they secure convictions, whether or not those charged were already on probation or parole, and how many offenders were released without bail.

Madam Speaker, the American people need to know if the people they have entrusted to keep their neighborhoods safe are actually using their tax dollars to finance this crime wave.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. time of the gentleman has expired.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I yield an additional 10 seconds to the gentleman.

Mr. TIFFANY. It is time to end the policy of underwriting progressive policies that endanger the lives and livelihoods of decent, hardworking Americans, and that starts with transparency.

I urge my colleagues to stand with us for safer communities and oppose the previous question.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from

California (Mr. TAKANO).

Mr. TAKANO. Madam Speaker, I rise in support of the rule, which would deem and pass a resolution condemning great replacement theory and white supremacy.

The recent mass shooting in Buffalo. New York, that claimed the lives of 10 Americans was committed by a self-described white supremacist who referenced great replacement theory in a manifesto.

The perpetuation of xenophobia, racism, and anti-Semitism by rightwing extremists who believe in a grand conspiracy theory that minorities, somehow facilitated by Jews, are actively seeking to diminish the political and cultural power of White voters must be condemned.

Notably absent is the condemnation of our leaders on the right, who refuse to speak out against this senseless violence and call it what it is: hate speech meant to divide us and not unite us.

This departure from logic and reason. and cozying up to fear, is leading people to violence and extremism in communities all across this country, and it must be stopped.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Burgess).

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentlewoman for yielding.

We learned in the Rules Committee yesterday—in fact, the gentlewoman from Minnesota pointed out-how Republicans had little opportunity to provide any input into these bills that are going to be provided for in this rule. Unfortunately, the Speaker has no interest in involving half of the Representatives in this country in addressing an issue we all care about: Keeping Americans safe

Yesterday, in the Rules Committee, it was stated that Republicans vote

against all mental health bills. I don't know where that concept comes from. Republicans passed into law the 21st Century Cures Act. which represents the most significant reform to the mental health system in several decades.

Republicans have also taken steps to reform the National Instant Criminal Background Check System with the socalled Fix NICS Act of 2017, which improved reporting to the database.

Unfortunately, we also know the Department of Justice inspector general reported that only 1 percent of individuals who try to purchase a firearm illegally, and it is known they are trying to purchase a firearm illegally, are prosecuted.

So. I have introduced a bill. H.R. 194. to require the Department of Justice to recommence this reporting to Congress so that Congress can have a better idea of how many guns exist illegally in commerce and, ultimately, to ensure that the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives recovers these illegal firearms.

Republicans would have also engaged on the issue of the age of purchase, but honestly, as brought up by Representative Massie yesterday during the Rules Committee hearing, let's examine all the data points to determine whether the age for law enforcement officers, military service, and the Selective Service should also be considered. But that was not on the table.

Then, as if to underscore just how partisan and one-sided this rule is today, there is a provision in the rule that deems a \$1.6 trillion budget resolution for fiscal year 2023. Madam Speaker, I am a member of the Budget Committee, and during the Rules Committee hearing yesterday was the first time I heard about this budget resolution. It is a 9 percent increase over fiscal year 2022 and \$21 billion over President Biden's fiscal year 2023 budget proposal.

We have a problem with inflation in this country. We have a problem with inflation because the Federal Government and congressional Democrats and the Biden administration are overspending what the economy can tolerate. Yet, here we are, adding a 9 percent increase on a resolution that is deemed passed when the rule is voted on. We don't even get to debate the pros and cons in the committee. It is just deemed passed when the rule is passed. I have to ask: When will this partisan policymaking end?

Half the country represented by Republicans deserve—and we have a mandate from our constituents—to be part of the legislative process.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I vield myself such time as I may consume.

Let me remind everybody that 21 people were killed in Uvalde, 19 children. Ten people were killed in Buffalo. I say that because I think my colleagues need to be reminded about why we are here today, not to talk about

the budget, but to talk about saving lives.

I also remind them that the shooter in Buffalo and the shooter in Uvalde went in and legally purchased an AR-15 at 18 years old.

Our bill that we are talking about here today would have prevented that. Whatever they are doing for the previous question-I don't know what it is—would not.

I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Pennsylvania (Ms. SCANLON), a member of the Rules Committee.

Ms. SCANLON. Madam Speaker, since the Judiciary Committee marked up the Protecting Our Kids Act last Thursday, dozens more Americans have been killed or wounded in shootings. including in Philadelphia.

Saturday night was a beautiful summer evening in the South Street entertainment district when a fistfight broke out. It ended with 3 deaths and 11 injured after multiple people pulled guns and fired into the bustling crowd. Most of those gun owners were licensed to carry. One had a ghost gun, which, of course, is the subject of our legislation today.

The point is that the current approach to gun violence in this country, which has encouraged a flood of guns to our streets, is not working. We need to do more, and we need to do it now.

This isn't about being progun or antigun. Gun violence is not a partisan issue. It is a sickness infecting this entire country.

Whether Philadelphia, Uvalde, Tulsa, Buffalo, or anywhere else in between, none of us should sit idly by and watch preventable gun deaths happen every single day, and I know that I, for one, cannot.

We are not helpless. We can change this. The needle on this issue has moved, and it is not going back. The only question is whether Republican Members of Congress and the Senate will listen to their constituents or the NR.A.

Our fellow Americans are demanding action. There is nothing unconstitutional about the bills we consider today, and they will help stop the routine slaughter of children, neighbors, teachers, doctors, and seniors in our schools, neighborhoods, churches, temples, mosques, and supermarkets.

I refuse to tell our children that they must be sacrificial lambs to a radical, twisted theory of armed Second Amendment liberty that is decoupled from personal responsibility and refuses to recognize the overriding purposes of the Constitution, to ensure domestic tranquility and promote the general welfare, and that also refuses to recognize that there are constitutional limits to the Second Amendment. Our Constitution is not a suicide pact.

Our children know as well as we do that we can do something. We have the power to pass this bill, and we must.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may conMy colleague from Massachusetts continues to use his talking points and mentions the gun lobby, and I want to point out that I hear from many of my constituents who are law-abiding gun owners and who are extremely concerned and oppose this bill.

As a matter of fact, I met with a group of students from my district this morning who oppose this legislation. Even students know that this bill will do nothing to stop gun violence, but they do understand it will trample Second Amendment rights.

Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. SMITH).

Mr. SMITH of Missouri. Madam Speaker, today's rule is an all-too-familiar pattern of the legislative laziness from my Democrat colleagues.

Once again, Washington Democrats are hiding their spending from the American people. They are smuggling their spending levels for the upcoming appropriations process into a rule for a totally unrelated bill so they don't have to debate or defend their out-of-control spending habits.

Last year, Democrats did the exact same thing. The chairman of the Budget Committee drafts a spending resolution; he skips over his committee; and then they toss it into a rule, hoping no one notices.

At no point in the last 4 years of the majority have House Democrats actually marked up a budget in the Budget Committee. Time and again, House Democrats have acted with as little sunlight as possible because they don't want to be held accountable for their record.

Americans know that Washington spending is driving inflation, and now Democrats are calling for even more. Last year alone, House Democrats voted for \$7.5 trillion in new spending, including the \$2 trillion so-called rescue plan that ignited the highest inflation in four decades.

Since President Biden took office and one-party Democrat control of Congress took over in Washington, inflation is up 11 percent. Gas prices are up 110 percent on their watch. President Biden's 2021 deficit was the second highest in history, \$517 billion more than the CBO said it should have been.

Democrats don't want to debate budgets. They certainly don't want to debate the President's budget, which would spend \$73 trillion over the next decade, a 66 percent increase over the past decade. It would add \$16 trillion in new debt with well over \$1 trillion annual deficits every year.

Democrats don't want to talk about budgets because they are spending like they simply don't exist. If Democrats won't show their cards, allow me. The resolution that is tucked away in this rule has over \$1.6 trillion in discretionary spending next year, a \$132 billion, or 9 percent, increase over the most recent fiscal year 2022 omnibus. It is \$21 billion more in spending than even Biden's budget proposal.

Instead of hiding, I urge my colleagues to be crystal clear with the American people about exactly what they have in store for them: tax increases, high inflation, open borders, energy dependence, and an ever-growing mountain of debt.

□ 1315

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, you got to be kidding me. The gentleman from Missouri comes down here for 3 minutes and unloads on everybody here about a technical provision that doesn't even spend any money. It is a technical provision to allow us to go forward with our appropriations work.

Not a mention of the children who were killed in Uvalde or the people who were killed in Buffalo—not a mention. What the hell is wrong with this place?

Madam Speaker, I include in the RECORD—since the gentleman didn't have the dignity to acknowledge those who were killed—the names of those who were murdered in Uvalde and Buffalo.

Robb Elementary School—Uvalde, TX (May 24, 2022)

19 CHILDREN, 2 TEACHERS—21 TOTAL FATALITIES, 18 INJURED

Makenna Lee Elrod, age 10; Layla Salazar, age 11; Maranda Mathis, age 11; Nevaeh Bravo, age 10; Jose Manuel Flores Jr., age 10; Xavier Lopez; age 10; Tess Marie Mata, age 10: Rojelio Torres, age 10; Eliahna "Ellie" Amyah Garcia, age 9; Eliahna A. Torres, age 10: Annabell Guadalupe Rodriguez, age 10; Jackie Cazares, age 9: Uziyah Garcia, age 10; Jayce Carmelo Luevanos, age 10; Maite Yuleana Rodriguez, age 10; Jailah Nicole Silguero, age 10; Amerie Jo Garza, 10; Alexandria "Lexi" Aniyah Rubio, age 10; Alithia Ramirez, age 10; Irma Garcia, age 48; and Eva Mireles, age 44.

Supermarket Shooting—Buffalo, NY (May 14, 2022)

10 TOTAL FATALITIES, 3 INJURED

Pearl Young, age 77; Ruth Whitfield, age 86; Andre Mackniel, age 53; Katherine 'Kat' Massey, age 72; Celestine Chaney, age 65; Margus D. Morrison, age 52; Heyward Patterson, age 67; Aaron Salter Jr., age 55; Roberta Drury, age 32; and Geraldine Talley, age 62.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I will respond to the gentlewoman from Minnesota.

Madam Speaker, I include in the RECORD this Business Insider article entitled "Host Republican leaders told their Members to vote against eight gun-safety bills, citing opposition from the NRA and Gun Owners of America."

[From Insider, June 8, 2022]

HOUSE REPUBLICAN LEADERS TOLD THEIR MEMBERS TO VOTE AGAINST 8 GUN-SAFETY BILLS, CITING OPPOSITION FROM THE NRA AND GUN OWNERS OF AMERICA

(By Bryan Metzger)

House Republicans are poised to vote against eight bills aimed at preventing gun violence on Tuesday, in part due to opposition from powerful pro-gun groups on the right.

House Democratic leaders have scheduled votes for Wednesday evening on the "Protecting Our Kids Act"—a package of seven gun violence-related measures that includes raising the age for legal purchase of semi-automatic rifles and shotguns to 21, closing the "bump stock" loophole, and other measures aimed at preventing the illegal trafficking of guns.

The House will also vote on the "Federal Extreme Risk Protection Order Act," a federal "red flag" bill that would allow family members and law enforcement officials to temporarily block firearm access to those who a court determines pose a danger to themselves or others.

In a "whip notice" sent to rank-and-file

In a "whip notice" sent to rank-and-file members on Tuesday afternoon, House GOP leadership urged a "no" vote on all eight bills, referring to the seven-bill package as the "Unconstitutional Gun Restrictions Act." They wrote that House Democrats had "thrown together this reactionary package comprised of legislation that egregiously violates law-abiding citizens' 2nd Amendment rights and hinders Americans' ability to defend and protect themselves and their families."

The email also noted the opposition of the National Rifle Association and Gun Owners of America, including links to talking points from the NRA about both the gun package and the red flag law. Leaders also noted the opposition of Heritage Action for America, an advocacy group tied to the conservative Heritage Foundation.

"Due to the importance of this issue, votes on this legislation will be considered in future candidate ratings and endorsements by the NRA Political Victory Fund," declares one of the memos shared by party leaders.

It's not uncommon for party leaders to note the opposition of outside groups to major pieces of legislation. For example, in a February whip notice urging Republicans to vote against a major piece of legislation aimed at boosting the US semiconductor industry, GOP leaders noted the opposition of the Federation for American Immigration Reform, National Taxpayers Union, and Americans for Prosperity.

But the two gun groups' inclusion—and the

But the two gun groups' inclusion—and the NRA's threat to downgrade candidate ratings or withhold endorsements should any Republicans back the measures—underscores the enduring influence of pro-second amendment groups on the right, despite the NRA's recent financial troubles and shrinking membership.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. RASKIN), a member of the Rules Committee.

Mr. RASKIN. Madam Speaker, the blood bath continues. Gun violence has now become the number one cause of death of children in the United States. We have rates of gun violence and gun deaths 20 times higher than any other industrialized nations like France, the United Kingdom, Japan, and Israel. No other nation comes close to what we are seeing. That is 200 percent higher than our peer countries.

The American people want change and action, but the minority invites us to believe that the bloody carnage piling up around the country from Buffalo to Uvalde, from Newtown to Las Vegas is a necessary feature of our Second Amendment.

We are invited to believe that all of the lost sons, daughters, mothers, fathers, brothers, and sisters of America are the necessary collateral damage of their fidelity to the Second Amendment.

Our family members must be sacrificed to a completely false vision of the Second Amendment. It is a lie. It is a lie based on a totally bogus misreading of the Second Amendment and what the Supreme Court has actually said about it.

Read Justice Scalia in Heller v. District of Columbia. No, he says, the right to guns is not an unlimited right. No, he says, the Second Amendment right is not a right to keep and carry any weapon whatsoever, in any manner whatsoever, and for whatever purpose. No.

The Second Amendment is not the only right in the Bill of Rights that is not subject to reasonable regulation in the interest of public safety and public security. He specifically upheld reasonable gun safety regulations, including bans on carrying a concealed weapon, the possession of firearms by felons and other people who shouldn't have guns; laws forbidding carrying firearms in schools and government buildings; laws imposing conditions and qualifications on the sale and purchase of firearms.

Stop hiding behind the Second Amendment. Take responsibility for your irresponsible position.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, we already have gun laws in this country and yet those laws continue to be broken. If Democrats want to talk about common sense, how about we talk about enforcing the laws that already exist.

Communities across the country are making it clear that they want people who commit crimes to be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law; yet, liberal prosecutors are letting criminals go free with minimal punishment. This is not woke. This is dangerous.

Criminals need to be held accountable for their actions, and that is why we offered the PQ we did.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, the gentlewoman mentioned our gun laws, and just to demonstrate the absurdity of the gun laws that are in place, I include in the RECORD the Texas Parks & Wildlife "Migratory Game Bird Hunting Methods" list of regulations, which is valid

September 1, 2021 through August 31, 2022.

[From the Texas Parks & Wildlife, Sept. 1, 2021]

MIGRATORY GAME BIRD HUNTING METHODS

(By Texas Parks & Wildlife)

HARVEST INFORMATION PROGRAM (HIP)

No person shall hunt migratory game birds in this state unless that person is HIP-certified in Texas. The federally-mandated Harvest Information Program (HIP) improves harvest information for all migratory game birds. Hunters who buy a Migratory Game Bird Hunting Endorsement, including Super Combos, will be asked a few simple questions about their migratory bird hunting activities.

Please report Migratory Game Bird bands. Check migratory game birds harvested (especially doves) for leg bands and report them to reportband.gov.

MEANS AND METHODS

Shotguns, lawful archery equipment, falconry, dogs, artificial decoys, and manual or mouth-operated bird calls are legal.

A shotgun is the only legal firearm for hunting migratory game birds. Shotguns must not be larger than 10-gauge, must be fired from the shoulder, and must be incapable of holding more than three shells. Shotguns capable of holding more than three shells must be plugged with a one-piece filler which cannot be removed without disassembling the gun, so the gun's total capacity does not exceed three shells.

Hunting is permitted in the open or from a blind or other type of concealment or from floating craft or motor boat provided that all motion resulting from sail or motor has ceased. Sails must be furled and motor turned off before shooting starts.

A craft under power may be used to retrieve dead or crippled birds; however, crippled birds may not be shot from such craft under power.

No person, while hunting waterfowl anywhere in the state, may possess shotgun shells containing lead shot or loose lead shot for use in muzzleloaders. Approved shot includes steel (including copper, nickel or zinccoated steel), bismuth-tin, tungsten-iron, tungsten-polymer (e.g. moly-shot), and any other nontoxic material approved by the Director of the USFWS.

BAITING REGULATIONS

Directly or indirectly placing, exposing, depositing, distributing or scattering of salt, grain, or other feed that could serve as a lure or attraction for migratory game birds to, on or over areas where hunters are attempting to take them is prohibited by federal law. Hunters are responsible for knowing whether an area is baited or not.

For further information on federal regulation regarding baiting:

USFWS Dove Hunting and Baiting.

USFWS Waterfowl Hunting and Baiting.

A hunter may hunt migratory game birds including waterfowl, coots and sandhill cranes:

on or over standing crops, standing flooded crops and flooded harvested crops;

over natural vegetation that has been manipulated;

on or over a normal soil stabilization practice that is defined as a planting for agricultural soil erosion control or post-mining land reclamation conducted in accordance with official recommendations of State Extension Specialists of the Cooperative Extension Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA);

on or over lands or areas where seeds or grains have been scattered solely as a result of a normal agricultural practice which is defined as a planting, harvesting or post-harvest manipulation conducted in accordance with official recommendations of State Extension Specialists of the Cooperative Extension Service of the USDA. Does not include the brocast spreading of seed that is normally drill-planted:

over crops or natural vegetation where grain has been inadvertently scattered as a result of entering or leaving a hunting area, placing decoys or retrieving downed birds;

using natural vegetation or crops to conceal a blind, provided that if crops are used to conceal a blind, no grain or other feed is exposed, deposited, distributed or scattered in the process.

A person may hunt doves over planted crops that have been manipulated for the purpose of hunting. Waterfowl and sandhill cranes may not be hunted where grain or feed has been distributed or scattered as a result of manipulation or livestock feeding.

UNLAWFUL ACTIVITIES

It is unlawful to:

hunt migratory birds with the aid of bait, or on or over any baited area;

hunt over any baited area until 10 days after all baiting materials have been removed and a game warden has confirmed removal of baiting materials;

place or allow the placement of bait on or adjacent to any area where migratory game birds could be attracted for the purpose of hunting migratory game birds by any person:

hunt waterfowl or sandhill cranes over manipulated planted millet in the first year after planting;

hunt waterfowl or sandhill cranes over crops that have been manipulated, unless the manipulation is a normal agricultural post-harvesting manipulation in accordance with official recommendations of State Extension Specialists of the Cooperative Extension Service of the USDA;

use any firearm other than a legal shotgun; use a trap, snare, net, fishhook, poison, drug, explosive or stupefying substance; use live birds as decoys; use recorded or electronically amplified bird calls or sounds; or use a sinkbox;

hunt from or by means of motor vehicles or aircraft of any kind (including stationary) except paraplegics and single or double amputees of legs may hunt from stationary motor-driven conveyances:

use motor-driven land, water or air conveyances or sailboats to concentrate, drive, rally or stir up any migratory game bird; or

hunt where tame or captive live ducks or geese are present unless such birds are or have been for a period of 10 consecutive days prior to such taking confined within an enclosure which substantially reduces the audibility of their calls and totally conceals such birds from the sight of wild migratory game birds.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, shotguns used for duck hunting can't hold more than three shells. Let me repeat: In order to protect the duck population in Texas, shotguns cannot hold more than three shells. Imagine if our Republican friends could muster the same courage to protect America's children.

Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. HIGGINS).

Mr. HIGGINS of New York. Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of the rule for the House to consider commonsense measures to protect our communities and our kids from massacres caused by weapons of mass destruction. In Buffalo, on May 14, the shooting started at 2:30 in the afternoon. In 2 minutes and 3 seconds it was over: 10 people were killed, 3 injured, 11 African Americans, 1 shooter with a weapon of mass destruction.

I don't want anybody's guns, but we should at least be able to be supportive of background checks to ensure that people that shouldn't have a gun possess a gun. That uplifts the integrity of gun ownership by taking a responsible position and does nothing relative to constitutional rights, and represents a collective responsibility.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, why are we here debating legislation we know will never become law, and when there are—completely separate from this proposal—bipartisan efforts going on in the Senate? We know this is not a genuine effort by the Democrats as they went right to extremes of what they know will divide this country, and would not work with Republicans or accept any of the reasonable amendments that were put forward in committee.

This is a political ploy being put on by the Democrats for them to use as talking points, and it is at the expense of a tragedy and the heartache of so many across this country.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Massachusetts (Mrs. TRAHAN).

Mrs. TRAHAN. Madam Speaker, many of us in this Chamber have young children. For us, today's vote comes down to simple questions: Can you as a mom or dad imagine getting a call that your child's school was locked down because of an active shooter? Can you imagine standing helplessly behind a police line as gunshots are fired near your daughter's classroom? Can you imagine having to identify the unrecognizable body of your missing baby boy by his favorite shoes? Can you imagine standing in line for a DNA test praying to God that it does not come back a match?

I ask those questions because that is what 19 families in Uvalde just had to do. That is their reality and it has been the reality for 14 families in Parkland, 20 families in Sandy Hook, 12 families in Columbine, and the list goes on.

If you imagine that reality, then do today what should have been done 25 years ago. Pass the legislation so no parent in America ever experiences this horrific reality again. Our children are counting on us and they are watching.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Michigan (Ms. TLAIB).

Ms. TLAIB. Madam Speaker, it is inhumane, colleagues, to allow the killing of children. I do have one more question for so many that I have asked

over and over again: Is doing nothing really going to save lives? Is it going to actually result in change? How many more of our children have to die?

How many more school children's little pink shoes will be left behind stained with blood before some of the electeds in this room put the lives of people ahead of the profits of their political donors?

In my district, in River Rouge, a 6-year-old girl was caught in a crossfire and shot by a high-powered assault rifle.

The measures in the Protecting Our Kids package that we are set to vote on today is essential and it does save lives. Let's just be very clear though: Our kids need way more than this. They need an assault weapon ban. They need far stricter regulations on handguns and bold initiatives to reduce the number of firearms in our communities.

Most of all, they need accountability from us—from all of us.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. McGÖVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield the gentlewoman an additional 15 seconds.

Ms. TLAIB. Madam Speaker, they need accountability from those of us who are enabling the mass murder of millions of Americans so they can profit from our pain, and that the people that enable their slaughter-for-profit scheme are here in our government.

Madam Speaker, I look forward to supporting this and so much more because our kids deserve it.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, we have no further requests for time on our side, and I am ready to close. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume

Madam Speaker, these bills are not about keeping kids and communities safe from violent criminals, they are about furthering a blanket anti-gun agenda. This is one-size-fits-all gun restriction legislation that would punish law-abiding gun owners and fail to improve public safety. My colleagues on the left know it.

This was not a bipartisan effort and these bills will never make it through the Senate. With very real problems to solve, why are Democrats wasting precious time on something that will never make it into law? If Democrats were serious about addressing gun violence, they would engage in meaningful conversation about public safety instead of this agenda-driven political theater.

The sad fact is that the Democrats' approach demonstrates that these bills and the issue of gun violence on the whole are nothing more than political talking points in an election year. This is designed to advance the radical Democrat base that believes no private citizen should ever be able to own a gun

They should be ashamed of themselves for putting us through this political show rather than working with Republicans on a bipartisan solution to gun violence.

Madam Speaker, I oppose the rule and I ask Members to do the same. I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, how much time do I have remaining?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman has $2\frac{1}{4}$ minutes.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I include in the RECORD Newsweek's May 27, 2022, article entitled "More Children Have Been Shot Dead in 2022 Than Police in the Line of Duty."

[From Newsweek, May 27, 2022] MORE CHILDREN HAVE BEEN SHOT DEAD IN 2022 THAN POLICE IN THE LINE OF DUTY

(By Gerrard Kaonga)

More children have been shot and killed in the U.S. this year than police while on duty, according to new data.

The Officer Down Memorial Page website records the death of police officers across the country and honors them for their service.

As well as showing a picture of the deceased officer, it also shows where they were stationed, the date of their death and the cause.

According to the website, in 2022, 20 officers have been killed after being involved in a shooting.

In comparison, in 2022, 24 students have been killed as a result of shootings at school, according to data collected by Education Week.

"School shootings, terrifying to students, educators, parents and communities, always reignite polarizing debates about gun rights and school safety," the Education Week report read.

"To bring context to these debates, Education Week journalists began tracking shootings on K-12 school property that resulted in firearm-related injuries or deaths."

According to the Education Week report, there have been 27 school shootings in 2022 and 119 in total since 2018, when they began tracking such incidents.

The Robb Elementary School shooting, which resulted in 21 people being killed—19 children and two adult staff, lifted the number of children killed in school shootings above the number of police officers shot dead in the line of duty.

The other school shootings that brought the total number to 24 deaths included an attack at Tanglewood Middle School, Greenville, South Carolina, on March 31.

A 12-year old student was shot and killed at the school. At the time, this was the youngest age a student had been killed in a school shooting in 2022.

Another incident that contributed was the Eisenhower High School shooting, Yakima, Washington, on March 15, that resulted in one student being killed and another injured.

There was also a shooting at East High School in Des Moines, Iowa, on March 7 that resulted in a 15-year-old boy being killed and two female students getting injured.

The second shooting of 2022 that resulted in a fatality of a student was at the South Education Center, Richfield, Minnesota, on February 1.

LARGE NUMBER OF SCHOOL SHOOTINGS

A shooting outside the South Education Center left a 15-year-old student dead and a 17-year-old student critically wounded.

The first incident of 2022 that resulted in a student's death was at Oliver Citywide Academy, in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania on January 19. This resulted in a 15-year-old boy

being shot and killed as he waited to go

President Joe Biden addressed the issue in a speech on Tuesday and said it was time America stood up to the gun manufacturing industry. Biden also reflected on the frequency of mass shootings in America in his speech.

"It's been 3,448 days—10 years since I stood up at a high school in Connecticut—a grade school in Connecticut, where another gunman massacred 26 people, including 20 firstgraders, at Sandy Hook Elementary School,"

"Since then, there have been over 900 incidents of gunfire reported on school grounds. Marjorie Stoneman Douglas High School in Parkland, Florida. Santa Fe High School in Texas. Oxford High School in Michigan. The list goes on and on," Biden said. "And the list grows when it includes mass shootings at places like movie theaters, houses of worship, and, as we saw just 10 days ago, at a grocery store in Buffalo, New York.'

'I am sick and tired of it. We have to act. And don't tell me we can't have an impact on this carnage," Biden said.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the remainder of my time.

Madam Speaker, will the bills before us pass the Senate? I sure as hell hope so. None of these proposals are extreme. Quite the opposite. In fact, they are what the vast majority of people in this country—Democrats, Republicans, Independents—want us to do. Maybe they will get changed in the Senate. Even if our Senate colleagues do not take up these exact bills, I will tell you what this process we are going through will absolutely do and why our efforts here are worthwhile.

This process will unequivocally show where each and every one of us stand in the wake of this unspeakable tragedy. More importantly, it will demonstrate which of the solutions we are putting forward have majority support in this half of the Congress.

As our Senate colleagues discuss gun violence solutions, they will have no doubt as to where the House of Representatives stands on red flag laws; raising the age to purchase a semiautomatic rifle from 18 to 21; gun trafficking and straw purchases; ghost guns; safe storage of firearms, particularly when a minor is likely to gain access; bump stocks; and large-capacity magazines.

We will have separate votes on all of these issues. This is on top of the background check bill and the Charleston loophole bills we have already sent them.

□ 1330

This week there will be no excuses. We will vote on these ideas one issue at a time. None of these proposals are aimed at taking guns away from lawabiding citizens. They are aimed at stopping people from getting slaughtered in their schools, in their churches, in grocery stores, and in their homes. These ideas won't solve every problem or stop every shooting, but no sane person can come to the conclusion that these proposals would not save lives.

I know that things like background checks and waiting until you are 21 to buy an AR-15 and smaller magazine capacity may seem like an inconvenience to some people. But when stacked up against the carnage we have seen in this country, I think we can all live with a little inconvenience.

Madam Speaker, I cannot be any clearer. These bills will keep people from dying, but only if they become law or if similar bills become law.

I know that everybody hates Congress. Hell. I even hate Congress sometimes. But, Madam Speaker, don't listen to the NRA or extremists on this bill. Vote your conscience.

Madam Speaker, I urge a "yes" vote on the rule and the previous question.

The material previously referred to by Mrs. Fischbach is as follows:

AMENDMENT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 1153

At the end of the resolution, add the following:

SEC. 8. Immediately upon adoption of this resolution, the House shall proceed to the consideration in the House of the bill (H.R. 7967) to amend the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act to direct district attorney and prosecutors offices to report to the Attorney General, and for other purposes. All points of order against consideration of the bill are waived. The bill shall be considered as read. All points of order against provisions in the bill are waived. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the bill and on any amendment thereto to final passage without intervening motion except: (1) one hour of debate equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary; and (2) one motion to recommit.

SEC. 9. Clause 1(c) of rule XIX shall not apply to the consideration of H.R. 7967.

Mr. McGOVERN. Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time, and I move the previous question on the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on ordering the previous question.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the aves appeared to have it.

Mrs. FISCHBACH. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3(s) of House Resolution 8. the yeas and navs are ordered.

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, the Chair will reduce to 5 minutes the minimum time for any electronic vote on the question of adoption of the resolution.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 217, nays 205, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 235] 77TF A C 917

	YEAS-217	
Adams	Bowman	Casten
Aguilar	Boyle, Brendan	Castor (FL)
Allred	F.	Castro (TX)
Auchincloss	Brown (MD)	Cherfilus-
Axne	Brown (OH)	McCormicl
Barragán	Brownley	Chu
Bass	Bush	Cicilline
Beatty	Bustos	Clark (MA)
Bera	Butterfield	Clarke (NY)
Beyer	Carbajal	Cleaver
Bishop (GA)	Cárdenas	Clyburn
Blumenauer	Carson	Cohen
Blunt Rochester	Carter (LA)	Connolly
Bonamici	Cartwright	Cooper
Bourdeaux	Case	Correa

Courtney Craig Crist Crow Cuellar Davids (KS) Davis, Danny K. Dean DeFazio DeLauro DelBene Demings DeSaulnier Deutch Dingell Doggett Doyle, Michael Escobar Eshoo Espaillat Evans Fletcher Foster Frankel, Lois Gallego Garamendi García (IL) Garcia (TX) Golden Gomez Gonzalez Vicente Gottheimer Green, Al (TX) Grijalya. Harder (CA) Himes Horsford Huffman Jackson Lee Jacobs (CA) Javanal Jeffries Johnson (GA) Johnson (TX) Jones Kahele Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Khanna Kildee Kilmer Kim (NJ)

Quigley Kirkpatrick Krishnamoorthi Raskin Kuster Rice (NY) Lamb Ross Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Leger Fernandez Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Lien Lofgren Lowenthal Luria Lynch Malinowski Maloney, Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean Manning Matsui McBath McCollum McEachin McGovern McNernev Meeks Meng Mfume Moore (WI) Morelle Moulton Mrvan Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano Nea1 Neguse Newman Norcross O'Halleran Ocasio-Cortez Omar Pallone Panetta Pappas Pascrell Payne Perlmutter Peters Phillips Pingree Porter Pressley Price (NC)

Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush R.va.n Sánchez Sarbanes Scanlon Schakowsky Schiff Schneider Schrader Schrier Scott (VA) Scott, David Sewell Sherman Sherrill Sires Slotkin Smith (WA) Soto Spanberger Speier Stansbury Stanton Stevens Strickland Suozzi Swalwell Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tlaib Tonko Torres (CA) Torres (NY) Trahan Trone Underwood Vargas Veasey Velázquez Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Coleman Welch Wexton Wild Williams (GA) Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

NAYS-205

Clyde Allen Amodei Cole Armstrong Comer Arrington Crawford Babin Crenshaw Bacon Curtis Baird Davidson Balderson Davis, Rodney Banks Des Jarlais Diaz-Balart Bentz Donalds Bergman Duncan Bice (OK) Dunn Ellzey Biggs Bilirakis Emmer Bishop (NC) Estes Boebert Fallon Feenstra Brady Ferguson Brooks Fischbach Buchanan Fitzgerald Buck Fitzpatrick Bucshon Fleischmann Foxx Franklin, C. Burchett Burgess Scott Calvert Fulcher Cammack Gaetz Gallagher Carey Garbarino Carter (GA) Garcia (CA) Carter (TX) Gibbs Cawthorn Gimenez Chabot Gohmert Gonzales, Tony Chenev Kelly (PA) Gonzalez (OH) Kim (CA)

Gooden (TX)

Barr

Bost

Budd

Carl

Cline

Cloud

Gosar Granger Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Green (TN) Greene (GA) Griffith Grothman Guest. Guthrie Harris Harshbarger Hartzler Hern Herrell Herrera Beutler Hice (GA) Higgins (LA) Hinson Hudson Huizenga Issa. Jackson Jacobs (NY) Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Johnson (SD) Jordan Joyce (OH) Joyce (PA) Katko Keller Kelly (MS)

Kinzinger

Johnson (SD)

(LaHood)

Rouzer

Omar

Pallone

Panetta

Pappas

Payne

Pelosi

Peters

Phillips

Pingree

Pocan

Porter

Pressley

Quigley

Raskin

Ross

Ruiz

Rush

Rvan

Sánchez

Sarbanes

Scanlon

Schneider

Scott (VA)

Scott, David

Schrader

Schrier

Sewell

Sherman

Smith (WA)

Spanberger

Stansbury

Strickland

Thompson (CA)

Thompson (MS)

Stanton

Stevens

Suozzi

Swalwell

Takano

Titus

Tlaib

Tonko

Trahan

Trone

Vargas

Veasey

Waters

Welch

Velázquez

Wasserman

Schultz

Watson Coleman

Torres (CA)

Torres (NY)

Underwood

Sherrill.

Sires

Soto

Speier

Schiff

Schakowsky

Rice (NY)

Roybal-Allard

Ruppersberger

Price (NC)

Perlmutter

Pascrell

Kustoff LaHood LaMalfa Lamborn Latta LaTurner Lesko Letlow Long Loudermilk Lucas Luetkemeyer Mace Malliotakis Mann Massie Mast McCarthy	Mullin Murphy (NC) Nehls Newhouse Norman Obernolte Owens Palazzo Palmer Pence Perry Pfluger Posey Reschenthaler Rice (SC) Rodgers (WA) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY)	Smucker Spartz Stauber Steel Stefanik Steil Steube Stewart Taylor Tenney Thompson (PA) Tiffany Timmons Turner Upton Valadao Van Drew			
McClain McClintock McHenry	Rosendale Rouzer Roy	Walberg Walorski			
McKinley Meijer Meuser	Rutherford Salazar Scalise	Waltz Weber (TX) Webster (FL)			
Miller (IL) Miller (WV)	Schweikert Scott, Austin	Wenstrup Westerman			
Miller-Meeks Moolenaar Mooney	Sessions Simpson Smith (MO)	Williams (TX) Wilson (SC) Wittman			
Moore (AL) Moore (UT)	Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) NOT VOTING—	Womack Zeldin			
NOT VOTING—0					

Higgins (NY)

Houlahan

Aderholt Good (VA) Hollingsworth

□ 1410

Mr. MULLIN, Ms. TENNEY, Mrs. HARTZLER, and Mr. KATKO changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

So the previous question was ordered. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE

RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS			
Barragán (Beyer) Bass (Blunt Rochester) Brooks (Fleischmann) Brown (OH) (Beatty) Calvert (Valadao) Cárdenas (Soto) Cawthorn (Gaetz) Crist (Wasserman	Johnson (TX) (Jeffries) Kim (CA) (Valadao) Kirkpatrick (Pallone) Lamb (Blunt Rochester) Leger Fernandez (Neguse) Loudermilk (Fleischmann) Lowenthal	Sánchez (Garcia (TX)) Sewell (Kelly (IL)) Sherman (Beyer) Sires (Pallone) Spartz (Banks) Strickland (Takano) Suozzi (Beyer) Swalwell (Veasey)	DeFazio DeGette DeLauro DelBene Demings DeSaulnier Deutch Dingell Doggett Doyle, Michae F. Escobar Eshoo Espaillat Evans
Schultz) Evans (Beyer) Frankel, Lois (Wasserman Schultz) Gomez (Garcia (TX))	(Beyer) Mace (Donalds) McEachin (Beyer) Moore (WI) (Beyer) Moulton	Taylor (Fallon) Tonko (Pallone) Torres (NY) (Blunt Rochester) Vargas (Takano) Walorski (Banks)	Fletcher Foster Frankel, Lois Gallego Garamendi García (IL)
Guest (Fleischmann) Jacobs (CA) (Correa)	(Neguse) Payne (Pallone) Price (NC) (Manning)	Waters (Garcia (TX)) Welch (Pallone) Wilson (FL)	Garcia (TX) Gomez Gonzalez, Vicente

Ryan (Beyer) The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the resolution.

Ruiz (Correa)

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. BURGESS. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the year and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3(s) of House Resolution 8, the yeas and nays are ordered.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 218, nays 205, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No., 236]

YEAS-218

Adams Allred Axne Auchincloss Barragán Aguilar

Gottheimer Beatty Green, Al (TX) Bera Grijalva Bever Harder (CA) Bishop (GA) Haves Higgins (NY) Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Himes Bonamici Horsford Bourdeaux Houlahan Rowman Hover Boyle, Brendan Huffman F. Jackson Lee Brown (MD) Jacobs (CA) Brown (OH) Jayapal Brownley Jeffries Johnson (GA) Bush Bustos Johnson (TX) Butterfield Jones Carbajal Kahele Cárdenas Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Carson Carter (LA) Cartwright Khanna Case Kildee Casten Kilmer Castor (FL) Kim (NJ) Castro (TX) Kind Kirkpatrick Cherfilus-McCormick Krishnamoorthi Chu Kuster Cicilline Lamb Clark (MA) Langevin Larsen (WA) Clarke (NY) Cleaver Larson (CT) Lawrence Clyburn Lawson (FL) Cohen Connolly Lee (CA) Cooper Lee (NV) Leger Fernandez Correa Levin (CA) Costa Courtney Levin (MI) Craig Lieu Crist Lofgren Crow Lowenthal Cuellar Luria Davids (KS) Lynch Davis, Danny K. Malinowski Maloney, Dean DeFazio Carolyn B. DeGette Maloney, Sean Manning DeLauro DelBene Matsui McBath Demines DeSaulnier McCollum McEachin Deutch Dingell McGovern McNerney Doggett Doyle, Michael Meeks Meng Escobar Mfume Eshoo Espaillat Moore (WI) Morelle Evans Moulton Fletcher Mrvan Murphy (FL) Foster

Ocasio-Cortez NAYS-205

Nadler

Neguse

Newman

Norcross

O'Halleran

Neal

Allen

Babin

Bacon

Baird

Banks

Barr

Bentz

Biggs

Bost

Brady

Buck

Budd

Brooks

Buchanan

Bucshon

Bergman

Bice (OK)

Bilirakis

Bishop (NC) Boebert

Amodei

Armstrong

Arrington

Balderson

Napolitano

Burchett	Duncan
Burgess	Dunn
Calvert	Ellzey
Cammack	Emmer
Carey	Estes
Carl	Fallon
Carter (GA)	Feenstr
Carter (TX)	Ferguso
Cawthorn	Fischba
Chabot	Fitzger
Cheney	Fitzpat
Cline	Fleisch
Cloud	Foxx
Clyde	Frankli
Cole	Scott
Comer	Fulcher
Crawford	Gaetz
Crenshaw	Gallagh
Curtis	Garbari
Davidson	Garcia
Davis, Rodney	Gibbs
DesJarlais	Gimene
Diaz-Balart	Gohmei
Donalds	Golden

Wexton Wild Williams (GA) Wilson (FL) Yarmuth n tra ach rald trick hmann lin, C. her rino (CA) ıez ert

Gonzales, Tony Latta Gonzalez (OH) LaTurner Good (VA) Lesko Gooden (TX) Letlow Gosar Long Granger Loudermilk Graves (LA) Lucas Luetkemeyer Graves (MO) Green (TN) Mace Malliotakis Greene (GA) Griffith Mann Grothman Massie McCarthy Guest Guthrie Harris McClain McClintock Harshbarger Hartzler McHenry Hern McKinley Herrell Meijer Herrera Beutler Miller (IL) Hice (GA) Miller (WV) Miller-Meeks Higgins (LA) Hill Moolenaar Hinson Mooney Moore (AL) Hudson Huizenga Moore (UT) Issa Mullin Jackson Murphy (NC) Jacobs (NY) Nehls Johnson (LA) Newhouse Johnson (OH) Norman Johnson (SD) Obernolte Jordan Owens Joyce (OH) Palazzo Joyce (PA) Pence Katko Perrv Keller Pfluger Kelly (MS) Posey Kelly (PA) Reschenthaler Kim (CA) Rice (SC) Rodgers (WA) Kinzinger Kustoff Rogers (AL) LaHood Rogers (KY) LaMalfa. Rose Lamborn Rosendale

Rov Rutherford Salazar Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Sessions Simpson Slotkin Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smucker Spartz Stauber Steel Stefanik Steil Steube Stewart Taylor Tenney Thompson (PA) Tiffany Timmons Turner Upton Valadao Van Drew Van Duyne Wagner Walberg Walorski Waltz Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Williams (TX) Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Zeldin

NOT VOTING-5

Aderholt Mast Palmer Hollingsworth Meuser

\Box 1430

So the resolution was agreed to. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer) Johnson (TX) Sánchez (Garcia (Jeffries) Bass (Blunt (TX)) Rochester) Kim (CA) Sewell (Kelly (Valadao) Brooks (IL)) (Fleischmann) Kirkpatrick Sherman (Beyer) Brown (OH) (Pallone) Sires (Pallone) (Beatty) Lamb (Blunt Spartz (Banks) Calvert Rochester) Strickland (Valadao) Leger Fernandez (Takano) Cárdenas (Soto) (Neguse) Suozzi (Beyer) Loudermilk Cawthorn (Gaetz) Swalwell Crist (Fleischmann) (Veasey) (Wasserman Lowenthal Taylor (Fallon) Schultz) (Bever) Torres (NY) Mace (Donalds) Evans (Beyer) (Blunt Frankel, Lois McEachin Rochester) (Wasserman (Bever) Moore (WI) Vargas (Takano) Schultz) Gomez (Garcia (Beyer) Walorski (Banks) Moulton (TX)) Waters (Garcia Guest (Neguse) (TX)) Payne (Pallone) Price (NC) (Fleischmann) Welch (Pallone) Jacobs (CA) Wilson (FL) (Manning) (Correa) (Neguse) Johnson (SD) Ruiz (Correa) Ryan (Beyer) (LaHood)

PROVIDING FOR BUDGET ALLOCA-TIONS, AND FOR OTHER PUR-POSES

Pursuant to section 5 of House Resolution 1153, H. Res. 1151 is adopted. The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. Res. 1151

Resolved.

SECTION 1. BUDGET MATTERS.

- (a) FISCAL YEAR 2023.—For the purpose of enforcing the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 621 et seq.) for fiscal year 2023, the allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels provided for in subsection (b) shall apply in the House of Representatives in the same manner as for a concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2023 with appropriate budgetary levels for fiscal year 2023 and for fiscal years 2024 through 2032
- (b) COMMITTEE ALLOCATIONS, AGGREGATES, AND LEVELS.—The chair of the Committee on the Budget shall submit a statement for publication in the Congressional Record as soon as practicable containing—
- (1) committee allocations for the Committee on Appropriations for fiscal year 2023 for new discretionary budget authority of \$1,602,901,000,000 and the outlays flowing therefrom, and for current law mandatory budget authority and outlays, for the purpose of enforcing section 302 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633);
- (2) for all committees other than the Committee on Appropriations, committee allocations for fiscal year 2023 and for the period of fiscal years 2023 through 2032 consistent with the most recent baseline of the Congressional Budget Office, as adjusted, to the extent practicable, for the budgetary effects of any provision of law enacted during the period beginning on the date such baseline is issued and ending on the date of submission of such statement, for the purpose of enforcing section 302 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633);
- (3) aggregate spending levels for fiscal year 2023 in accordance with the allocations established under paragraphs (1) and (2), for the purpose of enforcing section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 642); and
- (4) aggregate revenue levels for fiscal year 2023 and for the period of fiscal years 2023 through 2032 consistent with the most recent baseline of the Congressional Budget Office, as adjusted, to the extent practicable, for the budgetary effects of any provision of law enacted during the period beginning on the date such baseline is issued and ending on the date of submission of such statement, for the purpose of enforcing section 311 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 642).
- (c) Adjustments.—The chair of the Committee on the Budget may adjust the allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels included in the statement referred to in subsection (b)—
- (1) to reflect changes resulting from the Congressional Budget Office's updates to its baseline for fiscal years 2023 through 2032;
- (2) upon the enactment of a bill or joint resolution providing for a change in concepts or definitions; or
- (3) for any bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report by the amounts provided in such measure if such measure would not increase the deficit for either of the following time periods: fiscal year 2023 to fiscal year 2027 or fiscal year 2023 to fiscal year 2032.
- (d) APPLICABILITY OF SECTION 251 ADJUST-MENTS TO DISCRETIONARY SPENDING LIMITS.— Except as expressly provided otherwise, the adjustments provided by section 251(b) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 901(b)) shall not apply to allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels established pursuant to this resolution
- (e) EMERGENCY REQUIREMENTS.—(1) If a bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report contains a provision providing new

- budget authority and outlays or reducing revenue, and a designation of such provision as an emergency requirement, the chair of the Committee on the Budget shall not count the budgetary effects of such provision for any purpose in the House.
- (2)(A) A proposal to strike a designation under paragraph (1) shall be excluded from an evaluation of budgetary effects for any purpose in the House.
- (B) An amendment offered under subparagraph (A) that also proposes to reduce each amount appropriated or otherwise made available by the pending measure that is not required to be appropriated or otherwise made available shall be in order at any point in the reading of the pending measure.
- (f) ADJUSTMENT FOR DISASTER RELIEF.— The chair of the Committee on the Budget may adjust the allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels included in the statement referred to in subsection (b) as follows:
- (1) IN GENERAL.—If a bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report making discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2023 specifies an amount that Congress designates as being for disaster relief, the adjustment for fiscal year 2023 shall be the total of such appropriations for fiscal year 2023 designated as being for disaster relief, but not to exceed the total of—
- (A) the average over the previous 10 fiscal years (excluding the highest and lowest fiscal years) of the sum of the funding provided for disaster relief (as that term is defined on the date immediately before March 23, 2018);
- (B) 5 percent of the total appropriations provided in the previous 10 fiscal years, net of any rescissions of budget authority enacted in the same period, with respect to amounts provided for major disasters declared pursuant to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5121 et seq.) and designated by the Congress as an emergency; and
- (C) the cumulative net total of the unused carryover for fiscal year 2018 and all subsequent fiscal years, where the unused carryover for each fiscal year is calculated as the sum of the amounts in subparagraphs (A) and (B) less the enacted appropriations for that fiscal year that have been designated as being for disaster relief.
- (2) DEFINITION.—As used in this subsection, the term "disaster relief" means activities carried out pursuant to a determination under section 102(2) of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5122(2)).
- (g) ADJUSTMENT FOR WILDFIRE SUPPRESSION.—The chair of the Committee on the Budget may adjust the allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels included in the statement referred to in subsection (b) as follows:
- (1) In general.—If a bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report making discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2023 specifies an amount for wildfire suppression operations in the Wildland Fire Management accounts at the Department of Agriculture or the Department of the Interior, then the adjustment shall be the additional new budget authority specified in such measure as being for wildfire suppression operations for fiscal year 2023, but shall not exceed \$2.550.000.000.
- (2) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this subsection, the terms "additional new budget authority" and "wildfire suppression operations" have the meanings specified in subclauses (I) and (II), respectively, of section 251(b)(2)(F)(ii) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 901(b)(2)(F)(ii)(I) and (II)).
- (h) ADJUSTMENT FOR HEALTH CARE FRAUD AND ABUSE CONTROL.—The chair of the Committee on the Budget may adjust the alloca-

- tions, aggregates, and other budgetary levels included in the statement referred to in subsection (b) as follows:
- (1) IN GENERAL.—If a bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report making discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2023 specifies an amount for the health care fraud abuse control program at the Department of Health and Human Services (75–8393–0–7–571), then the adjustment shall be the additional new budget authority specified in such measure for such program for fiscal year 2023, but shall not exceed \$576,000,000.
- (2) DEFINITION.—As used in this subsection, the term "additional new budget authority" means the amount provided for fiscal year 2023, in excess of \$323,000,000, in a bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report making discretionary appropriations and specified to pay for the costs of the health care fraud and abuse control program.
- (i) ADJUSTMENT FOR CONTINUING DISABILITY REVIEWS AND REDETERMINATIONS.—The chair of the Committee on the Budget may adjust the allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels included in the statement referred to in subsection (b) as follows:
- (1) IN GENERAL.—If a bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report making discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2023 specifies an amount for continuing disability reviews under titles II and XVI of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 401 et seq., 1381 et seq.), for the cost associated with conducting redeterminations of eligibility under title XVI of the Social Security Act, for the cost of co-operative disability investigation units, and for the cost associated with the prosecution of fraud in the programs and operations of the Social Security Administration by Special Assistant United States Attorneys, then the adjustment shall be the additional new budget authority specified in such measure for such expenses for fiscal year 2023, but shall not exceed \$1,511,000,000.
- (2) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this subsection—
- (A) the term "continuing disability reviews" means continuing disability reviews under sections 221(i) and 1614(a)(4) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 421(i), 1382c(a)(4)), including work-related continuing disability reviews to determine whether earnings derived from services demonstrate an individual's ability to engage in substantial gainful activity;
- (B) the term "redetermination" means redetermination of eligibility under sections 1611(c)(1) and 1614(a)(3)(H) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1382(c)(1), 1382c(a)(3)(H)); and
- (C) the term "additional new budget authority" means the amount provided for fiscal year 2023, in excess of \$288,000,000, in a bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report and specified to pay for the costs of continuing disability reviews, redeterminations, co-operative disability investigation units, and fraud prosecutions under the heading "Limitation on Administrative Expenses" for the Social Security Administration.
- (j) ADJUSTMENT FOR REEMPLOYMENT SERV-ICES AND ELIGIBILITY ASSESSMENTS.—The chair of the Committee on the Budget may adjust the allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels included in the statement referred to in subsection (b) as follows:
- (1) IN GENERAL.—If a bill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report making discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2023 specifies an amount for grants to States under section 306 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 506) for claimants of regular compensation, as defined in such section, including those who are profiled as most likely to exhaust their benefits, then the adjustment shall be the additional new budget authority

specified in such measure for such grants for fiscal year 2023, but shall not exceed \$258.000.000.

- (2) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this subsection, the term "additional new budget authority" means the amount provided for fiscal year 2023, in excess of \$117,000,000, in abill, joint resolution, amendment, or conference report making discretionary appropriations and specified to pay for grants to States under section 306 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 506) for claimants of regular compensation, as defined in such section, including those who are profiled as most likely to exhaust their benefits.
- (k) BUDGETARY TREATMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—
- (1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 302(a)(1) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633(a)(1)), section 13301 of the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 632 note), and section 2009a of title 39, United States Code, the statement filed pursuant to subsection (b) shall include in an allocation under section 302(a) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633(a)) to the Committee on Appropriations of amounts for the discretionary administrative expenses of the Social Security Administration and the United States Postal Service.
- (2) SPECIAL RULE.—For purposes of enforcing section 302(f) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 633(f)), estimates of the level of total new budget authority and total outlays provided by a measure shall include any discretionary amounts described in paragraph (1).

SEC. 2. APPLICATION.

- (a) BUDGETARY ADJUSTMENTS.—The chair of the Committee on the Budget may make appropriate budgetary adjustments of new budget authority and the outlays flowing therefrom pursuant to the adjustment authorities provided by section 1.
- (b) APPLICATION AND EFFECT OF CHANGES IN ALLOCATIONS, AGGREGATES, AND OTHER BUDGETARY LEVELS.—
- (1) APPLICATION.—Any adjustments of allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels made pursuant to this resolution shall—

(A) apply while that measure is under consideration:

- (B) take effect upon the enactment of that measure; and
- $\left(C\right)$ be published in the Congressional Record as soon as practicable.
- (2) EFFECT OF CHANGED ALLOCATIONS, AGGREGATES, AND OTHER BUDGETARY LEVELS.—Revised adjustments of allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels resulting from these adjustments shall be considered for the purposes of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 621 et seq.) as the adjustments of allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels contained in this resolution.
- (3) BUDGET COMMITTEE DETERMINATIONS.— For purposes of this resolution, adjustments of allocations, aggregates, and other budgetary levels shall be determined on the basis of estimates made by the chair of the Committee on the Budget.

SEC. 3. LIMITATION ON ADVANCE APPROPRIATIONS.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), any general appropriation bill or bill or joint resolution continuing appropriations, or amendment thereto or conference report thereon, may not provide an advance appropriation.
- (b) EXCEPTIONS.—An advance appropriation may be provided for programs, activities, or accounts identified in lists submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the chair of the Committee on the Budget—
- (1) for fiscal year 2024, under the heading "Accounts Identified for Advance Appropria-

- tions" in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$28,852,000,000 in new budget authority, and for fiscal year 2025, accounts separately identified under the same heading; and
- (2) for fiscal year 2024, under the heading "Veterans Accounts Identified for Advance Appropriations".
- (c) DEFINITION.—The term "advance appropriation" means any new discretionary budget authority provided in a general appropriation bill or bill or joint resolution continuing appropriations for fiscal year 2023, or any amendment thereto or conference report thereon, that first becomes available following fiscal year 2023.

SEC. 4. EXPIRATION.

The provisions of this resolution shall expire upon the adoption of a concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2023 by the House of Representatives and the Senate.

CONDEMNING THE ATROCITY THAT OCCURRED IN BUFFALO. NEW YORK, ON MAY 14, 2022, IN WHICH 10 AMERICANS WERE KILLED AND 3 WERE INJURED, AND IN WHICH 11 OF THE 13 VIC-TIMS WERE BLACK AMERICANS, CONDEMNING THE GREAT RE-PLACEMENT THEORY ASΑ SUPREMACIST CON-WHITE SPIRACY THEORY, AND RE-AFFIRMING THE HOUSE OF REP-RESENTATIVES COMMITMENT TO COMBATING WHITE SUPREMACY. HATRED, AND RACIAL INJUSTICE

Pursuant to section 6 of House Resolution 1153, H. Res. 1152 is adopted.

The text of the resolution is as follows:

H. RES. 1152

Whereas, on May 14, 2022, in Buffalo, New York, 10 Americans were killed and 3 were injured by a self-described White supremacist who drove more than 200 miles to carry out this racist, violent mass shooting with an assault-style rifle at a supermarket in a predominantly Black neighborhood;

Whereas the self-described White supremacist and antisemite who allegedly carried out this racially motivated, anti-Black massacre with a firearm reportedly wrote a manifesto citing the White supremacist Great Replacement Theory;

Whereas the alleged gunman livestreamed the mass shooting on Twitch:

Whereas the victims who tragically died were Aaron Salter, Ruth Whitfield, Pearly Young, Katherine "Kat" Massey, Heyward Patterson, Celestine Chaney, Roberta Drury, Margus D. Morrison, Andre Mackneil, and Geraldine Talley:

Whereas the victims who were injured were Zaire Goodman, Jennifer Warrington, and Christopher Braden;

Whereas the "Great Replacement Theory" is a White supremacist conspiracy theory that perpetuates the racist, xenophobic, nativist, Islamophobic, and antisemitic falsehood that non-White immigrants are being brought into the United States and other Western countries to repopulate majority White countries and disempower White voters to achieve a political agenda, and to specifically bring upon the genocide of White people:

Whereas this hateful conspiracy theory has been cited as a motivation for White supremacist terrorist attacks domestically and internationally, including—

(1) the targeting of a Tops Friendly Market in a predominantly Black neighborhood in Buffalo, New York, in 2022, in which the alleged gunman murdered 10 Black people, and injured 1 Black person and 2 White people;

- (2) the murder of 9 Black worshipers at the Emanuel African Methodist Episcopal Church in Charleston, South Carolina, in 2015:
- (3) the White nationalist Unite the Right rally in Charlottesville, Virginia, in 2017 that resulted in 9 wounded and in the murder of Heather Heyer;
- (4) the murder of 11 people and wounding of 6 in an antisemitic attack on the Tree of Life L'Simcha synagogue in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. in 2018:
- (5) the targeting of Muslim worshippers, in which a gunman murdered 50 people at the Al Noor Mosque and Linwood Islamic Centre in Christchurch, New Zealand, in 2019;
- (6) the targeting of a Walmart in a predominantly Hispanic neighborhood in El Paso, Texas, in 2019, in which a gunman murdered 23 people and injured 3; and
- (7) the targeting of 3 Asian-owned spas in the Atlanta, Georgia, metro area on March 16, 2021, in which a gunman killed 8 people, including 6 Asian women;

Whereas this hateful conspiracy theory and White supremacy inflicts harm to individuals and communities in addition to physical injuries and death;

Whereas many individuals in positions of power and media institutions with widely viewed public platforms have contributed to the normalization and legitimization of the underlying principles of the Great Replacement Theory; and

Whereas the people of the United States stand in solidarity with those harmed by this hateful, senseless tragedy: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the House of Representatives—

- (1) condemns in the strongest terms the Great Replacement Theory, a White supremacist conspiracy theory that has been used to falsely justify racially motivated, violent acts of terrorism domestically and internationally;
- (2) honors the memory and legacy of the victims and acknowledges the lasting impact that this White supremacist act of violent extremism will have on the Black community of Buffalo, New York, the United States, and globally:
- (3) encourages all Americans to remember the victims of racially motivated hate crimes connected to White supremacist conspiracy theories such as the Great Replacement Theory; and
- (4) reaffirms the commitment of the Federal Government to combat White supremacy in all forms by developing the coordinated, whole-of-government approach to address White supremacist violence.

PROTECTING OUR KIDS ACT

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, pursuant to House Resolution 1153, I call up the bill (H.R. 7910) to amend title 18, United States Code, to provide for an increased age limit on the purchase of certain firearms, prevent gun trafficking, modernize the prohibition on untraceable firearms, encourage the safe storage of firearms, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. DEGETTE). Pursuant to House Resolution 1153, in lieu of the amendment in the nature of a substitute recommended by the Committee on the

Judiciary printed in the bill, an amendment in the nature of a substitute consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 117–48 is adopted and the bill, as amended, is considered read.

The text of the bill, as amended, is as follows:

H.R. 7910

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the "Protecting Our Kids Act".

(b) Table of Contents.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I-RAISE THE AGE

Sec. 101. Prohibition on Federal firearms licensee selling or delivering certain semiautomatic centerfire rifles or semiautomatic centerfire shotguns to a person under 21 years of age, with exceptions.

Sec. 102. Operation of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's public access line.

TITLE II—PREVENT GUN TRAFFICKING

Sec. 201. Prohibition on straw purchases of firearms; prohibition on gun trafficking.

Sec. 202. Prohibition on disposition of firearm to person intending unlawful further disposition.

Sec. 203. Penalties.

Sec. 204. Firearms subject to forfeiture.

TITLE III—UNTRACEABLE FIREARMS

Sec. 301. Requirement that all firearms be traceable.

Sec. 302. Modernization of the prohibition on undetectable firearms.

TITLE IV—SAFE STORAGE

Sec. 401. Ethan's Law.

Sec. 402. Safe guns, safe kids.

Sec. 403. Kimberly Vaughan Firearm Safe Storage.

TITLE V—CLOSING THE BUMP STOCK LOOPHOLE

Sec. 501. Bump stocks.

TITLE VI—KEEP AMERICANS SAFE

Sec. 601. Definitions.

Sec. 602. Restrictions on large capacity ammunition feeding devices.

Sec. 603. Penalties.

Sec. 604. Use of Byrne grants for buy-back programs for large capacity ammunition feeding devices.

 $Sec.\ 701.\ NICS\ Report.$

TITLE I—RAISE THE AGE

SEC. 101. PROHIBITION ON FEDERAL FIREARMS
LICENSEE SELLING OR DELIVERING
CERTAIN SEMIAUTOMATIC
CENTERFIRE RIFLES OR SEMIAUTOMATIC CENTERFIRE SHOTGUNS TO A
PERSON UNDER 21 YEARS OF AGE,
WITH EXCEPTIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 922(b)(1) of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(1)(A) any firearm or ammunition to any individual who the licensee knows or has reasonable cause to believe has not attained 18 years of age:

"(B) any semiautomatic centerfire rifle or semiautomatic centerfire shotgun that has, or has the capacity to accept, an ammunition feed ing device with a capacity exceeding 5 rounds, to any individual who the licensee knows or has reasonable cause to believe has not attained 21 years of age and is not a qualified individual; or

"(C) if the firearm or ammunition is not a semiautomatic centerfire rifle or semiautomatic

centerfire shotgun described in subparagraph (B) and is other than a shotgun or rifle, or ammunition for a shotgun or rifle, to any individual who the licensee knows or has reasonable cause to believe has not attained 21 years of age:".

CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 922(c)(1) of such title is amended by striking "in the case of any firearm" and all that follows through "eighteen years or more of age" and inserting "(1) in the case of a semiautomatic centerfire rifle or semiautomatic centerfire shotgun that has, or has the capacity to accept, an ammunition feeding device with a capacity exceeding 5 rounds, I am at least 21 years of age or a qualified individual (as defined in section 921(a)(30) of title 18, United States Code), (2) in the case of a firearm other than a shotgun, a rifle, or such a semiautomatic centerfire rifle or semiautomatic centerfire shotaun. I am at least 21 years of age, or (3) in the case of any other shotgun or rifle, I am at least 18 years of age".

(c) QUALIFIED INDIVIDUAL DEFINED.—Section 921(a) of such title is amended by inserting after paragraph (29) the following:

"(30) The term 'qualified individual' means—
"(A) a member of the Armed Forces on active

duty; and "(B) a full-time employee of the United States, a State, or a political subdivision of a State who in the course of his or her official duties is authorized to carry a firearm.

"(31) The term 'ammunition feeding device' means a magazine, belt, drum, feed strip, or similar device, but does not include an attached tubular device which is only capable of operating with .22 caliber rimfire ammunition."

SEC. 102. OPERATION OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION'S PUBLIC ACCESS LINE.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (in this section referred to as the "FBI") shall submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate House of Representatives a report regarding operation of the FBI's public access line.

(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report required by subsection (a) shall, at a minimum, include the followina:

(1) A description of the protocols and procedures in effect with respect to information-sharing between the public access line and the field offices of the FBI.

(2) Recommendations for improving the protocols and procedures to improve the informationsharing.

TITLE II—PREVENT GUN TRAFFICKING SEC. 201. PROHIBITION ON STRAW PURCHASES OF FIREARMS; PROHIBITION ON GUN TRAFFICKING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 44 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 921(a), by adding at the end the following:

"(37) The term 'family members' means spouses, domestic partners, parents and their children, including step-parents and their step-children, siblings, aunts or uncles and their nieces or nephews, or grandparents and their grandchildren."; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"§ 932. Gun trafficking

"(a) It shall be unlawful for any person (other than a licensee under this chapter), in or otherwise affecting interstate or foreign commerce, to knowingly purchase or acquire, or attempt to purchase or acquire, a firearm for the possession of a third party.

"(b) It shall be unlawful for any person (other than a licensee under this chapter), in or otherwise affecting interstate or foreign commerce, to hire, solicit, command, induce, or otherwise endeavor to persuade another person to purchase, or attempt to purchase, any firearm for the purpose of obtaining the firearm for the person or

selling or transferring the firearm to a third party.

"(c) The Attorney General shall ensure that the firearm transaction record form required to be completed in connection with a firearm transaction includes a statement outlining the penalties that may be imposed for violating subsection (a).

"(d) This section shall not apply to any firearm, if the purchaser or person acquiring the firearm has no reason to believe that the recipient of the firearm will use or intends to use the firearm in a crime or is prohibited from purchasing or possessing firearms under State or Federal law and the firearm—

"(1) is purchased or acquired by any person, or that any person attempts to purchase or acquire, as a bona fide gift between family members; or

"(2) is purchased or acquired by an agent of a lawful business, or that an agent of a lawful business attempts to purchase or acquire, for the purpose of transferring to another agent of the business, for lawful use in the business."

(b) FORFEITURE.—Section 982(a)(5) of such title is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (D), by striking "or" at the end: and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (E) the following:

"(F) section 922(a)(1)(A) (related to unlicensed

firearms sales);
"(G) section 922(d) (relating to illegal gun

transfers); or "(H) section 932 (relating to gun traf-

ficking),".
(c) MONEY LAUNDERING AMENDMENT.—Section 1956(c)(7)(D) of such title is amended by striking "section 924(n)" and inserting "section 922(a)(1)(A), 922(d), 924(n), or 932".

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections for such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following:

"932. Gun trafficking.".

SEC. 202. PROHIBITION ON DISPOSITION OF FIRE-ARM TO PERSON INTENDING UNLAW-FUL FURTHER DISPOSITION.

Section 922(d) of title 18, United States Code, is amended in the 1st sentence—

(1) in paragraph (8), by striking "or" at the end:

(2) in paragraph (9), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; or"; and

(3) by inserting after and below paragraph (9) the following:

"(10) intends to sell or otherwise dispose of the firearm or ammunition in violation of a Federal law, or to sell or otherwise dispose of the firearm or ammunition to a person in another State in violation of a law of that State."

SEC. 203. PENALTIES.

Section 924(a) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:
"(8) Whoever knowingly violates section
922(a)(1)(A) or 932 shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 10 years, or both."

SEC. 204. FIREARMS SUBJECT TO FORFEITURE.

Section 924(d) of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting "or 932" after "section 924"; and

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in subparagraph (E), by striking "and" at the end;

(B) in subparagraph (F), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and

(C) by adding at the end the following: "(G) any offense under section 932.".

TRACEABLE.

TITLE III—UNTRACEABLE FIREARMS SEC. 301. REQUIREMENT THAT ALL FIREARMS BE

(a) Definitions.—Section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended—

(1) in paragraph (10), by adding at the end the following: "The term 'manufacturing firearms' shall include assembling a functional firearm or molding, machining, or 3D printing a frame or receiver, and shall not include making or fitting special barrels, stocks, or trigger mechanisms to firearms.''; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(38) The term 'ghost gun'—

"(A) means a firearm, including a frame or receiver, that lacks a unique serial number engraved or cast on the frame or receiver by a licensed manufacturer or importer in accordance with this chapter; and

"(B) does not include-

"(i) a firearm that has been rendered permanently inoperable;

"(ii) a firearm that, not later than 30 months after the date of enactment of this paragraph, has been identified by means of a unique serial number, assigned by a State agency, engraved or cast on the receiver or frame of the firearm in accordance with State law;

"(iii) a firearm manufactured or imported before December 16, 1968; or

"(iv) a firearm identified as provided for under section 5842 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

"(39) The term 'fire control component'-

"(A) means a component necessary for the firearm to initiate or complete the firing sequence; and

"(B) includes a hammer, bolt or breechblock, cylinder, trigger mechanism, firing pin, striker, and slide rails.

"(40)(A) The term 'frame or receiver'-

"(i) means a part of a weapon that provides or is intended to provide the housing or structure to hold or integrate 1 or more fire control components, even if pins or other attachments are required to connect those components to the housing or structure:

"(ii) includes a frame or receiver, blank, casting, or machined body, that requires modification, including machining, drilling, filing or molding, to be used as part of a functional firearm, and which is designed and intended to be used in the assembly of a functional firearm, unless the piece of material has had—

"(I) its size or external shape altered solely to facilitate transportation or storage; or

"(II) solely its chemical composition altered.

"(B) For purposes of subparagraph (A)(i), if a weapon with more than 1 part that provides the housing or a structure designed to hold or integrate 1 or more fire control or essential components, each such part shall be considered a frame or receiver, unless the Attorney General has provided otherwise by regulation or other formal determination with respect to the specific make and model of weapon on or before January 1, 2023.".

(b) Prohibition; Requirements.—Section 922 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by

adding at the end the following:

"(aa)(1)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), it shall be unlawful for any person to manufacture, sell, offer to sell, transfer, purchase, or receive a ghost gun in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce.

"(B) Subparagraph (A) shall not apply to—

"(i) the manufacture of a firearm by a licensed manufacturer if the licensed manufacturer complies with section 923(i) before selling or transferring the firearm to another verson:

"(ii) the offer to sell, sale, or transfer of a firearm to, or purchase or receipt of a firearm by, a licensed manufacturer or importer before the date that is 30 months after the date of enactment of this subsection; or

"(iii) transactions between licensed manufacturers and importers on any date.

"(2) It shall be unlawful for a person other than a licensed manufacturer or importer to engrave or cast a serial number on a firearm in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce unless specifically authorized by the Attorney General.

"(3) Beginning on the date that is 30 months after the date of enactment of this subsection, it shall be unlawful for any person other than a licensed manufacturer or importer to knowingly possess a ghost gun in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce.

"(4) Beginning on the date that is 30 months after the date of enactment of this subsection, it shall be unlawful for any person other than a licensed manufacturer or importer to possess a ghost gun in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce with the intent to sell or transfer the ghost gun with or without further manufacturing or to manufacture a firearm with the ghost gun.

"(5)(A) It shall be unlawful for any person to sell, offer to sell, or transfer, in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, to any person other than a licensed manufacturer a machine that has the sole or primary function of manufacturing firearms.

"(B) Except as provided in subparagraph (A), beginning on the date that is 180 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, it shall be unlawful for any person other than a licensed manufacturer to possess, purchase, or receive, in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, a machine that has the sole or primary function of manufacturing firearms.

"(C) Subparagraph (B) shall not apply to a person who is engaged in the business of selling manufacturing equipment to a licensed manufacturer who possesses a machine with the intent to sell or transfer the machine to a licensed manufacturer."

(c) REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) REMOVAL OF SERIAL NUMBERS.—Section 922(k) of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking "importer's or manufacturer's" each place it appears; and

(B) by inserting "authorized by this chapter or under State law" before "removed" each place it appears.

(2) LICENSED IMPORTERS AND MANUFACTURERS.—Section 923(i) of title 18, United States Code. is amended—

(A) by inserting "(1)(A)" before "Licensed"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following: "The serial number shall be engraved or cast on the frame or receiver in a manner sufficient to identify the firearm and the manufacturer or importer that put the serial number on the firearm.

"(2)(A) Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this paragraph, the Attorney General shall prescribe regulations for engraving a unique serial number onto a ghost gun.

"(B) The regulations prescribed under subparagraph (A) shall—

"(i) allow an owner of a firearm described in subparagraph (A) to have a unique serial number engraved on the firearm by a licensed manufacturer or importer; and

"(ii) require that a serial number be engraved on the frame or receiver in a manner sufficient to identify the firearm and the licensed manufacturer or importer that put the serial number on the firearm.

"(C) The regulations authorized under this paragraph shall expire on the date that is 30 months after the date of enactment of this paragraph."

(d) PENALTIES.—Section 924 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)(B), by striking "or (q)" and inserting "(q), (aa)(1), (aa)(2), (aa)(4), or (aa)(5)";

(2) in subsection (c)

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) in subparagraph (A), in the matter preceding clause (i), by inserting "functional" before "firearm" each place it appears;

(ii) in subparagraph (B), in the matter preceding clause (i), by inserting "functional" before "firearm"; and

(iii) in subparagraph (D)(ii), by inserting "functional" before "firearm"; and

(B) in paragraph (4), by striking "all or part of the firearm" and all that follows through "person." and inserting the following: "all or part of the functional firearm, or otherwise make the presence of the functional firearm known to another person, in order to intimidate

that person, regardless of whether the functional firearm is directly visible to that person.";

(3) in subsection (d)(1), by striking "or (k)" and inserting "(k), (aa)(1), (aa)(2), (aa)(4), or (aa)(5)";

(4) in subsection (e)(1), by inserting "through the possession of a functional firearm" before "and has three"; and

(5) by adding at the end the following:

"(q) A person who violates section 922(aa)(3) shall—

"(1) in the case of the first violation by the person, be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 1 year, or both; or

"(2) in the case of any subsequent violation by the person, be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years, or both."

SEC. 302. MODERNIZATION OF THE PROHIBITION ON UNDETECTABLE FIREARMS.

Section 922(p) of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking "any firearm";

(B) by amending subparagraph (A) to read as follows:

'(A) an undetectable firearm; or''; and

(C) in subparagraph (B), by striking "any major component of which, when subjected to inspection by the types of x-ray machines commonly used at airports, does not generate" and inserting the following: "a major component of a firearm which, if subjected to inspection by the types of detection devices commonly used at airports for security screening, would not generate";

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by amending subparagraph (A) to read as follows:

"(A) the term 'undetectable firearm' means a firearm, as defined in section 921(a)(3)(A), of which no major component is wholly made of detectable material:":

(B) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

"(B) the term 'major component', with respect to a firearm—

"(i) means the slide or cylinder or the frame or receiver of the firearm; and

"(ii) in the case of a rifle or shotgun, includes the barrel of the firearm; and"; and

(C) by striking subparagraph (C) and all that follows through the end of the undesignated matter following subparagraph (C) and inserting the following:

"(C) the term 'detectable material' means any material that creates a magnetic field equivalent to or more than 3.7 ounces of 17–4 pH stainless steel.":

(3) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in the first sentence, by inserting ", including a prototype," after "of a firearm"; and (B) by striking the second sentence; and

(4) in paragraph (5), by striking "shall not apply to any firearm which" and all that follows and inserting the following: "shall not apply to—

"(A) any firearm received by, in the possession of, or under the control of the United States; or

"(B) the manufacture, importation, possession, transfer, receipt, shipment, or delivery of a firearm by a licensed manufacturer or licensed importer pursuant to a contract with the United States."

TITLE IV—SAFE STORAGE

SEC. 401. ETHAN'S LAW.

(a) Secure Gun Storage or Safety De-Vice.—Section 922(z) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(4) SECURE GUN STORAGE BY OWNERS.—

"(A) OFFENSE.-

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in clause (ii), it shall be unlawful for a person to store or keep any firearm that has moved in, or that has otherwise affected, interstate or foreign

commerce on the premises of a residence under the control of the person if the person knows, or reasonably should know, that—

"(I) a minor is likely to gain access to the firearm without the permission of the parent or guardian of the minor; or

"(II) a resident of the residence is ineligible to possess a firearm under Federal, State, or local law.

 $\lq\lq(ii)$ Exception.—Clause (i) shall not apply to a person if—

"(I) the person—

"(aa) keeps the firearm—

"(AA) secure using a secure gun storage or safety device; or

"(BB) in a location which a reasonable person would believe to be secure; or

"(bb) carries the firearm on his or her person or within such close proximity thereto that the person can retrieve and use the firearm as readily as if the person carried the firearm on his or her person; or

"(II) another individual unlawfully enters the premises under the control of the person and thereby gains access to the firearm.

"(B) PENALTY.-

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subparagraph, any person who violates subparagraph (A) shall be fined \$500 per violation.

"(ii) FORFEITURE OF IMPROPERLY STORED FIREARM.—Any firearm stored in violation of subparagraph (A) shall be subject to seizure and forfeiture in accordance with the procedures described in section 924(d).

"(C) MINOR DEFINED.—In this paragraph, the term "minor" means an individual who has not attained 18 years of age."

(b) FIREARM SAFE STORAGE PROGRAM.—Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10101 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"PART PP—FIREARM SAFE STORAGE PROGRAM

"SEC. 3061. FIREARM SAFE STORAGE PROGRAM.

"(a) IN GENERAL.—The Assistant Attorney General shall make grants to an eligible State or Indian Tribe to assist the State or Indian Tribe in carrying out the provisions of any State or Tribal law that is functionally identical to section 922(z)(4) of title 18, United States Code.

"(b) ELIGIBLE STATE OR INDIAN TRIBE.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), a State or Indian Tribe shall be eligible to receive grants under this section on and after the date on which the State or Indian Tribe enacts legislation functionally identical to section 922(z)(4) of title 18, United States Code.

"(2) FIRST YEAR ELIGIBILITY EXCEPTION.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—A covered State or Indian Tribe shall be eligible to receive a grant under this section during the 1-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this part.

"(B) COVERED STATE OR INDIAN TRIBE.—In this paragraph, the term 'covered State or Indian Tribe' means a State or Indian Tribe that, before the date of enactment of this part, enacted legislation that is functionally identical to section 922(z)(4) of title 18, United States Code.

"(c) USE OF FUNDS.—Funds awarded under this section may be used by a State or Indian Tribe to assist law enforcement agencies or the courts of the State or Indian Tribe in enforcing and otherwise facilitating compliance with any State law functionally identical to section 922(z)(4), of title 18, United States Code.

"(d) APPLICATION.—An eligible State or Indian Tribe desiring a grant under this section shall submit to the Assistant Attorney General an application at such time, in such manner, and containing or accompanied by such information, as the Assistant Attorney General may reasonably require.

"(e) INCENTIVES.—For each of fiscal years 2023 through 2027, the Attorney General shall give affirmative preference to all Bureau of Justice Assistance discretionary grant applications of a State or Indian Tribe that has enacted legislation functionally identical to section 922(z)(4) of title 18, United States Code."

SEC. 402. SAFE GUNS, SAFE KIDS.

Paragraph (4)(B) of section 922(z) of title 18, United States Code, as added by this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(iii) ENHANCED PENALTY.—If a person violates subparagraph (A) and a minor or a resident who is ineligible to possess a firearm under Federal, State, or local law obtains the firearm and causes injury or death to such minor, resident, or any other individual, the person shall be fined under this title, imprisoned for not more than 5 years, or both.".

SEC. 403. KIMBERLY VAUGHAN FIREARM SAFE STORAGE.

(a) Best Practices for Safe Firearm Storage.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—

(i) Not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, the Attorney General shall establish voluntary best practices relating to safe firearm storage solely for the purpose of public education.

(ii) The Attorney General shall give not less than ninety days public notice, and shall afford interested parties opportunity for hearing, before establishing such best practices.

(B) REQUIREMENTS.—In establishing the best practices required under subparagraph (A), the Attorney General shall outline such best practices for preventing firearm loss, theft, and other unauthorized access for the following locations:

(i) Businesses.

(ii) Vehicles.

(iii) Private homes.

(iv) Off-site storage facilities.

(v) Any other such place the Attorney General deems appropriate to provide such guidance.

(C) PUBLICATION.—Not later than 1 year after the enactment of this Act, the Attorney General shall publish, in print and on a public website, the best practices created pursuant to subparagraph (A) and shall review such best practices and update them not less than annually.

(b) PROMOTION OF SAFE FIREARM STORAGE.— (1) IN GENERAL.—Section 923 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end

the following:

"(m) Beginning on January 1, 2025, licensed manufacturers and licensed importers that serialize not less than 250 firearms annually pursuant to subsection (i) shall provide a clear and conspicuous written notice with each manufactured or imported handgun, rifle, or shotgun that—

"(1) is attached or adhered to, or appears on or within any packaging of, each handgun, rifle, or shotgun; and

"(2) states 'SAFE STORAGE SAVES LIVES' followed by the address of the public website established by the Attorney General pursuant to section 403(a) of the Protecting Our Kids Act.".

(c) Safe Storage Devices for All Firearm Sales.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 922(z) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking "handgun" each place it appears and inserting "handgun, rifle, or shotgun".

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date that is 180 days after the enactment of this Act.

(d) KIMBERLY VAUGHAN SAFE FIREARM STORAGE GRANT PROGRAM.—Part PP of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10101 et seq.), as added by this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"SEC. 3062. KIMBERLY VAUGHAN FIREARM SAFE STORAGE GRANT PROGRAM.

"(a) AUTHORIZATION.—The Attorney General may award grants to States and Indian Tribes for the development, implementation, and evaluation of Safe Firearm Storage Assistance Programs.

"(b) APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS.—Each applicant for a grant under this section shall—

"(1) submit to the Attorney General an application at such time, in such a manner, and containing such information as the Attorney General may require; and

"(2) to the extent practicable, identify State, local, Tribal, and private funds available to supplement the funds received under this section

"(c) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—

"(1) GRANTEE REPORT.—A recipient of a grant under this section shall submit to the Attorney General an annual report, which includes the following information:

"(A) The amount distributed to each Safe Firearm Storage Assistance Program in the ju-

risdiction.

"(B) The number of safe firearm storage devices distributed by each such Safe Firearm Storage Assistance Program.

A recipient of a grant under this section may not include any personally identifying information of recipients of safe firearms storage devices pursuant to a Safe Firearm Storage Assistance Program that received funding pursuant to this section.

"(2) ATTORNEY GENERAL REPORT.—Beginning 13 months after the first grants are awarded under this section, and annually thereafter, the Attorney General shall submit to Congress a report, which shall include following information:

"(A) A list of grant recipients during the previous year, including the funds awarded, cumulatively and disaggregated by grantee.

"(B) The information collected pursuant to subsection (d)(1).

"(d) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.— There is authorized to be appropriated to the Attorney General to carry out this section \$10,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2023 through 2033. to remain available until expended.

"(e) USE OF FUNDS.—Funds awarded under this section shall be allocated as follows:

"(1) Not less than 75 percent of the funds received by a grantee shall be used to create or to provide resources for Safe Firearm Storage Assistance Programs in the jurisdiction.

"(2) Not more than 25 percent of the funds received by a grantee may be made available to nonprofit organizations to partner with units of local government to purchase and distribute safe firearm storage devices.

"(f) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section:

"(1) The term 'safe firearm storage device' means a device that is—

"(A) designed and marketed for the principal purpose of denying unauthorized access to, or rendering inoperable, a firearm or ammunition;

"(B) secured by a combination lock, key lock, or lock based on biometric information which, once locked, is incapable of being opened without the combination, key, or biometric information, respectively.

"(2) The term 'Safe Firearm Storage Assistance Program' means a program—

"(A) carried out by a unit of local government or an Indian tribe; and

"(B) solely for the purpose of acquiring and distributing safe firearm storage devices to the public.".

TITLE V—CLOSING THE BUMP STOCK LOOPHOLE

SEC. 501. BUMP STOCKS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 5845 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking "and (8) a destructive device." and inserting "(8) a destructive device; and (9) a bump stock."; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

"(n) BUMP STOCK.—The term 'bump stock' means any of the following:

"(1) Any manual, power-driven, or electronic device that is designed such that when the device is attached to a semiautomatic weapon, the

device eliminates the need for the operator of a semiautomatic weapon to make a separate movement for each individual function of the trigger and—

- "(A) materially increases the rate of fire of the semiautomatic weapon, or
- "(B) approximates the action or rate of fire of a machinegun.
- "(2) Any part or combination of parts that is designed and functions to eliminate the need for the operator of a semiautomatic weapon to make a separate movement for each individual function of the trigger and—
- "(A) materially increases the rate of fire of a semiautomatic weapon, or
- "(B) approximates the action or rate of fire of a machineaun.
- "(3) Any semiautomatic weapon that has been modified in any way that eliminates the need for the operator of the semiautomatic weapon to make a separate movement for each individual function of the trigger and—
- "(A) materially increases the rate of fire of the semiautomatic weapon, or
- "(B) approximates the action or rate of fire of a machinegun.
- "(o) Semiautomatic Weapon.—The term 'semiautomatic weapon' means any repeating weapon that—
- "(I) utilizes a portion of the energy of a firing cartridge or shell to extract the fired cartridge case or shell casing and chamber the next round, and
- "(2) requires a separate function of the trigger to fire each cartridge or shell.".
- (b) Amendments to Title 18, United States Code.—
- (1) Section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended—
- (A) in paragraph (3), by striking "muffler or firearm silencer" and inserting "muffler, firearm silencer, or bump stock"; and
 - (B) by adding at the end the following:
- "(41) The term 'bump stock' has the meaning given such term in section 5845(n) of the National Firearms Act (26 U.S.C. 5845(n)).".
- (2) Section 922 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—
- (A) in each of subsections (a)(4) and (b)(4), by inserting "bump stock," before "machinegun"; and
- (B) in subsection (o)(1), by inserting "or bump stock" before the period.

TITLE VI—KEEP AMERICANS SAFE SEC. 601. DEFINITIONS.

Section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended by adding at the end the following:

- ``(42) The term 'large capacity ammunition feeding device'—
- "(A) means a magazine, belt, drum, feed strip, helical feeding device, or similar device, including any such device joined or coupled with another in any manner, that has an overall capacity of, or that can be readily restored, changed, or converted to accept, more than 15 rounds of ammunition: and
- "(B) does not include an attached tubular device designed to accept, and capable of operating only with, .22 caliber rimfire ammunition.
- "(43) The term 'qualified law enforcement officer' has the meaning given the term in section 926B.".

SEC. 602. RESTRICTIONS ON LARGE CAPACITY AMMUNITION FEEDING DEVICES.

- (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 922 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting after subsection (u) the following:
- "(v)(1) It shall be unlawful for a person to import, sell, manufacture, transfer, or possess, in or affecting interstate or foreign commerce, a large capacity ammunition feeding device.
- "(2) Paragraph (1) shall not apply to the possession of any large capacity ammunition feeding device otherwise lawfully possessed on or before the date of enactment of this subsection.

"(3) Paragraph (1) shall not apply to—

"(A) the importation for, manufacture for, sale to, transfer to, or possession by the United States or a department or agency of the United States or a State or a department, agency, or political subdivision of a State, or a sale or transfer to or possession by a qualified law enforcement officer employed by the United States or a department or agency of the United States or a State or a department, agency, or political subdivision of a State for purposes of law enforcement (whether on or off-duty), or a sale or transfer to or possession by a campus law enforcement officer for purposes of law enforcement (whether on or off-duty);

"(B) the importation for, or sale or transfer to a licensee under title I of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.) for purposes of establishing and maintaining an on-site physical protection system and security organization required by Federal law, or possession by an employee or contractor of such licensee on-site for such purposes or off-site for purposes of licensee-authorized training or transportation of nuclear materials;

"(C) the possession, by an individual who is retired in good standing from service with a law enforcement agency and is not otherwise prohibited from receiving ammunition, of a large capacity ammunition feeding device—

"(i) sold or transferred to the individual by the agency upon such retirement; or

"(ii) that the individual purchased, or otherwise obtained, for official use before such retirement; or

"(D) the importation, sale, manufacture, transfer, or possession of any large capacity ammunition feeding device by a licensed manufacturer or licensed importer for the purposes of testing or experimentation authorized by the Attorney General.

"(4) For purposes of paragraph (3)(A), the term 'campus law enforcement officer' means an individual who is—

"(A) employed by a private institution of higher education that is eligible for funding under title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070 et seg.);

"(B) responsible for the prevention or investigation of crime involving injury to persons or property, including apprehension or detention of persons for such crimes;

"(C) authorized by Federal, State, or local law to carry a firearm, execute search warrants, and make arrests: and

- "(D) recognized, commissioned, or certified by a government entity as a law enforcement offi-
- (b) IDENTIFICATION MARKINGS FOR LARGE CA-PACITY AMMUNITION FEEDING DEVICES.—Section 923(i) of title 18, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended by inserting after subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1) the following:
- "(B) A large capacity ammunition feeding device manufactured after the date of enactment of this subparagraph shall be identified by a serial number and the date on which the device was manufactured or made, legibly and conspicuously engraved or cast on the device, and such other identification as the Attorney General shall by regulations prescribe."
- (c) SEIZURE AND FORFEITURE OF LARGE CA-PACITY AMMUNITION FEEDING DEVICES.—Section 924(d) of title 18, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

- (A) in the first sentence-
- (i) by striking "Any firearm or ammunition involved in" and inserting "Any firearm or ammunition or large capacity ammunition feeding device involved in";
- (ii) by inserting ''(v),'' after ''(k),''; and (iii) by striking ''any firearm or ammunition
- (iii) by striking "any firearm or ammunition intended" and inserting "any firearm or ammunition or large capacity ammunition feeding device intended": and
- (B) by inserting "or large capacity ammunition feeding device" after "firearms or ammunition" each place the term appears;

(2) in paragraph (2)—

- (A) in subparagraph (A), by inserting "or large capacity ammunition feeding device" after "firearms or ammunition"; and
- (B) in subparagraph (C), by inserting "or large capacity ammunition feeding devices" after "firearms or quantities of ammunition";
- (3) in paragraph (3)(E), by inserting "922(v)," after "922(n),".

SEC. 603. PENALTIES.

Section 924(a)(1)(B) of title 18, United States Code, as amended by this Act, is further amended by inserting "(v)," after "(q),".

SEC. 604. USE OF BYRNE GRANTS FOR BUY-BACK PROGRAMS FOR LARGE CAPACITY AMMUNITION FEEDING DEVICES.

Section 501(a)(1) of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10152(a)(1)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(I) Compensation for surrendered large capacity ammunition feeding devices, as that term is defined in section 921 of title 18, United States Code, under buy-back programs for large capacity ammunition feeding devices."

TITLE VII—MISCELLANEOUS SEC. 701. NICS REPORT.

Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter, the Attorney General shall submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives a report that includes, with respect to the preceding year, the demographic data of persons who were determined to be ineligible to purchase a firearm based on a background check performed by the National Instant Criminal Background Check System, including race, ethnicity, national origin, sex, gender, age, disability, average annual income, and English language proficiency, if available.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The bill, as amended, shall be debatable for 2 hours, equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Judiciary or their respective designees.

The gentleman from New York (Mr. NADLER), and the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. JORDAN), will each control 1 hour.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and insert extraneous material on H.R. 7910.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from California (Ms. Pelosi), the distinguished Speaker of the House.

Ms. PELOSI. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding, and I commend him for his leadership in bringing this important legislation to the floor. Protecting our kids; what could be more important than that? I thank the gentleman for channeling the ideas and enthusiasms of so many Members on both sides of the aisle as he brings this bipartisan legislation to the floor.

I thank Mr. Nadler for his leadership, and I thank the task force led by Mr. Mike Thompson of California for

his important work advancing the background check partisan legislation, as well as Mr. CLYBURN for his legislation that is already over on the Senate side.

Madam Speaker, but today, we are doing more. Today, we were called to action by our colleague, LUCY MCBATH, who told us that today we must make history to protect the children, and we are going to make history by making progress.

So I thank all of our Members, so many Members who have been so important to this legislation. I thank them on behalf of the courageous survivors of gun violence who have spoken out, out of respect for those who lost their lives and with appreciation for the gravity of this issue that we come to be on this floor.

Madam Speaker, as the families from Buffalo to Uvalde bury their loved ones, even more communities have been hit by gun violence. Just last weekend, Americans watched in horror as at least 13 mass shootings unfolded across the country: from Philadelphia to Chattanooga to Phoenix to Grand Rapids.

As the data shows, the challenge of gun violence goes much further than these mass killings. Every night on our streets, Americans are being killed in gun crimes. And every day, our Nation loses Americans to suicides and accidents. This is a tragic daily massacre, which rarely makes the headlines or the evening news, but it is there.

So here we are, for the children. When those who were advocating gun violence or perpetrating it went into the classrooms, they crossed a line. It is terrible the gun violence that we have had in our country. But that they would go into Newtown and shoot little children who are barely out of diapers; and again now in Texas, these beautiful children in elementary school, and everything that happened in between, it was an assault on the culture of our country that our children would not be able to go to school without fear or concern about their safety.

Our children are, as President Kennedy said, our greatest resource and our best hope for the future. They are our precious treasure. Everything we do is for the children. And for the children, we must stop this gun violence in our country and restore their confidence in their safety, wherever they may be. So we are on a crusade for the children, and sadly now, by the children.

Children testifying in committee. Children coming to events. Last week, I had a 5th grader come to a Wear Orange rally that we had in California, where she said she lost both her mother and her father in separate gun violence incidents. In 5th grade, speaking at the podium so courageously. Children turning their grief, their experience now, not just—it would be enough to end the violence of losing a loved one, but to witness it and be a victim of it in the classroom. A crusade for

the children by the children and of the children in terms of our motivation to stop this for our precious children.

Indeed, America had lost more children from gun violence than any other cause.

Does that embarrass you to think that in our country more children have died from gun violence than any other cause?

These stories are tragically all too common in America today. Countless more than those who died are forever changed by the horrors of gun violence that they saw firsthand or that they experienced in their families.

It is sickening that our children are forced to live in this constant fear. And make no mistake, these gunmen who choose to shoot at innocent children are desecrating, again, our culture—a culture where all of us, all of our kids must and should feel safe, whether it is in school, in church, the movies, or any other place.

Madam Speaker, protecting our children can and must be a unifying mission for our Nation because they are our, as I said, our national treasure. That is why, under the unyielding leadership of our chairman, Mr. NADLER, the House will pass the Protecting Our Kids Act today. This bold package includes commonsense measures that will make an enormous difference to save lives.

Who wouldn't vote to raise the age from 18 to 21 for a person to have a weapon of war?

Who wouldn't vote to raise the age to take weapons of war out of the hands of teenagers?

Who wouldn't vote to get illegal guns off of our streets by cracking down on gun trafficking which is a danger to people but also to law enforcement?

Who wouldn't vote for background checks on ghost gun purchases which our law enforcement tells us is a major concern out there?

Who wouldn't vote to protect children from stolen weapons or accidental shootings with safe storage requirements?

Who wouldn't vote to ban bump stocks—that was President Trump's executive order—bump stocks from civilian use or outlawing high-capacity magazines designed for massacres not for killing varmints.

These measures will not only help stem the tide of mass murder but address the equally urgent and wide range of daily gun deaths. Let us salute the many Members who have worked persistently to craft this strong legislation, written to earn bipartisan support that the American people expect and deserve.

Today's package is just one step in the House's relentless fight to stop the bloodshed. Our Democratic majority, as I mentioned earlier, has twice passed the Bipartisan Background Checks Act and the Enhanced Background Checks Act, which together would put our Nation on a path toward universal background checks.

Tomorrow, thanks again to Congresswoman McBath and Congressman Carbajal, we will pass the Federal Extreme Risk Protection Act, otherwise known as the Red Flag Act. This will help keep guns out of the hands of those who pose a threat to themselves or others.

Soon, we will vote for Mr. CICILLINE'S Active Shooter Alert Act to create an AMBER alert-style notification during a mass shooting, a measure widely supported by law enforcement.

And the House will continue to consider additional actions we can take that have a proven record of saving lives. When I talk about these different things, people say, well, what difference is that going to make?

Well, the cumulative effect is a big one. We know there are negotiations going on in the Senate and we are prayerful, we are prayerful about those. Hopefully, we can make some advancement.

Because for all of us who have met again and again and again with the survivors of gun violence, some coming time and again to check up on what is happening, others new to that horrible club that none of us wants to be a member of, they just want something to happen.

\sqcap 1445

Years ago, when I met with the survivors of Pulse, I said: What can we do to be of comfort to you? They said: Just make sure it doesn't happen to someone else.

That is what they said. They didn't say: I need this; I need that. They said: Just make sure it doesn't happen to someone else.

Right now, in the eyes of survivors and indeed all Americans, their eyes are on us in the Congress to see whether we have the courage, the commitment, and the conviction to protect the children.

For some in Congress, a moment of silence is good enough for them, a moment of silence. As Mr. Higgins said when he talked about Buffalo, a moment of silence now, but action after. Now, we are taking that action.

So many of our colleagues have talked about incidents in their district, personal experiences shared by people who have been victims of gun violence. Mr. ESPAILLAT talked about what happened in his district.

Again, so many of you have come speaking of the horror of it all, and every time it happens, it is as if it has happened for the first time because the horror is so fresh. But it is not the first time for the victims who have to relive so much of the experience.

To those who a moment of silence is good enough because you don't have the courage to take a vote to protect the children, I would say your political survival is totally insignificant compared to the survival of our children.

I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to come together with a strong "aye" vote on all the provisions in the bill for the final package and to do so as part of a crusade of, by, and for the children. I urge an "aye" vote on the Protecting Our Kids legislation.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

What happened in Uvalde, Buffalo, and Tulsa is as wrong as wrong could be, and our hearts go out to those communities and those families who have been impacted in such a terrible way. The answer is not to destroy the Second Amendment, but that is exactly where the Democrats want to go.

Don't take my word for it. Just look at what they said. The President of the United States said last week that he wants to get rid of the most popular handgun in the country. Michael Moore, a Democrat—not a Member of Congress but a Democrat—said it is time to repeal the Second Amendment.

During our 10-hour markup last Thursday in the committee hearing, Representative JACKSON Lee said if this bill passes, we are not finished. Representative JONES said if this bill doesn't pass, we will end the filibuster; we will expand the Supreme Court; we will do whatever it takes to get lawabiding citizens' guns.

Today, we have this hodgepodge of six bills thrown together. Many of the elements in these bills are unconstitutional. Even the Ninth Circuit has said it is unconstitutional what they want to do on the age limit. These bills would say when you can buy a firearm, what kind of firearm you can get, and where and how you have to store that firearm in your own darn home.

Of course, tomorrow, they are bringing the so-called red flag law to the floor. Someone who doesn't like you can file a complaint. Within 24 hours, there is a hearing that you are not allowed to be at—you can't confront your accuser—and they can take away your Second Amendment liberty. That is the bill they are going to pass tomorrow.

Frankly, this shouldn't surprise us. For 18 months, Democrats have assaulted the First Amendment. It shouldn't surprise us now that they are coming after the Second. Every right we enjoy as Americans under the First Amendment—your right to practice your faith, your right to assemble, your right to petition your government, freedom of the press—heck, some of them call for outlawing certain networks.

And freedom of speech, just a few weeks ago, the Biden administration tried to put together the Disinformation Governance Board. Oh, my goodness.

The attacks on the First Amendment have been sustained. They have been going on for 18 months, and now here they come, going after law-abiding citizens' Second Amendment liberties.

The Speaker started by saying this bill is about protecting our kids. That is important. Sure is. That is what she said, protecting our kids is important. Yes, it is. But this bill doesn't do it.

What this bill does is takes away Second Amendment rights, God-given rights protected by our Constitution, from law-abiding American citizens. That is what this legislation does, and that is why we should oppose it.

Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Madam Speaker, our Nation has been through trying times these last few weeks as we have tried to process the mass shootings in Buffalo, Uvalde, Tulsa, and all too many other cities. Just this past weekend, we learned of yet another horrific incident in Philadelphia and yet more carnage in Tennessee, Arizona, Virginia, and South Carolina. And those are just the stories we saw in the news.

Day after day, we see more lives lost to gun violence in our schools, on our streets, in our houses of worship, and in our homes, touching every region of the country. And we hear the urgent calls from our constituents crying out for us to take action. Today, we heed that call.

H.R. 7910, the Protecting Our Kids Act, is comprehensive legislation to address the scourge of gun violence, a blight that killed nearly 45,000 Americans in 2020 alone.

It builds on the work of several of our colleagues, including:

ANTHONY BROWN'S Raise the Age Act, which would raise the lawful age to purchase an AR-15 styled semiautomatic assault rifle from 18 to 21 years old:

ROBIN KELLY'S Prevent Gun Trafficking Act, which would establish new Federal offenses for gun trafficking and straw purchasing;

DAVID CICILLINE'S Untraceable Firearms Act, which would ensure that ghost guns are subject to existing Federal firearms regulations:

A trio of gun storage proposals—ROSA DELAURO'S Ethan'S Law, ELISSA SLOTKIN'S Safe Guns, Safe Kids Act, and SHEILA JACKSON LEE'S Kimberly Vaughan Firearms Safe Storage Act—which would establish storage regulations that keep guns out of the hands of children and award grants for firearm storage assistance programs;

DINA TITUS' Closing the Bump Stock Loophole Act, which would build on existing regulations banning the manufacture, sale, or possession of bump stocks for civilian use;

And TED DEUTCH'S Keep Americans Safe Act, which would ban the sale, manufacture, and illegal possession of gun magazines that hold more than 15 rounds of ammunition.

I thank each of them for their contributions to this bill and for making this country safer for all Americans.

Madam Speaker, all of us in this Chamber were shaken by the images of parents in Uvalde standing in line to match their DNA to the remains of their 9- and 10-year-old children, parents who should be picking up their

children from school right now but who, instead, are picking up the pieces of their lives shattered by this unimaginable loss.

But the question today is: Who among us will have the courage to do something about it? Who will be able to tell mothers and fathers that their children need not go to school in a fortress just to keep them safe? Who will be able to tell children that they did all they could today to ensure that their parents will return safely from the supermarket or their office or an evening out? Who will be able to tell their constituents that they stood with them and not with the gun lobby?

Americans are watching. They are begging us to protect them and their loved ones from gun violence. Who among us will answer their call?

I urge all of my colleagues to join me in supporting this Protecting Our Kids Act, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. CHABOT).

Mr. CHABOT. Madam Speaker, this legislation represents an unfortunate missed opportunity. After witnessing the horrible tragedy that occurred at Robb Elementary School in Uvalde, Texas, we should be coming together and working in a bipartisan manner to take every constitutionally permissive step to make our schools safer and more secure and to protect our most valuable resources, our children.

That is what we did after the tragic shooting in Parkland, Florida. Back in 2018, Congressman John Rutherford, a former sheriff, led a bipartisan group of Members, myself included, in reauthorizing the COPS Secure Our Schools grant program. The legislation we passed increased Federal funding for school security and expanded the safety measures for which the money could be used.

As a result, the Department of Justice announced over \$125 million in grants last year to help improve security at local schools. Overall, it is a good program that will benefit millions of students and teachers, but there is always room for improvement.

That is why we should be looking for ways to get more money to schools to increase security, but the legislation before us today contains nothing that will really help make our schools safer. In fact, efforts to add school security provisions to the bill were rejected by the majority.

For example, during the Judiciary Committee markup, I offered an amendment to encourage the hiring of retired police officers and honorably discharged military personnel as school resource officers. After all, no one is better trained and better prepared to protect our schools. Unfortunately, the majority rejected this commonsense proposal to help improve safety and security at schools across the country.

Then, at Rules Committee, I offered an amendment to allow unspent American Rescue Plan funds to be used on school security programs. Billions set aside for schools under that legislation hasn't been spent and could be lost forever if schools don't meet certain deadlines.

While we do, or did, need to protect students and teachers from COVID, more children under 11 died at Robb Elementary in 1 hour than have died from COVID in the entire State of Texas this year. Yet, we have \$100 billion—billion with a b—in unspent education funds to fight COVID while only \$125 million—million with an m—available for school security.

It seems logical that we should allow these unspent funds to be spent to protect our children and our teachers. The money could be used for metal detectors, to adopt security plans, to train school officials, to hire school resource officers, including, as I mentioned, retired police officers, and to help identify students with mental health issues and get those students the treatment that they need.

Yet, this commonsense amendment, too, was rejected by the majority.

Instead of school security measures, we, unfortunately, have a bill full of likely unconstitutional provisions that won't pass the Senate anyway.

The crux of my argument against this legislation is the majority is acting quickly when they ought to be doing the right thing.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. Jackson Lee), the cosponsor of the bill and a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Madam Speaker, no 9-year-old should be sentenced to a death sentence. No grandmother shopping at a grocery store should get a death sentence. No mother who testified today should lose her son.

Vicious gun violence that has no rhyme and no reason. Yes, I am excited about this historic moment. H.R. 7910, the Protecting Our Kids Act, is, in fact, a solution to horrible and vicious problems.

It is clear, as I stand next to the children, they should not have died. As I stand next to those from Buffalo, they should not have died. As we know about those who were seeking medical care in Tulsa, they should not have died.

I have no problem with saying that we build on this, and as Ronald Reagan said to us, he at the time saw no reason for an AK-47 to be used for hunting or defending one's home.

Dr. Guerrero, a pediatrician, said that he raced to the hospital, and as he raced to the hospital, he found parents outside yelling children's names in desperation, sobbing as they begged for news of their related children. Or the mother who ran barefoot all the way to Robb Elementary School, begging and crying for a child. Or Miah, who had the wherewithal to watch as her teach-

er was shot dead, she marked herself with blood because she was attempting to save her life.

These children, these Americans, our loved ones, this should not happen again. So, this bill that has a package of storage bills, that has a package of munitions, that deals with the age, deals with a number of items, trafficking, ghost guns, bump stocks, this is a way to go.

□ 1500

I hope, as we go in the future, we are not afraid of a 7-day waiting period or an assault weapon ban. This is the way to go.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield the gentlewoman an additional 15 seconds.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Madam Speaker, this is the way to go.

I thank Chairman Thompson and Chairman Nadler.

This is the way to go. Should they be given a death sentence? Where is the responsible gun owner that can stand with me and declare that they should live? You are out there.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has again expired.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Madam Speaker, we need humanity and courage.

Madam Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 7910, the "Protecting Our Kids Act."

Our country is experiencing a crisis of gun violence. It is critical that Congress act now to protect our children and our communities by supporting H.R. 7910. This multifaceted legislation is a combination of humanity, courage, decency, and action.

I traveled to Uvalde and listened to the grieving parents and families of children and teachers slaughtered at Robb Elementary. We can no longer standby idly as our children suffer such life-changing trauma.

As I stand here today, I am reminded of the immortal words of the conscience of Congress, Representative John Lewis, following the 2016 Pulse Nightclub massacre. He said:

"This is the fight. It is not an opinion. We must remove the blinders, the time for silence and patience is long gone."

He asked—"Where is the heart of this body? Where is our soul? Where is our moral leadership? Where is our courage?"

Far too many have died by gunfire since Representative Lewis asked, "Are we blind?" I ask this body today: Where is our courage? Are we still blind to this horror? What will it take for us to act?

As a Texan, I understand how deeply guns are embedded in our culture. Though, I equally understand how our children are impacted by the presence of guns in our communities.

My heart was touched by Kimberly Vaughan, a 14-year-old student, was the youngest victim to die along with eight schoolmates and two teachers, at Santa Fe High School in Texas in 2018. The shooter gained access to his father's shotgun and pistol, kept in a closet, to carry out the murders.

To commemorate Kimberly Vaughan, I introduced a provision of H.R. 7910 that expands the requires safe firearm storage devices to be made available at the point of sale—for both rifles and shotguns—which will train new gun owners on the value of safe storage and remind seasoned gun owners that safe storage goes hand-in-hand with responsible gun ownership.

There are now more guns held legally and illegally in the U.S. than there are people. While gun violence touches every corner of America, Texas has suffered some of the deadliest mass shootings in history.

Despite the escalating gun violence statistics, which have left innumerable families and communities broken and afraid, Congress has still failed to act.

Instead, lawmakers in several states, including in my state of Texas, have reconvened after mass shootings to soften gun laws, most notably, passing permit-less carry legislation.

I have been dismayed by those who repeatedly offer thoughts and prayers, then fail to act. Our top priority as lawmakers should be protecting our communities. Yet, we have relinquished that duty through inaction.

Now is the time for action. We cannot wait any longer. I call upon each of my colleagues, on both the right and left, to muster your courage and join me in support of H.R. 7910—lifesaving legislation, which represents the hard work of so many dedicated members of Congress.

I thank House Judiciary Committee Chairman Nadler and Representative MIKE THOMP-SON, Chair of the Gun Violence Prevention Task Force, for working with me on this bill and recognizing the urgency and necessity of bringing it to the floor.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. GAETZ).

Mr. GAETZ. Madam Speaker, Speaker PELOSI tells us she is on a crusade for the children. Someone should maybe remind the Speaker the Crusades did not always end well when they were reflexively driven by emotion and riddled with poor planning.

No, this is no crusade for the children. If it were, you wouldn't leave our children as sitting ducks in gun-free zones when they go to school every day.

The Speaker says we need action. We are for action. How about the action of my bill to create a national standyour-ground law to strengthen self-defense, or RICHARD HUDSON's bill for national concealed carry, or any number of proposals Republicans have offered to unlock the safe and secure environment when we have our military veterans and our former members of law enforcement able to carry a firearm responsibly in schools to be able to respond to these acts of violence?

No, their version of action is more gun control and raising the age to be able to buy certain firearms. Well, on November 25, 2021, a crazed lunatic with a knife broke into a home in El Paso and began assaulting a woman inside. The 20-year-old homeowner grabbed his rifle and killed the assailant. That is action.

In 2017, in Sutherland Springs, Texas, a gunman open fired inside the First Baptist Church. A Good Samaritan grabbed his AR-15 and engaged the

shooter, stopping him from further carnage—a good use for an AR-15.

In 2019, in Broken Arrow, Oklahoma, a 19-year-old killed three would-be burglars who broke into his home.

These situations happen every day. There would be more death and more bloodshed if we were to accept these proposals from the Democrats.

The Second Amendment isn't about hunting or about self-defense; it is about power. It is about the power that is reserved in the citizenry to curate a balance so that Americans are not overrun by tyranny. Thank God we haven't had to use the Second Amendment for the purpose that some might have envisioned necessary when our Founders were creating the Constitution.

That power belongs to the people, not to the Democrats trying to take their rights away.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. THOMPSON), a cosponsor of the bill and the chairman of the Gun Violence Prevention Task Force.

Mr. THOMPSON of California. Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of this bill, the Protecting Our Kids Act. I point out that every argument we have heard against it so far is nonsense.

Every student deserves to feel safe in school, and every parent should know that their child is safe when they walk out of their house in the morning.

After each mass shooting, too many people are content to offer their thoughts and prayers. The Protecting Our Kids Act is more than thoughts and prayers.

I was proud to work on this bill with Chairman Nadler, Chairwoman Jackson Lee, and all of our colleagues who have contributed bills and input that have made this bill the important bill that it is.

As a lifelong hunter, gun owner, and as a combat veteran, I believe in lawabiding citizens' ability and right to own firearms. As a gun owner, I believe that all responsible gun owners have that responsibility to support efforts to help keep our schools, streets, and communities safe from mass shootings and from the everyday gun violence that often goes unreported by the media.

Each provision in this bill helps reduce gun violence, and it saves lives. Raising the age to buy an assault weapon saves lives. Limiting magazine capacity will limit the carnage of mass shootings, and it saves lives. Going after traffickers keeps guns out of the hands of people who shouldn't have them, and it saves lives. Safe storage helps reduce suicides and keeps kids safe at home and school, and it saves lives. The unregulated sales of bump stocks and ghost guns is tearing up our communities; regulating ghost guns and regulating bump stocks saves lives.

This bill respects the Second Amendment while taking steps to protect our communities from the epidemic of gun

violence. None of our careers are worth more than the lives of the children in this country. We need to pass this bill, and I hope we do it with strong bipartisan support.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, the previous speaker said "nonsense." It is not nonsense to defend the Second Amendment. The Second Amendment protects our God-given right to protect ourselves, our family, our property, and our freedom. That is not nonsense. That is essential is what it is, and it is a critical part of the Constitution.

Madam Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. HUDSON).

Mr. HUDSON. Madam Speaker, as the father of an elementary school child, I am devastated every time we have a school shooting. That is why identifying solutions to stop these tragedies is so important to me.

There are only two significant gun safety bills to pass Congress recently. Both were Republican bills passed by a Republican majority and signed by a Republican President.

The Democrats' mantra has been "do something." My Republican colleagues and I know that the American people expect us to do something that matters

That is why I introduced H.R. 7966, the STOP II, Secure Every School and Protect our Nation's Children Act. It builds on the STOP School Violence Act signed into law in 2018 and redirects unused COVID-19 funding to provide \$1 billion to hire school resource officers, and it provides \$1 billion to hire mental health guidance counselors

Our guidance counselors are wonderful, caring people who are stretched too thin. They may not always have the time they need to reach all the children who need help. There is \$5 billion included to fund STOP School Violence programs that harden schools, expand active shooter training, and provide resources for law enforcement, school officials, and students to intervene before someone reaches a breaking point.

Under my legislation, schools can also apply for threat assessments to identify weaknesses in security and in mental health services. A clearinghouse is also codified under Homeland Security to share best practices for school safety.

My STOP II Act is one of 12 bills that House Republicans are bringing forward that actually solve problems and actually save lives, all without threatening the Second Amendment rights of law-abiding citizens. If gun control worked, Chicago would be one of the safest cities in America.

My colleagues across the aisle have so far refused to work with us where there is common ground on this issue. They and the media know the bills we are considering today have no chance of becoming law.

I ask my colleagues across the aisle to set aside this partisan agenda. Instead, help me to harden schools. Help me to intervene with students in a mental health crisis before they reach a breaking point.

Imagine the impact if we had intervened and gotten the help that this young man in Uvalde needed before he dropped out of high school. Help me protect our children and teachers to make sure tragedies like this never happen again.

Madam Speaker, if we adopt the motion to recommit, we will instruct the Committee on the Judiciary to consider my amendment to H.R. 7910 to provide needed resources to schools for safety and security and mental health intervention and counseling.

Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to insert the text of the amendment in the RECORD immediately prior to the vote on the motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. COHEN), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. COHEN. Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of this bill and would like to see a bill that is even stronger.

There is no question that children—and that is what they are—should not be buying AR-15s before they are 21. In fact, I don't think they should be buying them at all. But until they are 21, they shouldn't be buying them.

It has been proven scientifically that the male brain is not developed to a certain point to be trusted at that time with that type of weapon. Those are weapons of war. Those weapons tore apart those children, decapitated them, and made them unidentifiable. That was the purpose of those weapons, and that is what happened. There is no reason for that to occur.

The opinion in 2008 that gave the right to carry a gun was not unlimited. It said you can have reasonable restrictions. That is what Justice Scalia said. These are reasonable restrictions.

As far as my friend, Mr. HUDSON, I have great respect for him. One of the teachers at Uvalde who was hit and lost all 11 children said: We trained. There is no training that can prepare you for this. There is nothing you can be prepared for.

If you get more school counselors, does that help people in the movie theaters and in Columbine? No. Movie theaters, churches, grocery stores all need to be protected. This country is wild with guns, and we need to restrict them

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. GOHMERT).

Mr. GOHMERT. Madam Speaker, it has been difficult in debate last week and even today to be told that we have no courage. We were told in debate last week: We don't want to hear anymore about social media, violent video games, Hollywood, mental illness. And

they sure don't want to hear any more about prayers. They are disgusted hearing about prayers. They don't want to hear any more about fatherlessness and drug use.

Maybe if we heard more prayers from leaders of this country instead of taking God's name in vain, we wouldn't have the mass killings like we didn't have before prayer was eliminated from school.

It is not like we are not willing to consider the best way to stop mass shootings, but if you look at the plans being proposed in these bills, you can find these things in cities controlled by Democrats.

If you look at the 16 cities that were hit with record homicide rates, they all had Democrats at the top controlling things: Philadelphia, 524 murders last year; Austin, Texas, had a record 88; Indianapolis, 258; Albuquerque, 107; Columbus, Ohio, 179; Jackson, Mississippi, 129; and Atlanta, Georgia, 150.

If you look at the Speaker's own State—as this article by AWR Hawkins said this week, an FBI report on active shooter incidents in 2021 shows California was the number one State for such incidents, with 6 incidents out of the 12 that met the definition of mass killing.

In California, universal background checks, assault weapons ban, high-capacity magazine ban, 10-day waiting period on gun purchases, red flag laws, gun registration requirements, good cause requirement for concealed carry—and what is the response we got in debate last week about? You ought to have due process. We are told: Oh, they get due process. Look at the bill.

What the Democrats call due process is just like the January 6th Committee. It is not due process. It is not bipartisan. They have only one side that is heard at the hearing. The people, when they want to take away your gun, they don't get to be there. The husband can rush in and claim the battered wife is a threat. That is enough to get her eliminated from being able to use a weapon or have a weapon.

These are not the ways to fix things. For people who are okay with lateterm abortions and ripping the arms and legs off of children that feel the pain and then crushing the skulls, it is desensitizing.

Madam Speaker, it is not well re-

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. JOHNSON), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia. Madam Speaker, how free are we as Americans if we are holed up in our homes, shell-shocked by gun violence? We should not have to live like that. We shouldn't have to live tormented by the need to buy yet another gun more powerful than the one our neighbor purchased last week. That is not freedom. Our kids deserve better.

The old and tired NRA Republican Party philosophy, which is the only

way to stop a bad guy is a good guy with a gun, has not and will not work. In Uvalde, 19 good guys with guns didn't stop the killer of 19 school kids and two teachers

We have done it the Republican way for far too long now, and for the sake of the kids, it is time to do something different.

Today, the good guys in the House will stand up to the NRA and pass the commonsense Protecting Our Kids Act.

\sqcap 1515

 $\operatorname{Mr.}$ JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I include in the RECORD an article dated May 31, 2022, from The New York Times titled "California has America's Toughest Gun Laws, and They Work."

[From the New York Times, May 31, 2022] CALIFORNIA HAS AMERICA'S TOUGHEST GUN

Laws, and They Work

(By Shawn Hubler)

The grotesque toll of gun violence is again being debated in Congress. As Luis Ferré-Sadurní and I reported over the long weekend, states are not holding their breath.

Particularly this state: In ways that have tended to be underreported, California has significantly lowered gun deaths, Dr. Garen J. Wintemute, an emergency room doctor and longtime firearm violence researcher, told me this week.

"For the last 20, maybe even 25 years—except for the two years of the pandemic, which have increased homicides and suicides across the country—our rates of firearm violence have trended downward," said Dr. Wintemute, who directs the Violence Prevention Research Program at the University of California, Davis, Medical Center in Sacramento. "And this has been at a time when most of the rates in the rest of the country have gone up."

California's rate of firearm mortality is among the nation's lowest, with 8.5 gun deaths per 100,000 people in 2020, compared with 13.7 per 100,000 nationally and 14.2 per 100,000 in Texas, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has reported. And Californians are about 25 percent less likely to die in mass shootings, compared with residents of other states, according to a recent Public Policy Institute of California analysis.

I asked Dr. Wintemute how California is different. Here's a lightly edited excerpt from our conversation, which took place on Memorial Day after his emergency room shift.

Just a couple of weeks ago, California had a mass shooting. By what measures are our policies a success?

You have to look at it on a population basis. We do have more mass shootings in California, but we're also by far the largest state. I looked a while ago at the rates of firearm violence across the 21st century—homicide and suicide together—and the rest of the country was up, but California's rates were so far down that the average was flat.

We always hear that nothing works, that even California's strict gun laws are ineffective

That's because we evaluate policies one at a time, in isolation. The results for one policy might be mixed or even negative. But what California has done over a number of decades has been to enact a whole bundle of policies that I think work in synergy, to measurable effect.

It sounds like the "Swiss cheese model" public health experts have used to address Covid.

Yes. The idea is to prevent the holes in the policies from lining up. But if we rank the states, California's rate of firearm violence ranks 29th out of 50 states for homicides and 44th for suicides.

Can you share some examples?

California has done a lot to prevent highrisk people from purchasing firearms. We've broadened the criteria for keeping guns out of the hands of people who pose a danger to themselves or others due to mental illness. If you're convicted of a violent misdemeanor in California, you can't have a gun for the next 10 years; that offense has to be a felony in most states.

We require background checks, and not just from licensed retailers; in most states, purchases from private parties require no background checks or record keeping of any kind. We have a system, that we're evaluating now, for getting guns back from "prohibited persons"—people who have been convicted of violent crimes or who are facing domestic violence restraining orders. And we enforce these policies, unlike a lot of other states.

What else?

In the early 1990s, cheap handguns—"Saturday Night Specials"—were almost entirely manufactured around Los Angeles. It was a few companies making upward of 800,000 cheap handguns a year. So the state imposed standards for design and safety. One of the companies has since gone to Nevada. The rest went belly-up and no one else has come in to fill the gap.

What about gaps?

Every time California sets a new standard, the gun industry tries to outwit it. Unregulated ghost guns have become immensely popular here, precisely because we're such a tightly regulated market. And the state program to recover guns from prohibited people has never had the level of funding it needs to do the whole job—there are only about 40 trained agents for the whole state and a backlog of at least 10,000 people whose guns need to be taken.

Overall, what could the rest of the country learn from California?

The lower the prevalence of ownership, the lower the rate of firearm violence—that's been one of the most robust research findings for decades. Rates of gun ownership are lower here, in part because of this bundle of state measures. In the United States overall, something like 25 percent to 30 percent of individuals own guns. In California, it's about 15 percent to 18 percent.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, the evidence doesn't lie. California's rate of firearm mortality is among the Nation's lowest, with 8.5 gun deaths per 100,000 people in 2020, compared with 3.7 per 100,000 nationally and 14.2 per 100,000 in Texas. Gun safety laws work. It is that simple.

Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Maryland (Mr. HOYER).

Mr. HOYER. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

This is an emotional debate. It is an emotional debate because we lose, on a daily basis, family members, neighbors, friends, and fellow citizens to a bullet or multiple bullets—killed by gun.

I do not subscribe to the theory that some promote that the more guns we have, the safer we will be. I believe that is somewhat like the O.K. Corral theory that if you have a faster gun, then you will be safe. Eventually,

somebody will have a faster, bigger, and more surprising gun than we.

Madam Speaker, like all Americans, I found the mass shooting at Uvalde, Texas, heart-wrenching, tragic, and unacceptable. Although the news was excruciating to watch, it was anything but surprising given our history with deadly firearms. Sadly, we didn't even have time to mourn the 19 children and two teachers who were killed in Uvalde before news broke of another mass shooting—over and over and

At some point these statistics have to move us to respond in an effective way. My friend from North Carolina suggested hardening the schools. We have hardened this Chamber over the objections of some when we know that no gun should be in this Capitol other than those possessed by law enforcement, Capitol Police.

According to the nonpartisan Gun Violence Archive, there have been as many as 33 mass gun violence incidents in the 14 days since the attack on Robb Elementary. We have more guns in this country than any other nation on Earth. The Second Amendment guarantees that we have a right to a gun, but the Supreme Court in Heller said: But there are limitations to that right.

I strongly support an individual American's right to have a gun in his or her home—their home—to protect themselves and their business. But the Court said: Be reasonable.

In the past week alone, 7 days, we have seen mass shootings in Chattanooga, Tennessee, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; and Tulsa, Oklahoma. Not even 10 days before the shooting in Robb Elementary, a domestic terrorist killed 13 people in a grocery store in Buffalo, New York.

In every corner of this country, Americans are begging—begging—Congress to protect our kids and our people. Many of us like to say, This is the people's House.

The people are speaking to us and crying out for action.

A gentleman who spoke before me said something about saying prayers. I believe in prayer. But I also believe the admonition that JOHN KENNEDY said when he said: "Let us go forth to lead the land we love, asking His blessing and His help, but knowing that here on Earth, God's work must truly be our own."

Today, in this House—the people's House—we need to act to protect the

Madam Speaker, I want to direct your attention to this chart again. Look at the numbers—hundreds of deaths. In addition to the unconscionable trauma these attacks inflicted on the parents, children, wives, husbands, brothers, sisters, and other loved ones of the victims, they have one thing in common: the perpetrator got the gun legally.

In Tulsa, Oklahoma, the perpetrator got the gun approximately 3 hours before he shot Dr. Phillips. He was filled,

obviously, with passion, hate, and anger at the pain that he apparently was suffering physically and perhaps emotionally.

So what did he do?

He went down and quickly got a gun—an AR-15 to be exact—and went and shot not only Dr. Phillips but three other people in the process. Those are 285 deaths we could have prevented if we had commonsense gun laws in place.

Of the 45,000 people who died from gun violence last year alone—we are talking about making people safe—45,000 deaths—they are not safe—how many would have also been spared had our laws been stronger?

Frankly, I, myself, would favor reinstituting the 1994 assault weapons ban for which I voted. And I lamented the fact that when my Republican colleagues were in charge of the House, Senate, and the Presidency, they allowed that law to go out of existence. None of us can speculate what the cost of that was, but there is no doubt in my mind there was a cost. In fact, that bill reduced mass shootings then, and it would do so again now.

There is much I believe we ought to do as the Representatives of the American people in this House. The House, though, has already taken action on two very critical gun safety measures supported by 9 out of 10 Americans. We don't have 9 out of 10 Americans who are Democrats in this country. Neither side does. But if you have any credence in polling data that says what Americans think, 9 out of 10 think that comprehensive background checks should be the law of the land. I don't know a commonsense argument against that.

We passed the Charleston loophole. This gentleman who bought that gun 3 hours or thereabouts before he killed Dr. Phillips would have had time to cool off and to perhaps have second thoughts, to perhaps have saved the life of a doctor whose job it was to save lives. We sent those bills over, and Senate Republicans, however, have refused to allow even debate on either of these bills—even debate on either of these bills that are overwhelmingly supported by the American people.

I know that my Republican colleagues are as disturbed by the murder of children as Democrats are. I believe that. I hope that is the case, but I believe it. But I am confounded by the unwillingness to respond in an effective way even on asking that everybody get checked out, so we know they are not criminally insane or a felon or an abuser or on the terrorist watch list; but it is no to comprehensive background checks just to see if somebody is a danger to themselves or others.

I know that our colleagues across the aisle shed tears when their constituents die from gun violence, as we do. This should not be a Democratic or Republican issue but an issue of our common humanity and our common sense. If we work together, we can achieve a safer America

We have seen promising signs from the Senate that a bipartisan agreement

may be possible. I surely hope it is. But this House will not and should not wait to act. That is why we are voting on this Protecting Our Kids Act today.

This legislation is, in my view, long overdue. I participated in a sit-in on this floor to try to galvanize the Congress. It didn't work. Sadly, it didn't work. To the disappointment of the American people, it didn't work.

This comprehensive bill is the product of tireless efforts by many of our colleagues to address issues that contribute to our gun violence epidemic.

Thanks to ROBIN KELLY, the Protecting Our Kids Act will crack down on gun traffickers who take guns, where? Into the big cities and spread them around. Now, they sell them; they don't give them away for free. But it is the traffickers who break the laws—not of Chicago, but perhaps don't break the laws of where they bought multiple guns for those who can't buy guns.

Similarly, Representatives Cicilline and Espaillat pushed to include provisions that would regulate elusive ghost guns. Now, I chaired the Subcommittee on Treasury, Postal Service, and General Government that oversaw the Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Division, and we couldn't even make sure that ordnance—bullets—could be traced because the NRA was opposed.

Representative TITUS ensured that we would ban bump stocks, a weapon component that allowed a gunman to kill 60 people in Las Vegas in 2017 and wound hundreds more.

Was he a hunter? Was he a sportsman?

Additionally, this bill will restrict high-capacity magazines which enable shooters to inflict maximum destruction in the minimum amount of time, thanks to language included by Representative DEUTCH. Because of Chairwoman DELAURO, Chairwoman JACKSON LEE, and Representative SLOTKIN, this bill also protects our kids from gun violence at home by implementing gun storage safety standards.

Common sense and common purpose protects our kids.

This act also includes Representative ANTHONY BROWN's measure to raise the legal age for purchasing assault weapons and shotguns from 18 to 21. You can buy an AR-15, apparently, or some other multiple-shot, quick-shot weapon, but you can't buy a drink in many jurisdictions.

Is that common sense?

This legislation never would have come together without the leadership of Chairman Nadler. I thank the chairman for his leadership, and I thank the committee for their work on this bill. I thank Chairwoman Jackson Lee of the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism and Homeland Security, and I thank Chairman Thompson of the Gun Violence Task Force.

This bill, as well as additional legislation from Representatives McBath and Carbajal that will be considered subsequently on Thursday, takes major

steps forward to make our communities and our children safer.

Is it perfect?

Will it stop all the killing? No, it won't. We know that. Is there a perfect answer?

No.

Is making schools safer bad?

No. We support that.

I don't know that we support making them into armed camps, as some would suggest, because I think that would make them less safe in many respects.

□ 1530

So I urge all of my colleagues to put our country, our constituents, and our kids first. Let us rise above party and partisanship and special interests as we seek to do what is right, what is necessary, and what an overwhelming majority of the American people are looking to Congress to achieve.

Isn't that what we are supposed to

do, represent the people?

I am hopeful we can find a bipartisan path forward to enact long-overdue reforms to make our communities safer from gun violence because a bullet doesn't care about your race, your faith, your age, your orientation, or any other factor.

And yes, people do care about those things and manifest it in the worst way possible. But they do it with an instrument that will allow them to kill a lot

of people very quickly.

The American people care what we do here today. The American people care that their Congress is doing everything possible to keep them safe, to keep our children safe. The American people care.

Each of us today has a chance to show that we care. God's work on Earth must truly be our own. Vote for this bill. Make our kids and communities and people safer.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from New York (Mr. JEFFRIES), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. JEFFRIES. Madam Speaker, America is in the midst of a shocking gun violence epidemic that should shock the conscience of everyone, and has devastated children, families, and communities. We must address it with the fierce urgency of now.

But there are some in this Chamber who would rather bury their heads in the sand and act like everything is

It is not okay that Black folks were gunned down in Buffalo, New York, simply because of the color of their skin.

It is not okay that members of the Jewish community were gunned down in the Tree of Life synagogue in Pittsburgh simply because of their religious beliefs.

It is not okay that children were gunned down and shredded in Uvalde, Texas, by an 18-year old who should never have had access to a weapon of war. It is not okay that mass murder has become a way of life in the United States of America. That is why we must pass comprehensive gun violence prevention legislation, address this epidemic decisively, and allow America to be the best version of itself.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. MASSIE).

Mr. MASSIE. Madam Speaker, today we are debating six gun control provisions in one. Why are there six bills in here? Because none of them work.

But you can't take six bills that don't work and put them together and make one that does. It doesn't work that way.

These are unserious, unconstitutional and, most troubling, dangerous provisions; six titles in this bill, and they all suffer the same inherent problem that gun control suffers when we pass it here in these legislatures, and that problem is, criminals do not obey the law. They are going to love some of these laws, though.

Let's take, for instance, the so-called safe storage provisions in here. Home invaders are going to love the fact that Congress has now told you you need to lock up your gun in your house. How are you going to defend yourself when your guns are locked up? This is dangerous. It is also unconstitutional.

The Supreme Court already ruled in Heller that it is unconstitutional to require Dick Heller to keep his gun disassembled and unloaded in his house. That violates the Second Amendment.

Think about the provision to raise the age to 21 to buy a long gun. That includes rifles and shotguns, not just a handgun, which is already impermissible. This is unconstitutional, and it is immoral.

Why is it immoral? Because we are telling 18-, 19-, and 20-year olds to register for the draft. You can go die for your country. We expect you to defend us, but we are not going to give you the tools to defend yourself and your family.

I offered an amendment in committee that would let the spouse of somebody in the armed services serving overseas acquire the means of self-defense while her husband is serving overseas; let her defend her and her children. Just because she is 18, 19, or 20, and her husband is serving, she shouldn't be defenseless. The Democrats voted it down in committee.

I offered an amendment to say that we won't treat domestic violence victims as gun traffickers if they happen to get a gun from a neighbor instead of getting it from the gun store. Every Democrat but one voted against protecting domestic violence victims.

Let me give you one that is not a hypothetical. My dear friend Nikki Goeser, who worked in my congressional office, watched her husband murdered in front of her in a gun-free zone because she followed the law. She had a licensed registered firearm and, in a moment she regrets to this day,

she left it in her car because she knew the law said not to bring it in there; but her stalker knew she wasn't going to have a gun. Her stalker murdered her husband in front of her.

Criminals don't follow the law. So let's do the one serious thing we could do.

Why must children keep dying? Let's quit advertising our schools as soft targets. Let's quit saying that these are gun-free zones, and that these kids are sitting ducks.

In 1990, Congress did another kneejerk reaction that has cost more lives than it saved. It is called the 1990 Gun-Free School Zones Act.

Fortunately, some States and school districts have had the wisdom to override this provision. And guess what? We don't have to guess.

Does hardening our schools work? Does letting trained teachers and professional staff carry, does it protect children? We know it does. Because in every single school district, every school that has allowed them to carry, there hasn't just been no mass shootings, there hasn't been a single shooting. Why?

Because these insane individuals, they seek one thing. They seek some twisted version of glory, which involves a body count. And they know they will not achieve that if they walk into that school and the first thing they see when they whip out their gun is a staff member who is armed and trained, and they die unceremoniously. That is what they deserve.

Put three of those on the news, and you could stop this.

So we should quit advertising our schools. Quit making that the Federal default that they are sitting ducks. Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. CICILLINE), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. CICILLINE. Madam Speaker, almost 6 years ago I sat down right here on this floor with the late, great John Lewis and so many colleagues to protest the Republicans' unwillingness to take up gun safety legislation after the Orlando shooting at the Pulse night-club.

We promised to the American people that if we were given the majority, we would deliver on gun safety legislation.

Last year, we passed bills for expanded criminal background checks and to close the Charleston loophole to ensure criminals can't buy firearms. And today, we will pass groundbreaking legislation to address gun violence and the epidemic of gun violence in our country.

Gun violence is the crisis of this generation. There isn't a person or a community in this country that isn't affected by gun violence. It doesn't matter if you are rich or poor, in a city or suburb, young or old. We are all a text message away from this happening to any one of us.

In the last 159 days alone, we have had more than 240 mass shootings in

this country; almost 19,000 dead from guns, and 27 school shootings. Children killed in Uvalde, Parkland, Sandy Hook, and many more places across the country.

Enough is enough. It is time to do something. Every minute we wait, every minute we fail to act, we sentence more Americans and more children to death by guns in this country.

The Protecting Our Kids Act is a smart, commonsense package that will save lives. I urge my colleagues to take this first step with us. Help reduce gun violence in this country. Protect your constituents from the gun violence that is ravaging communities all across America.

And we can't stop here. This is a great bill. There is a lot more work to be done to make certain that kids and all the members of our communities are safe.

But have the courage to stand up. Actually, it doesn't take a lot of courage. Do your job. Protect your constituents from these horrific acts of gun violence.

Vote "yes" on this legislation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are directed to address their remarks to the Chair.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. BIGGS).

Mr. BIGGS. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I oppose H.R. 7910, the Democrats' latest gun control effort. Supporters of this bill do not want to protect America's Second Amendment rights because they don't care about Second Amendment rights.

Instead of addressing the societal issues that have been caused by decades of progressive leftwing policies that have assaulted the American family and American society, they want to ban guns.

They ignore the fact that many of the cities with the most restrictive gun control laws also have the highest levels of crime. They simply do not acknowledge that they don't believe in the Second Amendment.

But let's talk about what Republicans have proposed because Republicans have proposed many efforts. You just heard from Mr. MASSIE one of his.

Well, here is what you don't hear. I listened very carefully to the majority leader. He was enumerating through polls. But guess what? The majority of Americans believe schools would be safer if teachers were given options to carry a firearm. That comes from The Economist, the latest Economist poll last week.

A similar poll: 26 percent are safer with an armed guard. Utah allows teachers to carry a concealed weapon. They not only have not had a mass shooting, they have never had a shooting since that law has been in place.

Justice Scalia said in his Heller opinion that the very text of the Second Amendment implicitly recognizes the pre-existence of the right, the Second

Amendment right, and declares only that it shall not be infringed. This is not a right granted by the Constitution. Neither is it in any manner dependent upon that instrument for its existence.

The Second Amendment simply declares that it shall not be infringed, but that is exactly what your bills do.

The Republicans have also said, let's harden the schools. Look, you have got \$122 billion that you gave in relief, COVID relief to K-12. More than 90 percent of it remains unused.

And you say, well, we don't want to talk about doors. What do we do? We hide behind doors because they work.

You can harden schools and make them work. You can arm guards and make them work and make children safer.

We all condemn the acts of violence that have occurred throughout our country, but the answer cannot be restricting America's right to protect themselves. Every day, Americans use guns to protect themselves and their families. This bill will make it harder for Americans to do this. That is an inconvenient fact that my colleagues across the aisle simply can't and choose not to address.

Last week, at markup, Democrats repeatedly claimed that good guys with guns do not stop bad guys with guns. But Congressman MASSIE read a long list of incidents of good guys using guns to stop bad guys. But that list was ignored.

I will give you one. In Charleston, West Virginia, a woman with a 9-millimeter handgun stopped a shooter shooting into a crowd with an AR-15.

Here is one. Stephen Willeford stopped a shooting at a church in Sutherland Springs.

I urge you to vote "no."

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from California (Mr. LIEU), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. LIEU. Madam Speaker, when I served in the U.S. military, I was trained on firearms.

Let me tell you what a bullet from an AR-15 does to you. The bullet leaves the muzzle of the AR-15 at a speed three times higher than that of a handgun. The energy is so strong that the bullet will disintegrate 3 inches of your bone.

A person shot with an AR-15 looks like a grenade exploded in their body. The bullet also causes your human flesh to ripple violently, so that even if the bullet misses your artery, the human flesh, the ripples can burst arteries anyway.

In Uvalde, Texas, little kids were decapitated and had their faces blown off.

A person under 21 cannot buy a Budweiser. We should not let a person under 21 buy an AR-15 weapon of war.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1545

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished

gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. JAYAPAL), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Ms. JAYAPAL. Madam Speaker, the Protecting Our Kids Act is about saying no more to mass shootings, no more to children murdered in schools, no more to Black people murdered at the grocery store, no more to doctors being murdered in hospitals, no more to losing our children, our fathers, mothers, siblings, and friends in this uniquely American epidemic of gun violence.

The families who have lost loved ones know that these deaths are not inevitable. We need to act now.

This bill has simple but effective solutions: Raise the minimum age to 21 to buy a semiautomatic rifle, prohibit gun trafficking and high-capacity magazines, require safe storage, and crack down on ghost guns and bump stocks.

Had these protections been enacted in 1999, they would have stopped at least 35 recent mass shootings and saved over 400 lives.

Today, we can choose to mean it when we say "never again." Vote "yes." Save lives. Save children. Save our communities.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. DEMINGS), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Mrs. DEMINGS. Madam Speaker, as a police chief, I was expected to get things done, to do everything within my power to keep people safe.

Offering thoughts and prayers is fine. My grandchildren do that. But if that was all I did as a police chief after mass shootings, no one would have let me get away with that. Well, there is an expectation for Congress, too, to do more.

Madam Speaker, what is painfully wrong with this tragic moment in our history are the people who want the power of the position but not really the responsibility.

Congress failed that little girl who could only be identified by her green tennis shoes. Congress failed the loved ones in Buffalo, at the Pulse nightclub, and in Newtown.

After the Parkland shooting in Florida, Republican legislators helped pass red flag laws and other meaningful legislation to keep guns out of the hands of dangerous people.

Will we do something? Haven't we had enough, or is it just too scary to do the right thing?

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from California (Mr. CORREA), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. CORREA. Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of the Protecting Our Kids Act.

Over the last 20 years, we have lost thousands of lives—thousands of lives—

to mass shootings. Gun violence is now the leading cause of death of children in America. Let me repeat: Gun violence is now the top cause of death of children in America.

This legislation is not perfect, but if we can save one, two, three lives by passing this legislation, then it is worth our effort.

It is our obligation to pass this legislation. Our thoughts and prayers are not enough. We have to act and act now, and I call on my colleagues to join us in voting in favor of protecting our kids.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. GARCIA), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Ms. GARCIA of Texas. Madam Speaker, I rise today in strong support of H.R. 7910, the Protecting Our Kids Act, and H.R. 2377, the Federal Extreme Risk Protection Order Act.

In Texas, our souls are crushed, and our hearts are still broken. We stand in solidarity with our friends and neighbors in Uvalde and communities across the Nation, mourning the lives of those who have lost their lives to gun violence.

Empathy, love, and morality are calling upon us to pass these bills that are commonsense legislation to reduce gun violence and save children's lives.

Let's not be deceived by the absurd proposition to arm our teachers in schools. Let's let schools be schools. A teacher should be armed with books, not guns. Children need to focus on learning the ABCs, not how to dodge bullets.

I urge my colleagues across the aisle to consult their conscience, not the NRA, and vote "yes" on these measures.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, how much time remains on each side.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York has $40\frac{1}{2}$ minutes remaining. The gentleman from Ohio has $38\frac{1}{2}$ minutes remaining.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Colorado (Mr. NEGUSE), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. NEGUSE. Madam Speaker, I rise today not to offer my words but the words of a brave Coloradan, Tom Mauser. Tom's son, Daniel, was murdered at Columbine High School 23 years ago in 1999. He was 15 years old, the same age as me.

Tom shared with me that in the weeks before his death, Daniel asked him, "Dad, did you know there are loopholes in the Brady Bill?"

At 15 years old, Daniel was able to see the gaping holes in our Nation's gun laws. Tragically, so were the two 18-year-old killers, teenagers that, in Tom's words, "saw loopholes big enough to drive a truck through."

As Tom said to me today, what has Congress really done to protect our precious children from gun violence in those 23 years? Shamefully, nothing.

It is time for Congress to do its job. It is time to act and to demonstrate that you give a damn about our children. Tom is right. I beg my colleagues, support this commonsense bill.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. FITZGERALD).

Mr. FITZGERALD. Madam Speaker, I rise today in opposition to H.R. 7910.

I thought I would take this opportunity to do my own fact check on some of the inaccurate claims my colleagues on the other side of the aisle presented at last week's markup.

My Democrat colleagues will often claim there are loopholes in the current background check system, sometimes specifically citing the Charleston loophole. This is simply not true. Federal firearms licensees cannot transfer a firearm without performing a background check on the purchaser.

The FBI is notified immediately if a prohibited person attempts to purchase a weapon, and the FBI has 3 days to follow up. The 3 days for follow-up prevent the FBI from sitting on their hands and not following through with background checks as a way to deny a person a firearm by bureaucracy and, therefore, take away their Second Amendment rights.

Another claim frequently made by my colleagues is that banning so-called assault weapons, a term they rarely define, would reduce mass shootings. Despite automatic weapons already being illegal to the general public, the left uses the term "assault weapons" to describe scary-looking guns, regardless of the actual characteristics of the firearm. Even weapons mischaracterized as assault weapons are used in less than 1 percent of all homicides.

Many of my colleagues claim mandating so-called safe storage of firearms is a commonsense approach to reducing gun violence. Not only does a requirement to keep a firearm within the home unloaded or locked up not comply with Supreme Court precedent, but it also puts them at a disadvantage.

Listen, if you want to accomplish something today, pass what many States have done, and that is to make resources available to secure schools. Madam Speaker, \$100 million, and over 1,300 Wisconsin schools have removed themselves from the list of the most vulnerable with State dollars. Let's do that today and really accomplish something.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from Pennsylvania (Ms. DEAN), the vice chair of the Judiciary Committee.

Ms. DEAN. Madam Speaker, it is said that a civilized society that can no longer feel outrage can no longer be civilized.

Ten people slaughtered in their grocery store. Nineteen children and two teachers massacred in their school, only to have parents who had dropped their children off in the morning wait in some horror line to offer DNA samples. At least 15 people murdered in mass shootings just this weekend, including in my home city, Philadelphia.

Outrage. We must feel the outrage. Do these tragedies from guns in the hands of bad actors sound like the well-regulated militia explicitly mentioned in the Second Amendment? Of course not. It is outrageous.

We want to save our children. We want to save our babies. We want to save our families, the elderly who live in constant fear.

Yet, did you hear, Madam Speaker, the argument on the other side of the aisle? A God-given right for an 18-year-old to slaughter children in a school? Enough is enough.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Georgia (Mrs. McBath), a member of the Judiciary Committee who knows the tragedy of gun violence personally.

Mrs. McBATH. Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of the Protecting Our Kids Act, a chance for us to keep our families healthy and whole and safe.

Day after day, hour after hour, the American people have felt the pain and the horror and the despair of yet another mass shooting, another family torn apart, another community broken.

I know that feeling. You know my story. My son, Jordan, was just 17 years old when he was shot by a man who simply didn't like the loud music that he was playing in his car.

How long do we let American families in this country keep suffering this pain?

That is why we must pass this commonsense legislation, why we must take this step toward ensuring that we are creating true progress for the next generation.

Americans deserve better, and shame on us if we do not take action.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. ESCOBAR), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Ms. ESCOBAR. Madam Speaker, on August 3, 2019, in El Paso, a white supremacist massacred 23 innocent people at Walmart. Our red flag bill might have saved them.

On August 31, 2019, eight people were killed in Midland-Odessa by a man who failed his background check and purchased a gun through a private sale. Our bill on private firearm sales might have saved them.

On May 18, 2018, at Santa Fe High School, a 17-year-old used his father's gun to kill 10 people. Our safe storage bill might have saved them.

In Uvalde, parents are burying their babies today after an 18-year-old purchased an AR-15-style rifle and slaughtered 21 individuals. Our bill raising the age to 21 might have saved them.

These are just the recent Texas mass shootings.

It is not the teachers, the schools, or the doors. It is the guns.

We can't save every life, but my God, shouldn't we try?

We hear Americans, and today in the House, we are taking the action you are demanding. Take note of who is with you and who is not. I am proud to remain El Paso Strong.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from New York (Mr. JONES), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. JONES. Madam Speaker, I rise because we find ourselves on a path that we have traveled before, a path that the American people were promised they would never have to meet again after Columbine, after Sandy Hook, after Parkland, and now after Uvalde.

For two decades—two decades—Republicans bought by the NRA have blocked every attempt to pass legislation that would stop children from being massacred in their classrooms. Why? Because nothing is easier than buying an AR-15 in this country except buying a Republican Member of Congress.

Today, Democrats in the House will pass the Protecting Our Kids Act, and we need the Senate to abolish the filibuster to do the same.

□ 1600

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. Kelly).

Ms. KELLY of Illinois. Madam Speaker, for years I have heard that tired argument that gun violence prevention legislation won't work. "Just look at Chicago," they say, "where residents have some of the strictest gun laws but the highest rates of gun violence."

The reason for that is simple—gun trafficking. More than 60 percent of guns used in crimes in Chicago come from out of State, and we are not the only city where this happens.

According to the ATF, from just 2016 to 2020, one-third of the more than 1.3 million crime guns recovered and traced by law enforcement were brought in across State lines. These guns are purchased in States with lax laws, trafficked across State lines, and then sold to people in our State who we know should not have a gun.

In Illinois, we are doing everything we can to protect our residents. Our neighbors are failing us. That is why I introduced the Prevent Gun Trafficking Act, and why I am so glad this

solution is included in the Protecting Our Kids Act. This is a simple, commonsense solution. Making straw purchasing a Federal crime will help stop the flow of guns into our communities from out of State. Most importantly, it will save lives.

Stopping gun trafficking and straw purchasing is just one step we can take to eliminate gun violence. The Protecting Our Kids Act is a smart, broad approach to a complex issue. Passing this bill is the next step forward in saving children, and we cannot wait.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Connecticut (Ms. Delauro), the distinguished chairwoman of the Appropriations Committee.

Ms. DELAURO. Madam Speaker, I rise in support of the Protecting Our Kids Act, a comprehensive package to address gun violence in the United States. I am pleased that it includes my legislation, Ethan's Law, which will keep kids across the country safe by ensuring that firearms are safely stored and secured.

I introduced Ethan's Law in the House over 3 years ago in memory of Ethan Song, a Guilford, Connecticut, teenager, 15 years old, who accidentally shot himself with an unsecured gun in 2018. The firearm was improperly stored in a Tupperware box with the gun lock keys and the ammunition nearby.

Before I had the honor of introducing Ethan's Law in Congress, it passed the Connecticut General Assembly and the State senate with broad bipartisan support, signed into law in 2019. Today, we take a critical step to make Ethan's Law the national standard in safe storage.

Ethan's Law will set a Federal standard for safe gun storage and incentivize States to create and implement safe gun storage laws. This legislation is a child safety bill, first and foremost, because losing just one child to accidental gun violence is too many.

What happened to Ethan was tragic. No parent should have to lose their child because of an unsecured gun. It is time for Congress to act.

In the words of Ethan Song's parents, Mike and Kristin Song, whose relentless advocacy led us to this moment: "Not a single person on Earth can change the past, but every one of us can change the future." Let's change the future while saving countless lives by passing the Protecting Our Kids Act.

When Kristin Song found out that Ethan's Law was included in the Protecting Our Kids Act, she said that the first person she wanted to tell was Ethan, her beautiful boy, that she has fearlessly fought for since the day she was forced to watch him be lowered into the ground. Upon hearing the news, she immediately drove to the

cemetery, and she ran across it yelling, "Ethan, we did it. Your lifesaving legislation will be heard, it will get a vote."

Madam Speaker, I am voting in favor of this legislation. I urge my colleagues to do the same.

We love you, Ethan.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. McCLINTOCK).

Mr. McCLINTOCK. Madam Speaker, this is called the Protecting Our Kids Act, but sadly it does no such thing. The one thing that we could do immediately to protect our kids is the one thing that the Democrats refuse even to consider.

We think nothing of it when we see an armed guard at a bank. Well, they are there for one purpose—to stop anyone who is trying to steal our money. And, yet, when we suggest that we should use the same force and resolve to stop someone from killing our children, the left goes berserk. I simply do not understand such a screwed-up value system as that.

President Biden's press secretary says that hardening our schools is not something he supports. Think about that. The most heavily guarded person in the world in the most hardened fortress in the country is telling us that we cannot protect our children and our schools as seriously as we protect our money in our banks. Of course, hardening the schools works.

Listen to the sick mind that produced the massacre in Buffalo. He wrote, "Attacking in a weapon-restricted area may decrease the chance of civilian backlash. Schools, courts, or areas where CCW are outlawed or prohibited may be good areas of attack. Areas where CCW permits are low may also fit in this category. Areas with strict gun laws are also great places of attack." That is the Buffalo shooter. Criminals understand that even if the Democrats in this House do not.

In committee, I offered an amendment to require schools receiving Federal security funds to have at least one armed guard on every campus and to allow school officials who have met the requirements of their State to carry a concealed weapon to have it on campus to protect their students if they want to. This is something that can be implemented immediately and that could well have stopped the massacre at Uvalde.

It doesn't depend on criminals obeying the law, as this bill does. It doesn't depend on someday, maybe, reducing possibly the 400 million firearms in this country. It doesn't make self-defense harder for honest and decent people. In fact, it makes self-defense easier for honest and decent people. It would make future attacks on our schools much less likely to succeed and infinitely more dangerous to those contemplating such an act. Yet, the Democrats refuse to even consider it.

We know how to reduce gun violence. Harden our schools and protect our children with the same seriousness as we protect our money. Prosecute gun criminals. Send them to prison for the rest of their lives. Execute murderers. Confine the dangerously mentally ill so that we can treat them. Stop letting terrorists into the country across our southern border.

Yet, woke district attorneys often refuse to prosecute gun criminals or they quickly drop gun charges to reduce their sentences. The Democrats have all but abolished the death penalty. They have released dangerous criminals from our prisons, released dangerous criminal, illegal aliens into our communities, flooded our streets with the dangerously mentally ill, and turned a blind eye as terrorists come across the border that they have left wide open. And then they wonder why we are plagued with violent criminals.

Maybe it is time to get serious about removing criminals from our streets and fortifying our schools. That is something we could do today that will have an immediate effect today.

Protecting Our Kids Act. It does no such thing. It is a tale told by an idiot full of sound and fury signifying nothing.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Maryland (Mr. BROWN).

Mr. BROWN of Maryland. Madam Speaker, again and again we hear a common refrain when a mass shooting happens, a young man, typically under the age of 21, legally purchases a semi-automatic rifle and murders innocent people. We saw this in Uvalde; we saw this in Buffalo; we saw this in Indianapolis in 2021; and we saw this in Parkland in 2019. In fact, six of the nine deadliest mass shootings since 2018 were by young men who should never have been allowed to purchase these weapons in the first place.

Right now, you must be 21 in this country to buy a handgun but only need to be 18 years old to buy a semi-automatic rifle capable of committing unspeakable tragedies. I served in the military. I trained with these weapons. I know what they can do.

These weapons of war have no place in our neighborhoods, let alone in the hands of an untrained 18-year-old boy. The answer is simple—raise the age needed to purchase these weapons to 21, in line with the age to purchase handguns. We have bipartisan support for this: Democrats, Republicans, gun safety advocates, law-abiding citizens and responsible gun owners, teachers, and veterans, because it is common sense.

Shooting after shooting, we ask ourselves what more could we have done. We debate whether one action could have saved the lives of those children, those mothers, fathers, grandmothers, and neighbors. I am done with that. It is time for action.

Raising the age to buy these weapons won't solve our Nation's gun violence epidemic overnight, but if we can make it just a little harder for someone to get their hands on these deadly weapons, if we can save just one life or one community from this carnage and grief, it will be worth it.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this legislation. Raise the age to buy these deadly weapons. Enough is enough.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. WALBERG).

Mr. WALBERG. Madam Speaker, the despicable violence that took place in Uvalde and other places was horrific, and we mourn the loss of innocent life. The thought of losing my fourth-grade grandson in this way shakes me to the core.

There are steps we can take to prevent tragedies from occurring without endangering and infringing upon the rights of law-abiding citizens. I will not support legislation taking away the Second Amendment rights of my constituents. We must focus on root causes of violent crimes and the many cultural issues plaguing our society.

As a Nation, we face a severe mental health crisis, fatherless homes, breakdown of families, glorification of violence that permeates our culture at every level, even to the devaluation of 63 million innocent babies' lives taken in the last 50 years. It must be all-hands-on-deck—in our homes, our schools, and our churches—to address the foundational issues that keep our kids and communities safe.

Madam Speaker, let's not just do something. Harden our schools. Tackle them with real solutions. Oppose this legislation and do the right thing to save innocent lives.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. LANGEVIN).

Mr. LANGEVIN. Madam Speaker, I rise today to plead with my colleagues to support H.R. 7910, the Protecting Our Kids Act.

We gather just days after an 18-year-old mowed down 19 schoolchildren and two of their teachers with an AR-15. Just days earlier, a racist conspiracy theorist used that same type of weapon of war to murder 10 Americans, turning a grocery store into a bloody battlefield. Nineteen families in Uvalde and 10 families in Buffalo are permanently shattered, never to be the same again. On average, more than 110 Americans suffer the same fate, death by gun, every single day.

Madam Speaker, no one can tell me that I don't know what I am talking about when it comes to damage that guns can do. Forty-two years ago, I was a sixteen-year-old police cadet when a gun misfired, severing my spinal cord, and changing my life forever.

Of course, we will never be able to stop every single gun injury or death, but we have the power to act, to pass commonsense gun safety laws that reduce the preventable heartbreak experienced by far too many families in this country. We must get illegal guns off our streets. We must pass red flag laws to keep guns out of the wrong hands. We must raise the minimum age to buy a semiautomatic assault weapon to 21, and we can.

These are commonsense policies, backed by broad, bipartisan majorities of Americans. But instead, some of my colleagues have the audacity to suggest that we turn our schools into armed fortresses.

What is next? Armed churches? Armed movie theaters? Is this the country you want to leave to your children and grandchildren? I certainly don't.

Madam Speaker, guns are now the leading cause of death for children in this country. No other developed country on Earth would ever tolerate this level of gun violence, and we shouldn't, either.

Those children in Uvalde deserved to grow up.

The victims in Buffalo deserved to return home safe.

And the 40,000 Americans who die from guns every year deserved to live.

The American people are counting on us to deliver change. I pray that we won't let them down.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. OWENS).

□ 1615

Mr. OWENS. Madam Speaker, I rise in opposition to H.R. 7910. The violence that occurred in Uvalde, Texas, was horrific. As we consider the pain these families are experiencing, we can do more now. Let us focus today like a laser beam on protecting our precious children.

My friends on the other side of the aisle want us to vote on a hasty, partisan, and overreaching package of bills they developed in the middle of the night without any Republican input.

The legislation we are considering today is clearly designed to strip lawabiding Americans of their constitutional rights. In a town hall meeting last summer, President Biden himself said he would like to ban the sale of handguns and rifles, full stop. It is no wonder law-abiding Americans see this legislation as central Federal overreach.

History has taught us some great lessons. One is that, "Liberty, once lost, is lost forever."

I grew up in the Deep South where, for a time, Black Americans were unable to defend themselves. After the Civil War, Democrat Black Codes and Jim Crow laws prohibited people of color from owning firearms.

Most people have heard the phrase, "40 acres and a mule," the order issued in 1865 that allowed 40,000 former slaves to live on hundreds of thousands of acres

After the assassination of Abraham Lincoln, the Democrat President, Andrew Johnson, a Confederate sympathizer, confiscated this land, displacing thousands of Black Americans

and families. This is an example of why the right to bear arms is necessary to safeguard and protect our life, liberty, and property.

Democrats' The proposals unhelpful in protecting our kids and go against all common sense. They ignore real solutions that will keep our children safe and help prevent future acts of senseless violence.

Most importantly, they are not proposing anything that protects our children now. We don't need to spend another 2 years fighting over solutions. We have solutions available immediately. The Securing Our Students Act, my legislation, would empower local school districts to immediately receive unspent funds from the American Rescue Plan and implement security measures in their schools now.

Of the \$122 billion appropriated to the America's K-12 schools in last year's \$1.9 trillion American Rescue Plan, roughly 93 percent remains unspent. I invite my colleagues to join me in demanding these hundreds of billions of dollars be immediately used to help local schools determine and implement the safety measures that best fit their communities. We can harden our schools and protect our children at school now.

We can never bring back those precious children we lost, but we can, and we must, work together to prevent future tragedies.

This is a solution that will harness the full weight of American innovation and technology and keep our schools safe now to ensure that no child, educator, or family has to say goodbye to a loved one because of any kind of violence.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I vield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Michigan, (Mr. LEVIN).

Mr. LEVIN of Michigan. Madam Speaker, I thank Chairman NADLER for pulling this vital package together.

I speak as a father of four who is heartbroken and still processing Uvalde, Buffalo, and the unending string of mass shootings; a longtime gun reform advocate and a member of the Gun Violence Prevention Task Force who demands real, commonsense gun reform to save lives now.

That is why I support the Protecting Our Kids Act in this moment of profound grief and collective loss. When it comes to the epidemic of gun deaths, there is no panacea. We must pass meaningful reforms, evaluate our work, and then keep on passing more legislation.

Though I am extremely supportive of this long-overdue package, I am concerned about criminal penalties for safe storage violations. Over-criminalization too often harms Black and Brown communities.

As a warrior for racial justice, I urge all of us to investigate how disparate communities would be impacted before this bill heads to the President's desk.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues on both sides of the aisle to support this bill.

yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Roy).

Mr. ROY. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Ohio for yielding.

Why do we have guns?

Why do we have the Second Amendment?

Is it to hunt? Sure.

Self defense? That is even more imnortant

The fact is, if you read the founders— Federalist No. 46, James Madison contrasts us with the tyrannical governments of Europe who are, "afraid to trust the people with arms.

Joseph Story in his Commentaries on the Constitution in 1833: "The right of the citizens to keep and bear arms has justly been considered as the palladium of the liberties of a republic. Since it offers a strong moral check against the usurpation and arbitrary power of rulers; and will generally, even if these were successful in the first instance, enable the people to resist and triumph over them.'

We have a Second Amendment because we understand in this country that there are some things, inalienable rights, that you cannot justly take away from a free and equal human being. Tyrants disarm the people they intend to oppress. Those are the facts.

Jewish people in Germany were prohibited from owning firearms; 13 million Jews were exterminated by the Nazis.

The Soviets instituted gun control and millions were killed; 20 million dissidents were rounded นท and exterminated.

Mao disarmed the Chinese people; 20 million dissidents were exterminated.

In Cambodia, the Khmer Rouge was able to take advantage of the fact that limited gun ownership to just hunters and killed 1.5 million to 3 million Camhodians

Turkey disarmed Armenians, and 1.5 million Armenians were killed in the Armenian Genocide.

The South disarmed slaves and actively fought against their arming. And then in the 20th century, armed Black Army veterans, for example, in Louisiana, were able to defend against the KKK.

Even fact-checking organization Snopes said: "We find it reasonable to conclude that gun confiscations, facilitated by laws requiring the registration and/or licensing of firearms, played a crucial role in carrying out of 20th-century genocides."

This is not fiction. This is in our lifetime. This is in our grandparents' lifetime. This is in our recent history. This is why the Second Amendment matters. It is not trivial. It is not something you just brush aside. This is a foundation of liberty. It is who we are. But in order to sell a lie to the American people that the government will protect them from all manners of evil, while defunding the police, leaving our borders wide open—I am glad my colleagues can suddenly find

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I Uvalde on a map-while allowing dangerous cartels operating hundreds of miles into Texas, allowing fentanyl to pour into our communities and kill tens of thousands of children—the very children my colleagues say they wish to protect.

> In order to do this, Democrats in this body are willing to take away citizens' ${\tt God\text{-}given} \quad {\tt right} \\ {\tt --yes}, \quad {\tt the} \quad {\tt God\text{-}given}$ right that was mocked earlier-to protect himself or herself, or her family or his family, from harm—the very harm they foster by appeasing lawlessness, and importantly, from the very tyranny being applied to them to deny that right.

> That is what is at stake, this bill; and it gets brushed aside, raise the age limit. Well, there are constitutional questions to that, in the 4th Circuit, 9th Circuit, and other circuits.

> The second title in straw purchases. the very straw purchases the Democrat DAs don't even want to really prosecute, would prohibit a law-abiding citizen from giving a gun to a friend as a gift.

> Safe storage would make it unlawful for me to have the 22- and the 20-gauge, propped up by my door right now in Texas, to kill coyotes and snakes. It would make it unlawful.

> This will not do any good. It will harm Americans, and it undermines our foundational liberties that are crucial for a free state.

> Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from North Carolina (Ms. MANNING).

Ms. MANNING. Madam Speaker, so far this year, our country has experienced more than 250 mass shootings. And it is only June. Gun violence is now the number one cause of death of children in this country. We cannot let this continue.

The Protecting Our Kids Act is an important step toward curbing gun violence by limiting high-capacity magazines, raising the minimum age to buy assault weapons to 21, and encouraging safe storage practices.

If these measures had been in place, the 18-year-old gunman in Uvalde, Texas, would not have been able to buy the two assault weapons he used to murder 19 children and two teachers.

The Dayton, Ohio, shooter would not have been able to buy the high-capacity magazine that allowed him to shoot 26 people in 30 seconds.

Madam Speaker, we can't solve this complex problem at once, but neither can we desist from taking commonsense measures to help make this country safer for our children. Let's honor our oath. Let's do our job to keep the American people safe. Vote "yes" this bill. It is the least we can do for our constituents.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from California (Ms. SPEIER).

Ms. SPEIER. Madam Speaker, I believe I am the only Member of this House that is a victim of gun violence. My body is riddled with bullets. I have a divot in my leg that is the size of a football. I have skin grafts on all parts of my body. I live with that every single day.

A victim of gun violence is traumatized over and over again. I implore my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, if we had a 737 that crashed every month for 12 months in this country, we would do something about it. And that is what is happening to children in this country.

Madam Speaker, 1,500 kids die of gun violence every single year. We have to do something about it. All we are saying is don't let them purchase an assault weapon until they are 21. We already say you can't purchase a handgun until you are 21. Dr. Guerrero this morning talked about decapitated heads

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues—I am leaving this institution—to please do something.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from Illinois (Ms. SCHA-KOWSKY).

Ms. SCHAKOWSKY. Madam Speaker, I thank the chairman for yielding.

Madam Speaker, I will share a conversation that I had with Anna Kate, who is a constituent of mine in my district. She called my office absolutely distraught; this was late in the day of the shooting. She said that parents have really reached their breaking point right now and that she is afraid, and she is afraid for her 7-year-old son.

She said, I want to tell my child, you will be safe in school.

What a simple sentence that most parents—any parent—would want to say. "You will be safe in school."

But I can't, she said.

Children continue to die, and this is on all of us, all of our conscience. There is no issue more important than this

We can do something starting today, something real. We can finally pass this legislation. Let's do it.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from California (Ms. ESHOO).

Ms. ESHOO. Madam Speaker, I thank the chairman for yielding.

Madam Speaker, where is the soul of America in this Chamber? I have listened to every speaker since the debate began.

I think that Shakespeare would say: Thou dost protest too much.

We have simple, profound facts. Our children are being blown away. Last week, the first funeral, that casket could not be opened.

I think every single Member here is a parent. Walk behind that casket.

Think of yourselves and your own children. They deserve solutions to this. There has to be more from you than damning the Democratic Party.

Come on. We are Members of Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield an additional 10 seconds to the gentlewoman.

Ms. ESHOO. Many of us say a prayer, the Lord's prayer. And at the end we say: And lead us not into temptation but deliver us from evil. Amen.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. TIFFANY).

Mr. TIFFANY. Madam Speaker, not one word from our colleagues when it comes to the crime waves in cities like Chicago, Los Angeles, Milwaukee, Minneapolis, New York, San Francisco, and Philadelphia.

To do so would be to criticize their own soft-on-crime policies. It has been going on for a decade now. They hope Americans ignore the inconvenient truth. Criminals don't get background checks, and they don't fear laws because rogue prosecutors financed by progressive dark money groups don't enforce our laws.

□ 1630

These criminal strongholds and Washington, D.C., have one thing in common: They are run by Democrats who refuse to enforce our laws.

This administration, responsible for a 40-year inflation high, erasing our border, and a shrinking economy, will say anything to distract from their own failures. Jimmy Carter says thank you for making the seventies look good.

Week after week, we hear about violent criminals revictimizing communities without being held accountable. The people furthering these policies and making our communities less safe are the same people who are fervently arguing to disarm Americans and defund the police. They are the same people weaponizing the FBI against their political opponents and the same people who want to repeal the Second Amendment. I wish they would just tell the American people the truth instead of deploying Trojan horses.

Whether it is rifle bans, 9-mm bans, Federal red flag laws, one thing is certain: They will not change the outcomes for the people in those cities.

As some Members of this body have said in the last few weeks: This is just the beginning. We will not stop.

They will continue to erode our rights because they believe in a nanny-state government, not a government of the people.

What Americans need is the enforcement of our laws holding criminals accountable and protecting our constitutional rights.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I include in the RECORD a May 27, 2022, piece from CNN titled "States with

weaker gun laws have higher rates of firearm related homicides and suicides, study finds."

[From CNN, May 27, 2022]

STATES WITH WEAKER GUN LAWS HAVE HIGH-ER RATES OF FIREARM RELATED HOMICIDES AND SUICIDES, STUDY FINDS

(By Emma Tucker and Priya Krishnakumar)

A new study published Thursday by a leading non-profit organization that focuses on gun violence prevention found that there is a direct correlation in states with weaker gun laws and higher rates of gun deaths, including homicides, suicides and accidental killings.

The study by Everytown for Gun Safety determined that California had the strongest gun laws in the country. Hawaii topped the list with the lowest rate of gun deaths in the country while Mississippi led the country with both the weakest gun laws and highest rate of gun deaths.

"What this project does, is show what we've been saying for years: Gun laws save lives," said Nick Suplina, senior vice president of law and policy at Everytown for Gun Safety Support Fund. "We think this is going to be a really important tool for law-makers, reporters and advocates that have been looking for the kind of visual tool that can make that case clearly."

To compile its list, the group used data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, looked at each state's rate of gun deaths in 2020 and compared those rates with 50 policies that they say are scientifically proven to be effective in preventing gun violence, Suplina said.

The research team then weighed the list of gun safety policies based on their efficacy, ranked each state on its implementation of those policies and compared that score with the rates of gun deaths in each state, he said.

The CDC's data includes homicides, accidental killings and suicides committed by guns. According to the CDC, over 45,000 people in the United States were killed with a firearm in 2020—more than half died by suicide.

The analysis, first reported by CNN, put California at the top of the list for gun law strength—a composite score of 84.5 out of 100, with one of the lowest rates of gun deaths per 100,000 residents, at 8.5 out of 30 and below the national average of 13.6. Hawaii has the lowest rate of gun deaths in the country with the second strongest gun law score. It also has the lowest rate of gun ownership, with firearms in 9 percent of households, the data shows.

As state legislatures begin to convene for their 2022 legislative sessions, lawmakers will consider a breadth of bills that either loosen or expand gun protections across various states.

"I have seen firsthand in California that the work we have done to strengthen gun laws has been both life-saving and effective," said Rep. Mike Thompson (D-CA). chair of the House Gun Violence Prevention Task Force. "Gun laws work, and we need them across the board to ensure that felons, domestic abusers, and those with mental health issues can't simply go to the next state over to circumvent the very laws meant to keep guns out of their hands."

CNN has reached out to the National Rifle Association (NRA) to comment on the research, but it declined to do so before seeing the data.

Mississippi has the weakest gun laws with a score of 3 out of 100 and has the highest rate of gun deaths per 100,000 residents—28.6 out of 30, the research shows.

Massachusetts has adopted 37 of the 50 policies and has the second-lowest rate of

gun deaths, while Missouri has only eight of the gun safety policies and the fourth highest rate of gun deaths in the US. Louisiana and Wyoming are among the top five states with the highest gun deaths and the weakest gun safety laws.

"Lawmakers in the states at the bottom can't pretend to be ignorant about the importance of gun laws after looking at this report," said Shannon Watts, the founder of Moms Demand Action, which has been fighting for gun safety measures since the 2012 Sandy Hook Elementary School shooting in Connecticut that killed 20 children and six educators.

Mother and activist DeAndra Dycus said the gun violence problem in Indianapolis is "tearing our community apart."

Nearly eight years ago, her 13-year-old son, DeAndre "Dre" Knox, was shot in the back left side of his head at a birthday party in Indianapolis when shots were fired during a confrontation between two boys. DeAndre, now 21, survived after being on life support for several days, Dycus said, and he currently lives in a facility with non-verbal quadriplegic paralysis.

"As a mother, when I look at him, I see everything that was taken away," Dyous said. "My son was a dreamer. He was a scholar. He was on this road to being something great."

"But on the flip side, I see this little boy who has made such an impact, not just on our city but our country, as it pertains to what it looks like to survive," she said. "Dre has embodied that."

Everytown designated a list of five foundational laws that have proven to be the most effective in lowering gun violence rates. These include requirements for a background check and/or permits to purchase handguns; a permit to carry concealed guns in public; the secure storage of firearms; the rejection of 'Stand Your Ground' laws; and the enactment of 'extreme risk' laws that temporarily remove a person's access to firearms when there is evidence that they pose a serious risk to themselves or others, according to Everytown.

Last year, several conservative states—such as Texas, Iowa, Tennessee, Montana, Utah and Wyoming—passed legislation allowing some form of permitless carry as President Joe Biden pushed forward executive actions to address gun violence following several high-profile mass shootings.

In Texas, the controversial "constitutional carry" legislation went into effect in September that allows most Texans who legally own a firearm to carry it openly in public without obtaining a permit or training.

Supporters of the bill have argued that by removing the licensing requirement they are removing an "artificial barrier" to residents' right to bear arms under the Constitution and ensuring more Texans have access to "the protection of themselves or their families" in public. But law enforcement officials and experts have expressed concerns that the open carrying of firearms makes it more difficult for police to quell violence.

"As we've seen gun extremism continue to rise in this country, we've also seen people who open carry start out at marches and rallies and then show up in elected officials' homes, in polling places, statehouses and then on January 6th at the US Capitol," Watts said.

According to Watts, the shooting in a Michigan high school in December that killed four students is a "textbook example" of why laws that require the secure storage of firearms are essential to gun safety.

The alleged gunman in that shooting, 15-year-old Ethan Crumbley, is accused of fatally shooting four classmates and wounding several others on November 30. His parents were charged with four counts of involuntary

manslaughter in the same incident. All three have pleaded not guilty.

Prosecutors allege that James Crumbley, the father of the suspected shooter, bought the gun used in the shooting four days earlier. The incident prompted outrage over Ethan's alleged accessibility to the gun, arguing that the shooting could have been prevented.

Only 23 states currently have some semblance of a secure storage requirement, Watts said.

Last year, Everytown successfully worked to pass secure storage laws in Maine, Colorado, and Oregon, among others, according to Watts

During this year's legislative session, Watts said the organization will work with lawmakers in hopes of passing such laws in at least 14 states. States such as California, Michigan and New Jersey are working on first-of-its kind legislation that requires parents to be notified about secure storage.

Everytown's research shows that 21 of the country's states have rejected Stand Your Ground laws, which allow individuals to use deadly force in public as self-defense even if they can safely walk away from the situation.

Despite strong gun laws, some states still feel the effects of being close to a state with more lax regulations. For example, Illinois has the sixth-strongest gun safety laws in the country, but its neighboring state of Indiana has much weaker gun laws, Suplina says.

According to Suplina, this could explain why such a high number of guns purchased in Indiana have ended up in Chicago. Last year, the U.S. Department of Justice charged six Indianapolis residents related to the straw purchasing of firearms. Prosecutors said more than 20 of the guns were recovered in Chicago after being used in violent crimes, including murder.

Gun violence overall has risen during the pandemic. More than two-thirds of the country's 40 most populous cities saw more homicides last year than in 2020, and most of them were a result of gun violence, according to a CNN analysis of police department data. For many cities, the elevated rates of homicide continued into 2021.

While experts say the reasons for the rise in homicides are varied, murders are increasingly carried out with guns. The increase in gun violence was underscored in the FBI's 2020 Uniform Crime Report, which stated that about 77 percent of reported murders in 2020 were committed with a gun, up from 74 percent in 2019. The agency reported that the number of homicides increased by nearly 30 percent from 2019, the largest single-year jump the agency has recorded. There is no federal database of gun sales, but other independent surveys have found that gun sales have soared during the Covid-19 pandemic.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from the District of Columbia (Ms. NORTON).

Ms. NORTON. Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of the package of gun violence prevention bills we are considering today.

Gun violence is at unseen epidemic levels in this country. We need to combat the source of the epidemic: easy access to guns.

I will address, however, the impact of the lack of statehood for the residents of the District of Columbia on their ability to pass and maintain their own commonsense gun violence prevention laws. If Republicans take the majority in the next Congress, they could eliminate D.C.'s gun violence prevention laws, which they have repeatedly tried to do, including its ban on assault weapons and high-capacity magazines and its universal background checks.

At least seven current Republican Members of Congress have introduced such bills. I have defeated each effort in Congress to overturn D.C. gun violence prevention laws, and I vow to do so again, but the ultimate remedy for congressional interference in local D.C. matters, of course, is D.C. statehood.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Texas (Mr. CASTRO).

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Madam Speaker, I rise to vote to do something. It is too easy for dangerous people to get dangerous weapons in this country, and we are either going to do something about it or we are not.

I want you to imagine for a second that a shooter with an AR-15 goes into your child's or grandchild's school tomorrow or next fall and leaves a hole the size of a basketball in their chest or leaves their head decapitated off their body. Ask yourself what you would ask of the people who represent you. Would their thoughts and prayers be good enough for you if that happened to your child? Would they being worried about their primary election be okay with you?

The people of Uvalde, when I spoke to them, asked me how it is that somebody who is 18 can't buy beer or cigarettes, but they can go into a gun store and buy two AR-15s and go kill 20 people.

The teachers who died, the cops who died, the children who died cannot vote to change the law. Only we can do that. This is our legacy. Vote "yes."

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Mem-

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Members are reminded to direct their remarks to the Chair

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from Florida (Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ).

Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ. Madam Speaker, I rise to confront the Nation's leading child killer: gun violence.

Passing the Protecting Our Kids Act does that by raising the legal age to buy certain semiautomatic rifles and closing the ghost gun loophole. It strengthens safe storage rules at home and clamps down on bump stocks and high-capacity magazines.

Once more, House Democrats will do something meaningful to address this carnage while House Republicans do nothing. Yes, a few Senate Republicans may do the absolute minimum, but when an 11-year-old tells Congress that she smeared her murdered friend's blood on her own body to play dead and stay alive in Uvalde, I will take baby steps over no steps.

From Uvalde and Buffalo to Parkland, Orlando, and Las Vegas, America is bleeding. While this legislation will not end gun violence, it is a tourniquet.

The deaths, suicides, and astronomical health costs are too high, and we cannot continue to forsake our national freedoms to learn, work, and worship without fear.

Let's pass this legislation and reclaim America's values and confront this Nation's leading killer of children: gun violence.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 2½ minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CLINE).

Mr. CLINE. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Ohio for yielding.

I rise in opposition to the so-called Protecting Our Kids Act. What we saw 2 weeks ago in Uvalde, Texas, was tragic and horrific, and we should take action to prevent future tragedies such as this one, but this bill is not the solution for several reasons.

First, the bill restricts the Second Amendment rights of law-abiding adults. With very limited exceptions, the bill would prohibit 18- to 20-year-olds from buying nearly all semiautomatic rifles and shotguns.

During the markup of this bill, the Judiciary Committee chairman argued that this is applicable because these young adults don't have fully formed brains. Yet, we entrust these same young adults to serve our country in the military and die for our country. We trust these young adults to vote in our elections.

What is even more interesting is while Democrats believe that these young adults don't have fully formed brains, they certainly are more than willing to try to reduce the age at which a person can vote to 16.

Second, the bill makes it more difficult for a domestic violence victim to keep a firearm for protection. As drafted, the bill would criminalize a domestic violence victim's attempt to seek help from a friend or neighbor in obtaining a firearm.

The safe storage requirements of the bill make it harder for people to access a firearm in an emergency by mandating a one-size-fits-all approach for firearm storage.

Finally, the bill's limits on magazine capacity will essentially ban many common firearms, some of which may accommodate between 15 and 30 rounds of ammunition.

Overall, this bill is an attempt to restrict the constitutional rights of lawabiding citizens while ignoring the broader problems of why these tragedies are happening.

Let's talk about school resource officers in our schools. Let's talk about fortifying school buildings. Let's talk about ending the dangerous mirage of gun-free zones. And yes, let's talk about mental health.

We have to look for commonsense solutions that make it easier for Americans to protect themselves and their

loved ones. I oppose this bill, and I urge my colleagues to vote "no."

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from California (Ms. Chu)

Ms. CHU. Madam Speaker, we cannot let America be like this.

Children shouldn't be texting their family good-bye as they lay barricaded behind desks. Parents shouldn't dread having to drop a child off at school, wondering if this is a death sentence. And a grandmother shouldn't have to fear being murdered as she goes into a grocery store to get dinner.

The U.S. is the only country in the world with more civilian-owned firearms than people, and it is costing us our loved ones' lives.

Thoughts and prayers are not enough. They never were. We have to take action. This is what we were sent to Congress to do, to act.

The bill before us today, H.R. 7910, the Protecting Our Kids Act, will save lives. We can no longer act like we are powerless to stop mass murders in our communities when it is clear there is so much we can do.

Pass this bill. Enough is enough.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Georgia (Mrs. GREENE).

Mrs. GREENE of Georgia. In 1990, our schools became gun-free school zones, and on September 6, 1990, when I was 16 years old, my high school went on lockdown because one of the students at my school brought three guns to school in a duffel bag on the school bus, brought the guns into our school, and proceeded to take control of our high school. And he was the only person in the school who had guns. That is why that happened.

There was no one to protect us who had a gun that day. The only person with guns was the very mentally ill, upset teenager who had brought guns to school that day to kill other students. I know that fear. As a matter of fact, I think I am the only Member of Congress that that has actually happened to.

I am also a mom. I have dropped off my kids at school for years, wondering would this happen at my children's school. Would they face a terrible fate like the poor children in Uvalde? This should never happen to our children, and I can't help but point out to all of us here, while we are debating how to protect our kids in school and we are debating our Second Amendment gun rights, I want to point out that we are all so privileged to be in this building being protected by armed guards with guns who are protecting our lives.

It is shocking to me that this body of Congress won't do the same thing for children in schools all over America because we know one thing works. We know that a gun is a tool, and it is a weapon that can be used to defend yourself or defend others. We are all lucky enough to have that privilege, but our schoolchildren aren't.

If we really want to be serious about protecting our kids here in America, we will repeal the Gun-Free School Zones Act, and we will put into action real legislation that protects children in schools everywhere, all over America, with good guys with guns, the same way we are being protected.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Florida (Mr. DEUTCH), a member of the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. DEUTCH. Madam Speaker, I am disappointed in the way that our colleagues are so cavalierly avoiding the facts.

These commonsense measures, had we passed them before, could have saved over 400 people killed in mass shootings since Columbine.

I am disappointed in the cavalier mischaracterization of jurisprudence. Justice Scalia said that the rights secured by the Second Amendment are not unlimited. My colleagues know that the changes in this law that we are proposing today will not undermine the Second Amendment in any way.

Madam Speaker, I have two documents that inform everything I do here. One is the Constitution of the United States, and the other is this list that I wrote on February 14, 2018, of every one of the 17 members of my community slaughtered by a killer with an AR-15 in his high school.

We have heard a lot about foundational rights, foundational liberties, as if the Second Amendment is the sum total of the Constitution. Madam Speaker, the First Amendment matters as well, and for these 17, they have no right to practice religion and to pray for themselves or all of those who are killed every time we offer thoughts and prayers. And for these 17 and everyone killed by gun violence, they cannot peaceably assemble as the First Amendment gives the right to all Americans. And, Madam Speaker, most of all, they cannot petition the government for redress of their grievances, not these 17, not the 19 from Uvalde, no one killed by gun violence.

Today, we can help redress the grievances of all those lost to gun violence by passing this important legislation. We must, and we will.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. RUTHERFORD).

Mr. RUTHERFORD. Madam Speaker, I rise today in opposition to H.R. 7910 because this bill does nothing to stop human violence, which is the real problem at hand here.

Straw purchases are already illegal. You can't buy a gun for someone else to get around the background check. Bump stocks are already regulated like automatic weapons, thanks to the Trump administration.

Infringing on the Second Amendment rights of law-abiding Americans is not the answer. In fact, the bill before us will even make criminals out of legal gun owners.

This bill would put the Federal Government in charge of law-abiding citizens and how they store their firearms

inside their own homes. This bill puts firearms storage over and above selfdefense.

Instead, let's work together to address human violence problems by bolstering our mental health system, identifying individuals before they become active shooters and mass murderers, and improving our school safety through crime prevention through environmental design, CPTED. It can be done.

□ 1645

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from California (Mr. GARAMENDI).

Mr. GARAMENDI. Madam Speaker, I have heard over and over again we ought to harden our schools. We ought to let every teacher have a gun.

One question that needs to be asked as that argument goes forward: Why? Why do we need to do that?

We need to do that because an 18-year-old was able to buy two AR-15s, go to the school in Uvalde, and shoot up all of the students and teachers—19. That is presumably why we have to harden our schools.

Maybe it is time for us to come to the reality that it is time for us to pass commonsense gun safety legislation. This particular piece of legislation does just that. It doesn't take away the Second Amendment rights.

What it does is to provide every American with the right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, and not having to worry about whether their school has been hardened sufficiently to prevent an 18-year-old with two military-style weapons to enter that school. It is time for us to act. Pass this legislation.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Madam Speaker, it is time to act.

On January 19, 1989, my wife Patti and I entered the ICU at San Joaquin General Hospital. We were there to see a five-year-old boy and his parents, who recently fled from wartorn Laos. The boy was fighting for his life. A day earlier, a gunman, armed with an AK 47c, walked onto the playground at Cleveland Park Elementary School in Stockton California and started shooting, killing five children and injuring thirty-two. "We came here to escape war," the boy's parents pleaded. "How could this happen in America?"

I represented Stockton in the California Senate in 1989 during the Cleveland Park Elementary shooting. After hearing from first responders and victims, I introduced legislation that would become California's assault weapons ban—the first of its kind in the nation. Senator Dianne Feinstein bravely took up the case in Washington, and in 1994 Congress passed and President Clinton signed the federal assault weapons ban into law. Unfortunately, the federal ban expired in 2004 when the Republican-led Congress refused to extend the ban.

Tragically, mass shootings have been on the rise ever since Congress let the assault weapons ban expire. Last month in Texas, days after his 18th birthday, a man purchased two AR-15-style assault rifles and 375 rounds of 5.56-caliber ammunition. Days later, on May 24, 2022, he entered Robb Elementary School in Uvalde, Texas, and murdered 19 fourth-grade children and two teachers. America was left heartbroken and appalled by the horrific mass shooting and is asking how a youth who could not buy a beer was able to buy and possess more weapons of war than a trained Marine would carry into a deadly conflict.

Unfortunately, the horror witnessed in Uvalde is not an isolated incident in today's America. There have been over 20 mass shootings in America since the tragedy at Robb Elementary in Uvalde, Texas. Mothers. fathers, children, and grandparents have all had their lives cut short and left behind friends and family to mourn their loss. America is experiencing a gun violence epidemic, and we are foolish to think anything will change without immediate action locally and nationally. It is estimated that over 20 million AR-15-style assault rifles are in the homes and streets of America. There have already been 233 mass shootings in America since January 2022. We have to act to change this.

During the 10 years America had a federal assault weapons ban, gun homicide rates declined 49% nationally. Sadly, mass shootings and gun homicides have become more frequent and deadly since the ban expired. There have been more mass shootings in the last two years than in the 10 years under the federal assault weapons ban.

It's time for Congress to reinstate the federal assault weapons ban. H.R. 1808, the Assault Weapons Ban Act, would do just that and institute a buy-back program to remove many of these deadly weapons from our communities. Congress also must also institute a universal background check system with a waiting period, establish a national Red Flag law, ban ghost guns, limit magazine sizes, allow civil lawsuits against gun manufacturers, and institute a stiff tax on all gun sales and assault weapons ammunition. This tax should be used to compensate gun violence victims and increase investments in gun violence research.

The Democratic House of Representatives, with no support from Republicans, has already voted twice this session to pass gun safety legislation. This month, House Democrats will take further action by voting for legislation to protect our communities from gun-wielding men and women bent on murder and violence. The tragic fact is that the Senate Republicans, like their House colleagues, have refused to vote for even the most minimal gun safety legislation and are using the filibuster to block commonsense reforms that will save lives.

The gun violence epidemic cannot be tolerated. It cannot be normalized. We must not relect lawmakers and candidates who would rather protect the NRA and their gun-obsessed donors than innocent children and teachers. These shooters are cowards going after the most vulnerable.

As I write this, the memory of that family gathered around that hospital bed at San Joaquin General Hospital haunts me as I envision hundreds of families gathered around hospital beds and coffins weeping and asking, "How could this happen in America?" It happens because our courts and too many politicians have chosen to protect gun manufacturers, gun sellers, and gun owners rather than children, teachers, worshipers, and shoppers. In the fall mid-term elections, America must elect candidates who will vote for gun control.

We must be as brave as those children and teachers in that classroom last month. We must stand up to the NRA and its supporters.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from New York (Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY).

Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York. Madam Speaker, I rise in support of the Protecting Our Kids Act.

Today, the victims' families and community members from the mass shootings in Buffalo and Uvalde appeared before the Oversight Committee demanding action. These communities have faced unspeakable tragedy.

It is our duty as lawmakers to listen to them and work to build a world where they will never have to see these horrific events repeated. This legislation will save countless lives from the violence these families have had to endure.

We must support every title in this bill, especially title II, cracking down on interstate gun trafficking. I have spent over a decade fighting for gun trafficking and straw purchases to be made a Federal offense. The illegal interstate movement of firearms into New York, known as the "Iron Pipeline" has caused countless firearms to enter our State unlawfully. Preventing gun trafficking across State lines should be a bipartisan goal.

As a mother and teacher, I am horrified by these attacks on our schools, grocery stores, and our communities. I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentlewoman from Florida (Mrs. CAMMACK).

Mrs. CAMMACK. Madam Speaker, I came here today to speak on behalf of women and the parents of my district—heck, honestly, I came to speak on behalf of all Americans who want their schools, and for people to be safe in their communities.

I came here today to say that we all want these things because our hearts collectively break when any life is lost. We mourn for those lives lost needlessly.

We need to do better, and we can do better, which is why every single Member of this Chamber must, without hesitation, denounce, decline, decide, and oppose against H.R. 7910, the politics over our kids act.

This is common sense. Taking legal firearms out of the hands of law-abiding citizens does nothing but empower criminals.

It is already illegal to commit murder. Has that stopped murder? Has that stopped violence? No.

Madam Speaker, you said in your opening remarks: "Protecting our kids—what could be more important than that?" You said: "We are here for the children." You went on to say: "Everything we do is for the children," and that today's effort to strip our constitutional rights is a "crusade for the children."

You must have forgotten the nearly 60 million children that have been murdered through some of the most horrific means during an abortion. All on your watch.

You invoke JFK, and say: "Our children are our best resource and our best hope for the future."

Is that so?

Why do you deny them their future by killing them in the womb? It sounds a bit hypocritical, if you ask me.

You also made the statement that the leading cause of death for children is firearms. Then why does the data refute that? "NBC News" reported that motor vehicle deaths of kids from age 1 to 17 continues to be the number one cause of death.

Spare me, Madam Speaker, that you are here fighting for the children because your three decades in Congress reflect a record of anything but a fight for children.

Certainly not the kids being trafficked at the border. Not the kids being abused. Not the kids fighting for their life in the womb, or the kids whose future is being stolen by abusive Big Government policies.

If this were about protecting kids, then why does this bill do nothing to secure or harden our schools? Why were there no bipartisan efforts as part of this package? Why do these bills do nothing to address the mental health crisis that we are facing that is driving the violence? It is not the guns; it is the people.

People who are intent on committing acts of evil and violence will do so by any means necessary. That is a fact.

While you have conveniently forgotten so much, I certainly do not want to forget how many victims of domestic violence will be left without options to protect themselves if this garbage bill becomes law

The same party screaming to defund our police is the same party screaming about how you—a law-abiding citizen should not be able to defend yourself.

Finally, I would be remiss if I didn't mention that you have clearly all forgotten your oath. An oath that we took here on this Chamber floor to uphold and defend the United States Constitution, and the Second Amendment is part of that.

Madam Speaker, and to all my colleagues, the Constitution is not a la carte, you can either accept it all or none of it, but you cannot cherry-pick. If you cannot uphold your oath, then you should resign.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. All Members are reminded, once again, to address their remarks to the Chair.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from New Jersey (Ms. Sherrill).

Ms. SHERRILL. Madam Speaker, like so many parents across the Nation, when I sent my four kids off to school this past week, I worried that they wouldn't be coming home, like so many children across the country.

I got a bit hopeful when I heard that my colleagues are speaking for women across the country, and I expected to hear then that they were going to talk about passing universal background checks because we know that 88 percent of the country wants to see universal background checks passed. I am sorry, I must have missed that in their conversation.

When I heard how devastated my colleagues are for the little children that have been dying, like I am, I thought, well, great, maybe we will hear something about passing a law that restricts people 21 and under from purchasing guns, like MITCH MCCONNELL said he is willing to consider. I must have missed that, too.

I have to tell you, we know these gun safety legislation pieces work because in New Jersey, we have passed most of them, and we have made major progress. In fact, while the rest of the country has seen gun rate deaths increase by 33 percent, in New Jersey they fell by 10 percent.

Again, I am hoping, as we speak for mothers and women and children and families across New Jersey, we start to hear about how we are going to pass this great legislation.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. BISHOP).

Mr. BISHOP of North Carolina. Madam Speaker, it is repeated over and over again that the Second Amendment is not unlimited, it has limits. No one contends otherwise.

What are the limits? Wouldn't it be nice to hear that in the debate?

The limits as set forth in Heller and McDonald is that it is improper, and you cannot ban weapons that are "in common use at the time." You cannot require that firearms in the home be "rendered and kept inoperable."

The Ninth and Fourth Circuits have said that, like other constitutional rights, all of them, the Second Amendment protections apply to 18- to 20-year-olds. The dissenting judge in that opinion in the Fourth Circuit acknowledged that there is "persuasive evidence of that."

This bill bans for 18- to 20-year-old adults guns that are in common use. It bans for everyone ammo magazines that are in pervasive use. It requires that weapons be disabled in the home. This one doesn't violate the Constitution, I admit, but it even criminalizes a neighbor who buys a gun for self-protection for a victim of domestic violence.

The Democrats say more is coming. They use the terminology "weapon of war." They intend to ban this class of weapons entirely. You have made it clear in the House Judiciary Committee.

Ms. JACKSON LEE: Madam Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BISHOP of North Carolina. Madam Speaker, I will not yield. She has had a lot of time.

Madam Speaker, you made it clear that you will proceed regardless of what the Second Amendment says. Mr.

JONES made it clearer than anyone. If the filibuster obstructs us, we will abolish it. If the Supreme Court obiects, we will expand it.

Do something, is the mantra. It is probably worth remembering that that is short for the commonly heard phrase, "do something, even if it is wrong." The last thing we need is something that is wrong. What is needed is an answer. You are not pursuing an answer because, frankly, you are not grappling with the problem.

Could we begin with candor? Are these disasters enough to prompt candor? Could we have some truth? You have been doing gun control since 1968. Has it worked to your satisfaction? The gentlewoman from Pennsylvania said earlier: The country is sick. She has misdiagnosed the problem.

They say it is the guns. Madam Speaker, guns have been prevalent in the United States of America since before our founding. We did not suffer the mass shootings. We did not suffer the chaos in the cities like she described. Why do we have this now?

Chesterton, a British gentleman, came closest, he said: "The disintegration of rational society started in the drift from the hearth and the family; the solution must be a drift back." Everybody knows it is true.

If there is a sickness in the country, it is the product of 60 years of disintegration of American culture by the liberal project: Assaults on the family; hostility to God; cheapening life; pervasive expansion of the welfare state; ridicule for individual and parental responsibility; Soros DAs ending punishment for crime; delegitimizing, defunding, and abolishing police.

Behold your handiwork. Stripping Americans of constitutional rights won't cure what ails us. Not the Second Amendment, not the First Amendment to which you are hostile, not the Fourth Amendment, not the Fifth Amendment. Join us to solve the problems you have caused. We can find answers together.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will, once again, admonish Members to direct their remarks to the Chair.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from New York (Mr. ESPAILLAT).

Mr. ESPAILLAT. Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of the Protecting Our Kids Act. This overdue legislation will tighten gun regulations.

Unfortunately, in the world's wealthiest country, we have the 32nd highest rate of death from gun violence across the planet. This is a horrific statistic that is impacting all of our districts—blue districts and red districts.

In my district, gun violence has taken far too many lives, including the young life and brutal murder of Krystal Bayron-Nieves, working the midnight shift in an East Harlem Burger King. The brutal murder of two police officers in Harlem's 32nd Precinct.

An 11-month-old gunshot victim in the Bronx. Yes, Madam Speaker, only 11 months old.

Madam Speaker, I am here to tell my colleagues on the other side of the aisle that this epidemic is serious. In fact, it is a public health crisis. For this reason, Congresswoman ESCOBAR and I urge our colleagues to join us in a resolution declaring gun violence a national public health crisis.

This is just the beginning, Madam Speaker. We will continue to pass more gun regulations.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

□ 1700

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, may I inquire how much time each side has remaining?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from New York has 13¼ minutes remaining. The gentleman from Ohio has 10 minutes remaining.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from Massachusetts (Ms. CLARK).

Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts. Madam Speaker, today in our country 321 people will be shot, and 111 of them will die from those gunshots. Twenty-two of the people who are shot every day in this country are kids, just like the 19 fourth graders sitting in their classroom while they were massacred in Uvalde.

So I ask my colleagues: How many more?

How many more innocent people need to die?

How many more elders will be murdered at a grocery store or in their houses of worship?

How many more children, slaughtered in their classroom, will be enough to do something to save lives?

We are considering the most basic measures to keep people safe from gun violence and to keep families from having to I.D. their children by DNA after their bodies were destroyed by a weapon of war.

Raising the age to 21 to buy assault rifles, safe gun storage, and cracking down on gun trafficking are obvious solutions. Vote "yes" to protect families. Vote "yes" to save our children.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLU-MENAUER).

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Madam Speaker, it has been heart-wrenching hearing the stories on the floor today.

America is not unique with gun violence. What is unique is that America has accepted the slaughter. Unlike Britain, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, and Norway, after horrific events they acted decisively to reduce gun violence, and it worked.

We have stood by while the carnage continues to our shame. America should not be the only rich country that cannot protect our children. After events in Oregon, I met with victims of gun violence, and they developed a package that looks a lot like what we are voting on today.

It is no longer acceptable for gun violence enablers to hide behind thoughts and prayers. If other countries can protect their families, then so can we.

Madam Speaker, pass this package. Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Nevada (Mr. HORSFORD).

Mr. HORSFORD. Madam Speaker, later this month, 30 years to the day, my father was shot and killed by senseless gun violence.

And so I rise today with a heavy heart for those who have been murdered by gun violence and the survivors who now must live with that trauma every single day.

I have a heavy heart for the 58 victims and survivors in my hometown of Las Vegas who experienced the worst mass shooting in U.S. history.

I have a heavy heart for the parents and families in Uvalde, Texas, whose children were murdered while at school.

I have a heavy heart for the Black Americans who were murdered at a grocery store in Buffalo, New York.

I have a heavy heart for the doctors and nurses who were killed at a hospital in Tulsa.

I have a heavy heart. But I also have the courage to protect our children, and I will continue to work to break the cycle of violence.

The question is: Do my colleagues have the courage to protect our kids?

Vote "yes" on this legislation.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 3½ minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. STEUBE).

Mr. STEUBE. Madam Speaker, guns are not the cause of evil, just like the vehicle used by the domestic terrorist in Waukesha, Wisconsin, to mow down innocent people in a parade killing six was not the cause of that evil. The person driving it was.

Why aren't the pictures of the victims of that heinous act being shown by the Democrats on the floor today, like those victims in Uvalde?

Where was the left's cry to ban vehicles that killed those innocent lives in Waukesha?

There are no cries to ban cars because that is not on the left's agenda. Banning guns is. And Democrats use these heinous crimes to further the long sought-after political objective of disarming America, and many Democrats in this Chamber have called for outright bans on certain firearms.

There is a moral decay in our country that has been created by the left. They have torn down traditional institutions, taken God out of our classrooms, and systematically destroyed the notion of traditional families and values.

Just look at these numbers in a recent article from The Christian Post:

Seventy-five percent of most cited school shooters in America are fatherless; 60 percent of America's rapists grew up without fathers; 63 percent of teenagers who commit suicide don't have a father in their life; 72 percent of adolescent murderers are fatherless. The same was true for the murderer at Robb Elementary. And I could go on.

Why isn't the majority talking about that?

Why isn't the majority talking about solutions to have role models in children's lives?

Because that doesn't accomplish a policy objective for them.

This bill, nor any bill before us this week, will stop mass shootings. This bill won't even decrease gun violence, and the facts and evidence from the jurisdictions with similar policies make that clear. Just look at any holiday weekend in Chicago and see how many people have been shot with many of these policies already in place.

This bill is simply a step further to restrict the ability of law-abiding citizens to own firearms and defend themselves while doing nothing to address the cultural rot that leads to these acts.

This bill seeks to take the Second Amendment rights away from 18- to 20-year-olds by taking away their ability to purchase a firearm.

Comparing Census data on the total 18- to 20-year-old population with Department of Justice data on violent crimes committed by that age group—and this includes violent crimes not involving guns—only 0.3 percent of 18- to 20-year-olds commit violent crimes in a year.

Madam Speaker, when you look at murder, including murder without guns, only 0.013 percent of 18- to 20-year-olds commit murder in a year. So today Democrats are going to take away the lawful right for 99.7 percent of adult Americans between the ages of 18 and 20 for the acts of a few wicked people.

Now, think about that, Madam Speaker. So the daughter off to college and living by herself can't buy a shotgun to keep in her home to protect herself against a rapist all because of 0.3 percent of that age group has committed a violent crime.

The magazine limits in the bill are even more egregious because it affects all Americans and all firearms, not just rifles, and will effectively serve as a ban on most commonly used handguns. As I demonstrated in the Judiciary Committee last week, numerous firearms that millions of Americans use every single day to protect themselves and their families could be rendered useless by a 15-round magazine limit. And those 15-round magazine limits have absolutely no effect on solving mass shootings.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to stand for freedom, to stand for the Constitution, and to stand for the right of law-abiding citizens to defend themselves.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from California (Ms. Lee).

Ms. LEE of California. Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 7910, the Protecting Our Kids Act. I thank Chairman NADLER for bringing these bills to the floor.

Gun violence is responsible for more than 32,000 deaths each year. This is a heartbreaking moment for so many of us. This is a public health crisis in our country. It is an epidemic.

The victims of Buffalo, Uvalde, Tulsa, and other mass shootings deserve more than our thoughts and prayers.

Last week, I met with young, gun violence survivors in my district. They spoke powerfully about the cycle of violence and the easy access to guns, especially ghost guns. They talked about the trauma they endured by themselves, their families, and their communities. This is nothing new. This has been going on for so many years, and they are asking us to take action to save lives.

This bill responds to their call by raising the age for purchase of certain firearms.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentlewoman has expired.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield the gentlewoman an additional 15 seconds.

Ms. LEE of California. All I am saying is, all of our children who have died through gun violence had a right to live, and they had a right to life.

So I urge all of my colleagues to vote "yes" and to take action so that we can save countless lives. Let's protect our kids. I thank the chairman again for yielding.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Texas (Mr. ALLRED).

Mr. ALLRED. Madam Speaker, after the mass shooting in El Paso in 2019, I received a letter from then 6-year-old Cal from Rowlett, Texas.

He wrote:

When kids get shot, that is less friends to play with. When I grow up I don't want to hear any more on the news about people being killed with guns.

He was 6, and he had to write that to his Congressman. Today, just 3 years later, he is the same age as some of the kids murdered in Uvalde.

I refuse to accept that there is nothing that we can do, and I refuse to say there is nothing we can do to protect kids like Cal or my sons in their schools.

We have to act by passing commonsense measures, like raising the age to 21 to buy an assault-style weapon, banning high-capacity magazines, and increasing safe storage. That will save lives. This legislation, the Protecting Our Kids Act, will save lives.

Madam Speaker, I urge my colleagues to vote "yes" to help us save lives and for the Senate to finally act and to join us in trying to end this crisis.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from New York (Ms. VELÁZQUEZ),

Ms. VELAZQUEZ. Madam Speaker, we are here today because for the victims of Uvalde, it is too late; for the victims of Parkland, it is too late; for the victims of Sandy Hook, it is too late; and for the victims of Columbine, it is too late.

Constituents from my district are still reeling from recent gun violence incidents. We all saw the images of people running desperately away from the shooter at the subway station in Sunset Park. I am tired of watching again and again my constituents suffer from horrific acts of gun violence.

This legislation is a necessary step to prevent gun violence from happening in our schools, places of worship, grocery stores, malls, and public transportation. Moreover, it imposes stronger regulations on those who can buy these weapons of war.

Congress cannot be too late anymore. Enough is enough.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from Washington (Ms. Delbene).

Ms. DelBene. Madam Speaker, I rise today in support of the Protecting Our Kids Act. I am a parent of two great kids. When they were young, my husband or I would drop them off at school, and we were confident that they were in a safe space. But for so many parents, that is no longer the case.

I recently hosted a roundtable with some of the over 1,000 constituents who have written or called into my office following the tragedies in Uvalde and Buffalo and the over 240 mass shootings in 2022.

It is heartbreaking to hear how parents have to explain to their kids what to do if there is an active shooter, as if it is inevitable.

We know what we can do to help prevent future tragedies like Uvalde. We can pass commonsense gun safety policies like this legislation. Enough is enough. We have to end the senseless deaths of our children and our neighbors. Vote "yes."

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. MASSIE).

Mr. MASSIE. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Ohio for yielding.

The Democrats today say they don't want to take your guns away. Well, then why does the legislation do just that?

What they should say today is: We don't want to take all of the guns away from all of the people just yet because we know we can't get away with that.

The reality is the anti-Second Amendment lobby has vastly outspent all of the pro-Second Amendment groups put together in the last several elections.

Who is in the pocket of whom?

So the red flag laws take all of the guns from some of the people, and the bill that will pass tonight, if the Democrats are fortunate enough to do so, will take some of the guns from all of the people.

Now, why are the gun owners who don't own so-called high-capacity magazines, for instance, concerned?

Why are the gun owners who don't own, for instance, AR-15-style weapons concerned about this legislation?

Because they know the legislation won't work, and the response to this unserious and unconstitutional legislation that will allow the school shootings to continue will be more gun control from the Democrats.

Let me give you an example, Madam Speaker. They have a high-capacity magazine ban in here that bans any magazine that can accept over 15 rounds.

Well, guess what?

At Virginia Tech, the shooter carried 17 magazines none of which held more than 15 rounds and most of which held 10 rounds. The shooter at Columbine carried 13 magazines, each of which held 10 rounds. This legislation would have done absolutely nothing.

It is not about how many rounds a magazine holds. It is about the evil intent of the shooter and is there somebody there with the capacity to stop that shooter before they can get going.

□ 1715

Why is this legislation dead on arrival in the Senate?

Why is it dead on arrival in the Supreme Court?

One of my colleagues has already acknowledged they know this is unconstitutional, and they will pack the Court if they have to. They said it in the Judiciary Committee, to keep this legislation alive after it should long since die.

But why is it dead? Because Americans don't support it.

You have quoted statistics. Those statistics are fake. If they were true, this would breeze through the Senate. But they are not.

And so I urge my colleagues to respect the Constitution; respect the will of the American people; to respect the safety of American citizens and, most of all, the safety of children. Let's do something to protect them instead of these fake virtue signals that will do nothing but to curtail the Second Amendment rights of Americans.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentleman from Illinois (Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS).

Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois. Madam Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 7910, Protecting Our Kids Act. You know, I am so tired of hearing about Chicago and other big cities. Local governments do what they can, do what they will. But this is not a local issue. This is a national issue. It is a national problem and it requires a national solution.

And so I urge all of my colleagues, no matter where you are from, make sure that you vote to protect our kids. And the only way to do that is get rid of these assault weapons and seriously reduce the number of guns in our society.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from Michigan (Mrs. DINGELL).

Mrs. DINGELL. Madam Speaker, as a child, I lived with a man, my father, who should not have had access to a gun. When his temper flared, we would hide in the closet, my brothers and sisters, praying we would see the morning. My baby sister never recovered from those fears and ultimately died by suicide, haunted by the memories.

Too many children are living with those fears today, in classrooms, and in their homes. We cannot afford to look away, once again, and do nothing.

Twenty-eight years ago, when the assault weapons ban was debated, my husband, then an NRA board member, and who, by the way, slept with a gun under his pillow until the day he died, made one of the toughest votes of his career. He supported the bill, though he didn't agree with everything in it.

We all have a job to do for our country. I don't want to take the guns away from any responsible gun owner. But the clock is ticking.

Who will be the next mass shooting? Who is the next target?

What do we want our American story to be?

We need to act now.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the distinguished gentlewoman from Nevada (Ms. TITUS).

Ms. TITUS. Madam Speaker, I had intended to stand up here and speak about my provision preventing bump stocks being added to guns but, after sitting here and listening for several hours, my colleagues across the aisle, they have been misrepresenting the Second Amendment. They have been pretending to care about children, and they have been blubbering about their need for big guns to protect themselves.

I have just got to say one thing. America is listening, and it will remember who allowed this plague to continue to kill our loved ones and spread disaster around our country. They are listening, and they will remember who it was.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I continue to reserve the balance of my time

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time. I am prepared to close.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield 1½ minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Mr. GAETZ).

Mr. GAETZ. Madam Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Well, we have heard the plan from Democrats. This is not a modest, trimming around the edges of gun laws. It has been a full-throated assault on the Second Amendment; a desire to deprive people of the weapons that they choose at the age that they achieve majority.

And we have heard countless examples of where the good guy with the gun has been the difference between more or less bloodshed.

But this is particularly an aggrieved time to bring this legislation forward because we heard the Speaker of the House recently say that members of the Republican Party were members of a cult. So you now have House Democrats demeaning, defaming, calling extremists, tens of millions of Americans, while they try to disarm you and then subsequently defund the police.

And so no good guys are coming when the woke mob ends up at your door. That is their plan for America.

And gun control, unrestrained gun control is a key part of it. And just like they said, they will pack the Court; they will blow up the filibuster; they will abuse every mores of this place for the opportunity to take down the Second Amendment. That is what is at issue. That is what we are fighting for.

And Republicans in the United States Senate should not sign up for this charade. They should stand with our fellow Americans for the Constitution and with American gun owners.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. Jackson Lee).

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Madam Speaker, I could not sit idly by to hear the abuse of the Second Amendment that Judge Scalia would not recognize; and that is, that there are no restrictions.

I believe Judge Scalia would look at these dead babies and realize that the Constitution and the Second Amendment that is protected, untouched, would ask you the question, is there no sense of responsibility to be able to provide restrictions to save lives?

How dare you suggest that we cannot provide the kind of laws in Protecting Our Kids Act that provides the incentives and guidelines to save lives?

Ask the mothers and fathers of these babies. The Second Amendment is not absolute. It does allow restrictions; just as President Reagan said: He sees no reason for an AK-47, at that time, to be used for sport or to be used for anything else.

Support our babies and vote for this act. Where is your responsibility and courage?

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Representative MASSIE was right on target when he said red flag laws take all guns from some people and they do so without due process. This bill takes some guns from all people. But Democrats say, don't worry. We are not out to get the Second Amendment. Really?

Here is what Representative CICILLINE said in committee last week: Spare me the B.S. about constitutional rights.

Here is what Representative Jones said last week in committee: If the filibuster obstructs us, we will abolish it. If the Supreme Court objects, we will expand. We will not rest until we have taken weapons out of circulation in our communities. Each and every day, we will do whatever it takes; whatever it takes.

They are out to get the Second Amendment.

The right of the American people, the right of we, the people, to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed. That is what bothers them. They don't trust we, the people. They are smarter than us. They are better than us. They don't trust law-abiding American citizens. They do not trust them.

And that is what is so wrong with the direction we are going with this legislation and the legislation they are going to bring to the floor tomorrow.

We have seen they don't trust Americans to exercise their First Amendment liberties. Now they are going after their Second Amendment liberties. And that red flag law they are going to bring up tomorrow goes after the Fifth Amendment due process rights that we enjoy as American citizens. That is why we should oppose this legislation and the legislation tomorrow.

And I hope, as my friend from Florida said, I hope the United States Senate doesn't go down this red flag trail that they are now on and further take away liberties from law-abiding American people.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. NADLER. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Too many cities in this country have become a shorthand for mass shooting: Newtown, San Bernardino, Charleston, Las Vegas, Parkland, Santa Fe, El Paso, Buffalo, Uvalde. The list goes on.

How many more communities must be visited by tragedy before we take action?

How many more parents need to bury their own children because an 18-year old with an AR-15 assault rifle stormed into their school?

How many more children must grow up without a parent because a high-capacity magazine allowed a shooter to spray dozens of bullets through a supermarket?

Let today be the day that we begin to end this cycle of gun violence and we take meaningful action to protect our communities and, most of all, to protect our kids.

You know, the Republicans tell us that we want to defund the police. We don't want to defund the police. President Biden just said the other day we want to fund the police.

They want to defund the police. They want to disarm the police. What chance does a policeman, with a regular service revolver, have against someone with an AR-15 assault rifle? None at a11.

They want to make sure that our police have no chance at all to resist the dishonest people who use weapons of war because weapons of war overwhelm whatever any policeman may have. That is the problem here.

The problem here is that they want to defund—or I shouldn't say defund. They want to disarm our police, compared to the crooks and the murderers who have the weapons of war which can outweigh the service revolvers that any police officer will have.

So we want to protect the police offiwant them to not be We outgunned by the murderers. That is where we are. That is what this bill does. That is perhaps why they are afraid of this bill.

But we must pass this bill to save our police officers, to save our communities, to save our children.

Madam Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Ms. ROYBAL-ALLARD. Madam Speaker, we have a gun epidemic which is unique to America.

As a mother and grandmother, like the rest of the nation, I am heartbroken by the horrifying killings of innocent Americans, especially our children.

In 2021, homicides hit a 15 year high in Los Angeles. And this year, according to the Los Angeles Police Department, people are being killed at a faster pace than last year.

According to the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), in 2020, 45,222 people died from gun-related injuries. Of these, 19,384, died violently.

These are not just numbers. They represent individuals whose lives were cut short as a result of gun violence. They were moms, dads, sons, and daughters. They belonged to a family, they were loved, and will forever be missed.

They should be here today.

Mass shootings must not be an acceptable

Changing our culture of gun violence will not happen overnight. We must start the process now. There is no excuse for failing to try.

I urge my Republican colleagues to put partisan politics and special interests aside and join us in support of this commonsense legislation to help keep our children and fellow Americans safe.

Our thoughts and prayers are not enough if we fail to act and continue to ignore the gun violence which forever shatters the hearts of families across our nation.

Martin Luther King Jr., reminded us that "the arc of the moral universe is long, but it bends toward justice."

To my Republican colleagues, you do not need more time. Americans need you to bend towards justice now and help us to prevent another Uvalde, another Buffalo, Tulsa, El Paso, Parkland, Sandy Hook, and Columbine. Enough is enough.

We must pass this legislation and the Senate must get it to the President's desk, without

I urge my colleagues to make our children's lives a priority and support this commonsense

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under House Resolution 1153, the previous question is ordered.

Pursuant to section 3(a) of House Resolution 1153, the Chair will put the question on retaining each title of the bill, as amended.

The Chair will put the question on retaining title I of the bill. The question is: Shall title I be retained?

Pursuant to clause 9 of rule XX, this 15-minute vote on retaining title I of H.R. 7910 will be followed by 5-minute votes on:

Retaining title II: Retaining title III; Retaining title IV; Retaining title V; Retaining title VI; Retaining title VII:

The motion to recommit, if offered: Passage of the bill, if ordered; and

Motions to suspend the rules and

H.R. 7352: H.R. 7334: H.R. 5879; H.R. 7622; H.R. 7664; H.R. 7670: H.R. 7694; H.R. 7776; and

H.R. 7667.

Pursuant to section 3(b) of House Resolution 1153, the year and nays are ordered. Members will record their votes by electronic device, and this will be a 15-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 228, nays 199, not voting 1, as follows:

[Roll No. 237] YEAS-228

Cicilline Frankel, Lois Adams Aguilar Clark (MA) Gallego Allred Clarke (NY) Garamendi Auchincloss Cleaver García (IL) Axne Clyburn Garcia (TX) Cohen Barragán Gomez Connolly Gonzalez (OH) Bass Beatty Cooper Gonzalez, Bera Correa Vicente Gottheimer Bever Costa Bishop (GA) Courtney Green, Al (TX) Craig Blumenauer Grijalya. Blunt Rochester Harder (CA) Crist Bonamici Crow Hayes Higgins (NY) Bourdeaux Cuellar Bowman Davids (KS) Himes Boyle, Brendan Davis, Danny K. Horsford Dean Houlahan Brown (MD) DeFazio Hoyer Brown (OH) DeGette Huffman Brownley DeLauro Jackson Lee Bush DelBene Jacobs (CA) Bustos Demings Jacobs (NY) Butterfield DeSaulnier Javanal Carbajal Deutch Jeffries Cárdenas Dingell Johnson (GA) Carson Doggett Johnson (TX) Carter (LA) Doyle, Michael Jones Kahele Cartwright F. Escobar Case Kaptur Casten Eshoo Katko Keating Castor (FL) Espaillat Kelly (IL) Castro (TX) Evans Fitzpatrick Cherfilus-McCormick Fletcher Kildee Chu Kilmer Foster

Kim (NJ) Kind Kinzinger Kirkpatrick Krishnamoorthi Kuster Lamb Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Leger Fernandez Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Lieu Lofgren Lowenthal Luria Lvnch Malinowski Mallintakis Malonev. Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean Manning Matsui McBath McCollum McEachin McGovern McNerney Meeks Meng Mfume Moore (WI) Morelle Moulton Mrvan Murphy (FL)

Sewell Nadler Napolitano Sherman Sherrill Neguse Sires Slotkin Newman Norcross Smith (NJ) O'Halleran Smith (WA) Ocasio-Cortez Soto Spanberger Omar Pallone Speier Stansbury Panetta Pappas Stanton Pascrel1 Stevens Strickland Pavne Pelosi Suozzi Perlmutter Swalwell Peters Takano Phillips Thompson (CA) Pingree Thompson (MS) Porter Tlaib Tonko Presslev Price (NC) Torres (CA) Quigley Torres (NY) Trahan Raskin Rice (NY) Trone Ross Turner Roybal-Allard Underwood Ruiz Upton Ruppersberger Vargas Veasey Rush Ryan Velázquez Salazar Wasserman Sánchez Schultz Sarbanes Waters Watson Coleman Scanlon Schakowsky Welch Schiff Wexton Schneider Wild Schrier Williams (GA) Scott (VA) Wilson (FL) Scott, David Yarmuth

NAYS-199

Feenstra Aderholt LaHood Allen Ferguson LaMalfa Amodei Fischbach Lamborn Armstrong Fitzgerald Latta Arrington Fleischmann LaTurner Babin Foxx Lesko Franklin, C. Letlow Baird Scott Long Fulcher Balderson Loudermilk Banks Lucas Gallagher Barr Luetkemeyer Bentz Garbarino Mace Bergman Garcia (CA) Mann Bice (OK) Gibbs Massie Biggs Gimenez Mast Bilirakis Gohmert McCarthy Bishop (NC) Golden McCaul Boebert Gonzales, Tony McClainGood (VA) McClintock Bost Gooden (TX) Brady McHenry Brooks Gosar McKinley Buchanan Granger Meijer Graves (LA) Buck Meuser Bucshon Graves (MO) Miller (IL) Budd Green (TN) Miller (WV) Burchett Miller-Meeks Greene (GA) Burgess Moolenaar Calvert Grothman Mooney Moore (AL) Cammack Guest Carey Guthrie Moore (UT) Carl Harris Mullin Carter (GA) Harshbarger Murphy (NC) Carter (TX) Hartzler Cawthorn Hern Newhouse Chabot Herrell Norman Herrera Beutler Cheney Obernolte Cline Hice (GA) Owens Higgins (LA) Palazzo Cloud Clyde Hill Palmer Cole Hinson Pence Perry Comer Hudson Huizenga Crawford Pfluger Crenshaw Issa. Posev Jackson Reschenthaler Curtis Rice (SC) Rodgers (WA) Davidson Johnson (LA) Davis, Rodney Johnson (OH) DesJarlais Johnson (SD) Rogers (AL) Jordan Joyce (OH) Diaz-Balart Rogers (KY) Donalds Rose Duncan Joyce (PA) Rosendale Dunn Keller Rouzer Kelly (MS) Ellzey Roy Kelly (PA) Rutherford Emmei Estes Kim (CA) Scalise

Kustoff

Fallon

Schrader

Smucker

Krishnamoorthi

Price (NC)

Quigley

Raskin

Ross

Ruiz

Rush

Ryan

Salazar

Sánchez

Sarbanes

Scanlon

Schiff

Schneider

Schrader

Scott (VA)

Scott, David

Schrier

Sewell.

Sherman

Sherrill

Slotkin

Smith (WA)

Spanberger

Stansbury

Strickland

Stanton

Stevens

Suozzi

Swalwell

Thompson (CA)

Thompson (MS)

Takano

Titus

Tlaib

Tonko

Trahan

Trone

Vargas

Veasey

Waters

Welch

Wild

Wexton

Torres (CA)

Torres (NY)

Underwood

Velázquez

Wasserman

Schultz

Watson Coleman

Williams (GA)

Wilson (FL)

Yarmuth

Sires

Soto

Speier

Schakowsky

Rice (NY)

Roybal-Allard

Ruppersberger

Kirkpatrick

Kuster

Lamb

Langevin

Larsen (WA)

Larson (CT)

Lawson (FL)

Leger Fernandez

Lawrence

Lee (CA)

Lee (NV)

Levin (CA)

Levin (MI)

Lowenthal

Malinowski

Malliotakis

Maloney, Carolyn B.

Manning

Matsui

McBath

McCollum

McEachin

Maloney, Sean

Lieu

Lofgren

Luria

Lynch

Schweikert Steube Scott, Austin Stewart Sessions Taylor Simpson Tenney Thompson (PA) Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Tiffany Smucker Timmons Valadao Spartz Stauber Van Drew Van Duvne Stee1 Stefanik Wagner Steil Walberg

Walorski Waltz Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Williams (TX) Wilson (SC) Wittman Womack Zeldin

NOT VOTING-1 Hollingsworth

□ 1805

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri and Mrs. McClain changed their vote from "yea" to "nay."

Mses. LEE of California and ROY-BAL-ALLARD changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the question was decided in the affirmative, and title I of the bill was retained.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. DEGETTE). The Chair will now put the question on retaining title II of the bill.

The question is, Shall title II be retained?

Pursuant to section 3(b) of House Resolution 1153, the year and nays are ordered.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 226, nays 197, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 238]

YEAS-226							
Adams Aguilar Allred Auchincloss Axne Barragán Bass Beatty Bera Beyer Bishop (GA) Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Bonamici Bourdeaux Bowman	Boyle, Brendan F. Brown (MD) Brown (OH) Brownley Bush Bustos Butterfield Carbajal Cárdenas Carson Carter (LA) Cartwright Case Casten Castor (FL) Castro (TX)	Cherfilus- McCormick Chu Cicilline Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Cleaver Clyburn Cohen Connolly Cooper Correa Costa Courtney Craig Crist Crow					

Cuellar Davids (KS) Davis, Danny K. Dean DeFazio DeGette DeLauro DelBene Demings DeSaulnier Deutch Dingell Doggett Doyle, Michael F. Escobar Eshoo Espaillat Evans Fitzpatrick Fletcher Foster Frankel, Lois Gallego Garamendi García (IL) Garcia (TX) Gomez Gonzalez (OH) Gonzalez. Vicente Gottheimer Grijalva Harder (CA) Haves Higgins (NY) Himes Horsford Houlahan Hover Huffman Jackson Lee Jacobs (CA) Jacobs (NY) Jayapal Jeffries Johnson (TX) Jones Kahele Kaptur Katko Keating

Kind

Aderholt

Amodei

Armstrong

Arrington

Balderson

Allen

Babin

Bacon

Baird

Banks

Barr

Bentz

Biggs

Bost

Brady

Brooks

Buchanan Buck

Bucshon

Burchett

Cammack

Carter (GA)

Carter (TX)

Cawthorn

Chabot

Cheney

Burgess

Calvert

Carey Carl

Budd

Bergman

Bice (OK)

Bilirakis

Bishop (NC) Boebert

McGovern McNerney Meeks Green, Al (TX) Meng Mfume Moore (WI) Morelle Moulton Mrvan Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano Nea1 Neguse Newman Norcross O'Halleran Ocasio-Cortez Johnson (GA) Omar Pallone Panetta. Pappas Pascrell Payne Pelosi Kelly (IL) Perlmutter Khanna Peters Kildee Phillips Kilmer Pingree Kim (NJ) Pocan Porter Kinzinger Pressley

NAYS-197

NA15-131	
Cline	Good (VA)
Cloud	Gooden (TX)
Clyde	Gosar
Cole	Granger
Comer	Graves (LA)
Crawford	Graves (MO)
Crenshaw	Green (TN)
Curtis	Greene (GA)
Davidson	Griffith
Davis, Rodney	Grothman
DesJarlais	Guest
Diaz-Balart	Guthrie
Duncan	Harris
Dunn	Harshbarger
Ellzey	Hartzler
Emmer	Hern
Estes	Herrell
Fallon	Herrera Beutle
Feenstra	Hice (GA)
Ferguson	Higgins (LA)
Fischbach	Hill
Fitzgerald	Hinson
Fleischmann	Hudson
Foxx	Huizenga
Franklin, C.	Issa
Scott	Jackson
Fulcher	Johnson (LA)
Gaetz	Johnson (OH)
Gallagher	Johnson (SD)
Garbarino	Jordan
Garcia (CA)	Joyce (OH)
Gibbs	Joyce (PA)
Gimenez	Keller
Gohmert	Kelly (MS)
Golden	Kelly (PA)
Gonzales, Tony	Kim (CA)

Mullin LaHood LaMalfa Nehls Lamborn Newhouse Latta Norman LaTurner Obernolte Lesko Owens Palazzo Letlow Long Palmer Loudermilk Pence Lucas Perry Luetkemever Pfluger Mann Posev Massie Mast Rice (SC) McCarthy McCaul McClain Rose McClintock Rosendale McHenry Rouzer McKinley Rov Meijer Meuser Miller (II.) Miller (WV) Miller-Meeks Sessions Moolenaar Simpson Mooney Moore (AL) Moore (UT) Donalds Hollingsworth

Murphy (NC) Spartz Stauber Steel Stefanik Steil Steube Stewart Taylor Tenney Thompson (PA) Tiffany Timmons Reschenthaler Turner Upton Rogers (AL) Valadao Rogers (KY) Van Drew Van Duvne Wagner Walberg Walorski Rutherford Waltz Scalise Schweikert Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Scott, Austin Wenstrup Westerman Williams (TX) Smith (MO) Wilson (SC) Smith (NE) Wittman Smith (NJ) Womack Zeldin

NOT VOTING-5

Mace Rodgers (WA)

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1819

So the question was decided in the affirmative, and title II of the bill was retained.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer) Johnson (SD) Ryan (Beyer) Bass (Blunt (LaHood) Sánchez (Garcia Rochester) Johnson (TX) (TX)) Sewell (Beatty) (Jeffries) Boebert (Gaetz) Kirkpatrick Sherman (Beyer) Brooks Sires (Pallone) (Fleischmann) (Pallone) Lamb (Blunt Spartz (Banks) Brown (OH) Rochester) Strickland (Beatty) Leger Fernandez (Takano) Bucshon (Gibbs) Suozzi (Beyer) (Neguse) Cárdenas Loudermilk (Fleischmann) Swalwell (Correa) (Correa) Crist Taylor (Fallon) Lowenthal (Wasserman (Beyer) Thompson (PA) Schultz) McEachin (Keller) DeFazio Torres (NY) (Beyer) (Stanton) Moore (WI) (Blunt Evans (Bever) Rochester) (Beyer) Frankel, Lois Vargas (Takano) Moulton (Wasserman (Neguse) Walorski (Banks) Payne (Pallone) Schultz) Waters (Garcia Gomez (Garcia Price (NC) (TX)) Welch (Pallone) (TX)) (Manning) Guest Ruiz (Correa) Wilson (FL) (Fleischmann) Rush (Jeffries) (Neguse)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will now put the question on retaining title III of the bill.

The question is, Shall title III be retained?

Pursuant to section 3(b) of House Resolution 1153, the year and nays are ordered.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 226, nays 194, not voting 8, as follows:

> [Roll No. 239] YEAS-226

Auchincloss Adams Aguilar Allred Axne Beatty Barragán Bera

O'Halleran

Omar

Pallone

Panetta

Pappas

Pascrell

Perlmutter

Payne

Pelosi

Peters

Phillips

Pingree

Pocan

Porter

Pressley

Quigley

Rice (NY)

Roybal-Allard

Ruppersberger

Raskin

Ross

Ruiz

Rush

Ryan

Sánchez

Sarbanes

Scanlon

Schiff

Schrier

Sewell

Sherman

Sherrill

Slotkin

Smith (WA)

Spanberger

Speier Stansbury

Stanton

Stevens

Strickland

Sires

Soto

Schakowsky

Schneider

Scott (VA)

Scott, David

Price (NC)

Ocasio-Cortez

LaTurner

Loudermilk

Luetkemever

Lesko

Letlow

Long

Lucas

Mann

Massie

McCarthy

McCaul

McClain

McHenry

McKinley

Miller (IL)

Moolenaar

Moore (AL)

Moore (UT)

Murphy (NC)

Miller (WV)

Meijer

Menser

Miller-

Meeks

Mooney

Mullin

Nehls

Newhouse

Obernolte

Norman

Owens

Palazzo

Palmer

Pence

Perry

Posev

Pfluger

Rice (SC)

McClintock

Mast

Grijalya Beyer Bishop (GA) Harder (CA) Blumenauer Higgins (NY) Blunt Rochester Bonamici Himes Bourdeaux Horsford Rowman Houlahan Boyle, Brendan Hoyer Huffman Brown (MD) Jackson Lee Brown (OH) Jacobs (CA) Brownley Jacobs (NY) Bush Javanal Bustos Butterfield Johnson (GA) Carbaial Johnson (TX) Cárdenas Jones Carson Kahele Carter (LA) Kaptur Cartwright Case Kelly (IL) Casten Khanna Castor (FL) Kildee Castro (TX) Kilmer Kim (NJ) Cherfilus-McCormick Chu Kinzinger Cicilline Kirkpatrick Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Kuster Cleaver Lamb Clyburn Langevin Larsen (WA) Cohen Connolly Larson (CT) Lawrence Lawson (FL) Cooper Correa Lee (CA) Costa Courtney Lee (NV) Craig Levin (CA) Crist Crow Levin (MI) Cuellar Lieu Davids (KS) Lofgren Davis Lowenthal Danny K. Luria Dean Lynch DeFazio Malinowski Malliotakis DeGette DeLauro Maloney, Del Bene Maloney, Sean Demings Manning DeSaulnier Deutch Matsui McBath Dingell McCollum Doggett Doyle, Michael McEachin McGovern Escobar McNerney Eshoo Meeks Espaillat Meng Mfume Evans Moore (WI) Fitzpatrick Fletcher Morelle Foster Moulton Frankel, Lois Mrvan Murphy (FL) Gallego Garamendi Nadler Napolitano García (IL) Garcia (TX) Neal Gomez Neguse Gonzalez (OH) Newman Gonzalez, Norcross Vicente O'Halleran Gottheimer Ocasio-Cortez Green, Al (TX)

Perlmutter Peters Phillips Pingree Pocan Porter Pressley Price (NC) Quiglev Raskin Rice (NY) Ross Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush Ryan Sánchez Sarbanes Scanlon Schakowsky Schiff Krishnamoorthi Schneider Schrader Schrier Scott (VA) Scott, David Sewell Sherman Sherrill Sires Slotkin Leger Fernandez Smith (NJ) Smith (WA) Soto Spanberger Speier Stansbury Stanton Stevens Strickland Suozzi Swalwell Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tlaib Tonko Torres (CA) Torres (NY) Trahan Trone Underwood Upton Vargas Veasey Velázquez Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Coleman Welch Wexton Wild Williams (GA) Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

Pallone

Panetta

Pappas

Pavne

Pelosi

Pascrell

Gimenez

Gohmert

Good (VA)

Gooden (TX)

Graves (MO)

Greene (GA)

Harshbarger

Herrera Beutler

Green (TN)

Gonzales, Tony

Golden

Gosar

Granger

Griffith

Guthrie

Hartzler

Herrell

Hice (GA)

Higgins (LA)

Hern

Hill

Issa

Hinson

Hudson

Huizenga

Jackson

Jordan

Keller

Johnson (LA)

Johnson (OH)

Johnson (SD)

Joyce (OH)

Joyce (PA)

Kelly (MS)

Kelly (PA)

Kim (CA)

Kustoff

LaHood

LaMalfa

Lamborn

Latta

ing.

Harris

Grothman

NAYS-194

Carolyn B

Buck Aderholt Allen Bucshon Amodei Budd Armstrong Burchett Arrington Burgess Babin Calvert Bacon Carev Carter (GA) Baird Balderson Carter (TX) Banks Cawthorn Barr Chabot Bentz Cheney Bergman Cline Bice (OK) Cloud Biggs Bilirakis Clyde Cole Bishop (NC) Comer Boebert Crawford Bost Crenshaw Brady Curtis Brooks Davidson Davis, Rodney Buchanan

DesJarlais Diaz-Balart Duncan Dunn Ellzey Emmer Estes Fallon Feenstra Ferguson Fischbach Fitzgerald Fleischmann Foxx Franklin, C. Scott Fulcher Gaetz Gallagher Garbarino Garcia (CA) Gibbs

Crist

rollcall No. 239. RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS Barragán (Bever) Guest Bass (Blunt (Fleischmann) Rochester) Johnson (SD) Boebert (Gaetz) (LaHood) Brooks Johnson (TX) (Fleischmann) (Jeffries) Brown (OH) Kirkpatrick (Beatty) (Pallone) Bucshon (Gibbs) Krishnamoorthi Cárdenas (García (IL)) (Correa) Lamb (Blunt Rochester) Leger Fernandez (Wasserman Schultz) (Neguse) DeFazio (Stanton) Loudermilk (Fleischmann) Evans (Beyer) Lowenthal Frankel, Lois (Beyer) McEachin (Wasserman Schultz) (Beyer) Gomez (Garcia Moore (WI) (Beyer) (TX))

Moulton (Neguse) Payne (Pallone) Price (NC) (Manning) Ruiz (Correa) Rush (Jeffries) Ryan (Beyer) Sánchez (Garcia (TX)) Sewell (Beatty) Sherman (Beyer) Sires (Pallone) Spartz (Banks) Strickland (Takano) Suozzi (Beyer) Swalwell (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) Thompson (PA)

(Keller)

DelBene

Demings

Deutch

Dingell

Doggett

Escobar

Espaillat

Fletcher

Gallego

Garamendi

García (IL)

Evans Fitzpatrick

Foster Frankel, Lois

Nadler

Neal Neguse

Newman

Norcross

Napolitano

Eshoo

DeSaulnier

Doyle, Michael

Rose Rosendale Rouzer Roy Rutherford Salazar Scalise Schweikert Scott, Austin Sessions Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smucker Spartz Stauber Steel Stefanik Steil Steube Stewart Tavlor Tenney Thompson (PA) Tiffany Timmons Turner Valadao Van Drew Van Duvne Wagner Walorski Waltz Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup

Westerman

Wilson (SC)

Wittman

Womack

Zeldin

Williams (TX)

NOT VOTING-

Reschenthaler

Rodgers (WA)

Rogers (AL)

Rogers (KY)

Cammack Graves (LA) Mace Carl Hollingsworth Walberg Donalds Keating

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remain-

□ 1826

So the question was decided in the affirmative, and title III of the bill was retained.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

Stated against:

Mrs. CAMMACK. Madam Speaker, I was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay" on rollcall No. 239.

Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana. Madam Speaker, was in a meeting during this vote. Had I been present, I would have voted "nay" on

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE

Walorski (Banks) Wilson (FL) Torres (NY) (Blunt Waters (Garcia (Neguse) Rochester) (TX)) Welch (Pallone) Vargas (Takano)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will now put the question on retaining title IV of the bill.

The question is, Shall title IV be retained?

Pursuant to section 3(b) of House Resolution 1153, the year and nays are ordered.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 220, nays 205, not voting 3, as follows:

[Roll No. 240]

YEAS-220 Garcia (TX) Adams Aguilar Gomez Allred Gonzalez, Auchincloss Vicente Axne Gottheimer Barragán Green, Al (TX) Bass Grijalva Beatty Harder (CA) Hayes Higgins (NY) BeraBeyer Bishop (GA) Blumenauer Horsford Blunt Rochester Houlahan Bonamici Hoyer Bourdeaux Huffman Jackson Lee Bowman Boyle, Brendan Jacobs (CA) Jacobs (NY) Brown (MD) Jayapal Brown (OH) Jeffries Brownley Johnson (GA) Johnson (TX) Bush Bustos Jones Kahele Butterfield Carbajal Kaptur Cárdenas Keating Kelly (IL) Carson Carter (LA) Khanna Cartwright Kildee Kilmer Case Casten Kim (NJ) Castor (FI.) Kinzinger Castro (TX) Kirkpatrick Cherfilus-Krishnamoorthi McCormick Kuster Chu Lamb Cicilline Langevin Larsen (WA) Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Larson (CT) Lawrence Lawson (FL) Cleaver Clyburn Cohen Lee (CA) Connolly Lee (NV) Cooper Leger Fernandez Correa Levin (CA) Costa Levin (MI) Lieu Courtney Craig Lofgren Crist Lowenthal Crow Luria Cuellar Lynch Davids (KS) Malinowski Davis, Danny K. Malonev. Carolyn B Dean DeFazio Maloney, Sean DeGette Manning DeLauro Matsui

Suozzi Swalwell Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tlaib Tonko McBath Torres (CA) McCollum Torres (NY) McEachin Trahan McGovern Trone McNerney Underwood Meeks Vargas Meng Veasev Mfume Velázquez Moore (WI) Wasserman Morelle Schultz Moulton Waters Mrvan Murphy (FL)

Watson Coleman Welch Wexton Wild Williams (GA) Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

NAYS-205

Aderholt Gohmert Miller-Meeks Allen Golden Moolenaar Amodei Gonzales, Tony Mooney Armstrong Gonzalez (OH) Moore (AL) Good (VA) Arrington Moore (UT) Gooden (TX) Babin Mullin Murphy (NC) Bacon Gosar Granger Baird Nehls Balderson Graves (LA) Newhouse Banks Graves (MO) Norman Green (TN) Barr Obernolte Bentz Greene (GA) Owens Bergman Griffith Palazzo Bice (OK) Grothman Palmer Guest Biggs Pence Guthrie Bilirakis Perry Bishop (NC) Harris Pfluger Boebert Harshbarger Posey Bost Hartzler Reschenthaler Brady Hern Rice (SC) Rodgers (WA) Herrell Brooks Herrera Beutler Buchanan Rogers (AL) Hice (GA) Buck Rogers (KY) Bucshon Higgins (LA) Rose Budd Hill Rosendale Burchett Hinson Rouzer Burgess Hudson Roy Rutherford Huizenga Calvert Cammack Issa Salazar Jackson Carey Scalise Carl Johnson (LA) Schweikert Carter (GA) Johnson (OH) Scott, Austin Carter (TX) Johnson (SD) Sessions Cawthorn Jordan Simpson Joyce (OH) Chabot Smith (MO) Cheney Joyce (PA) Smith (NE) Cline Katko Smith (N.I) Cloud Keller Smucker Kelly (MS) Clyde Spartz Cole Kelly (PA) Stauber Comer Kim (CA) Steel Crawford Kind Stefanik Kustoff Crenshaw Steil Curtis LaHood Steube Davidson LaMalfa Stewart Davis, Rodney Lamborn Taylor DesJarlais Latta Diaz-Balart Tenney LaTurner Thompson (PA) Donalds Lesko Tiffany Duncan Letlow Timmons Dunn Long Ellzey Loudermilk Turner Upton Emmer Lucas Valadao Luetkemeyer Estes Van Drew Fallon Mace Van Duyne Feenstra Malliotakis Fischbach Wagner Mann Fitzgerald Massie Walberg Walorski Fleischmann Mast McCarthy Waltz Foxx Weber (TX) Franklin, C. McCaul Webster (FL) Scott McClain Wenstrup Fulcher McClintock McHenry Gaetz Westerman Williams (TX) Gallagher McKinley Wilson (SC) Garbarino Meijer Garcia (CA) Meuser Wittman Miller (IL) Womack Gibbs Gimenez Miller (WV) Zeldin

NOT VOTING-3

Hollingsworth Ferguson Schrader

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

\sqcap 1834

So the question was decided in the affirmative, and title IV of the bill was retained.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer)	Bucshon (Gibbs)	Evans (Beyer)
Bass (Blunt	Cárdenas	Frankel, Lois
Rochester)	(Correa)	(Wasserman
Boebert (Gaetz)	Crist	Schultz)
Brooks	(Wasserman	Gomez (Garcia
(Fleischmann)	Schultz)	(TX))
Brown (OH)	DeFazio	Guest
(Beatty)	(Stanton)	(Fleischmann)

McEachin Johnson (SD) (LaHood) (Beyer) Johnson (TX) Moore (WI) (Jeffries) (Bever) Moulton Kirkpatrick (Neguse) (Pallone) Payne (Pallone) Krishnamoorthi Price (NC) (García (IL)) (Manning) Lamb (Blunt Ruiz (Correa) Rochester) Rush (Jeffries) Leger Fernandez Ryan (Beyer) (Neguse) Sánchez (Garcia Loudermilk (TX))(Fleischmann) Sewell (Beatty) Lowenthal Sherman (Beyer) (Bever) Sires (Pallone) Mace (Donalds) Spartz (Banks)

Strickland (Takano) Suozzi (Beyer) Swalwell (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) Thompson (PA) (Keller) Torres (NY) (Blunt Rochester) Vargas (Takano) Walorski (Banks) Waters (Garcia (TX)) Welch (Pallone) Wilson (FL) (Neguse)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will now put the question on retaining title V of the bill.

The question is, Shall title V be re-

Pursuant to section 3(b) of House Resolution 1153, the year and nays are ordered

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 233, nays 194, not voting 1, as follows:

[Roll No. 241]

YEAS-233

Dingell Lee (NV) Adams Aguilar Leger Fernandez Doggett Allred Doyle, Michael Levin (CA) Auchincloss Levin (MI) Escobar Axne Lieu Eshoo Barragán Lofgren Espaillat Bass Lowenthal Beatty Luria Evans Bera Fitzpatrick Lynch Malinowski Bever Fletcher Bishop (GA) Malliotakis Foster Frankel, Lois Maloney, Carolyn B. Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Gallego Garamendi Maloney, Sean Bonamici Bourdeaux García (IL) Manning Bowman Matsui Garcia (TX) Boyle, Brendan McBath Golden F Gomez McCollum Brown (MD) Gonzalez (OH) McEachin Brown (OH) Gonzalez, McGovern Brownley Vicente McNerney Bush Gottheimer Meeks Bustos Green, Al (TX) Meng Butterfield Grijalya. Mfume Harder (CA) Moore (WI) Calvert Hayes Carbajal Morelle Higgins (NY) Cárdenas Moulton Carson Himes Mrvan Carter (LA) Horsford Murphy (FL) Cartwright Houlahan Nadler Napolitano Case Hoyer Casten Huffman Neal Castor (FL) Jackson Lee Neguse Castro (TX) Jacobs (CA) Newman Cherfilus-Jacobs (NY) Norcross McCormick O'Halleran Javapal Chu Jeffries Ocasio-Cortez Cicilline Johnson (GA) Omar Clark (MA) Pallone Johnson (TX) Clarke (NY) Jones Panetta Joyce (OH) Cleaver Pappas Clyburn Kahele Pascrell Cohen Kaptur Payne Connolly Katko Pelosi Cooper Keating Perlmutter Kelly (IL) Correa Peters Costa Khanna Phillips Courtney Kildee Pingree Craig Kilmer Pocan Crist Kim (NJ) Porter Crow Kind Pressley Cuellar Kinzinger Price (NC) Davids (KS) Kirkpatrick Quigley Krishnamoorthi Davis, Danny K. Raskin Dean DeFazio Kuster Rice (NY) Lamb Ross Roybal-Allard DeGette Langevin DeLauro DelBene Larsen (WA) Ruiz Ruppersberger Larson (CT) Demings Lawrence Rush Lawson (FL) DeSaulnier Rvan Lee (CA) Deutch Salazar

Sánchez Sarbanes Scanlon Schakowsky Schiff Schneider Schrader Schrier Scott (VA) Scott, David Sewell Sherman Sherrill. Slotkin Smith (NJ) Smith (WA) Soto

Spanberger Speier Stansbury Stanton Stevens Strickland Suozzi Swalwell Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tlaib Tonko Torres (CA) Torres (NY) Trahan Trone NAYS-194

Turner Underwood Upton Valadao Vargas Veasev Velázquez Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Coleman Welch Wexton Wild Williams (GA) Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

Aderholt Garbarino Miller (IL) Miller (WV) Garcia (CA) Allen Amodei Gibbs Miller-Meeks Armstrong Gimenez Moolenaar Mooney Arrington Gohmert Gonzales, Tony Moore (AL) Babin Bacon Good (VA) Moore (UT) Baird Gooden (TX) Mullin Murphy (NC) Balderson GosarBanks Granger Nehls Graves (LA) Newhouse Barr Graves (MO) Bentz Norman Bergman Green (TN) Obernolte Bice (OK) Greene (GA) Owens Griffith Palazzo Biggs Bilirakis Grothman Palmer Bishop (NC) Guest Pence Boebert Guthrie Perry Bost Harris Pfluger Harshbarger Brady Posey Brooks Hartzler Reschenthaler Buchanan Hern Rice (SC) Rodgers (WA) Herrell Buck Bucshon Herrera Beutler Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Budd Hice (GA) Burchett Higgins (LA) Rose Burgess Hill Rosendale Cammack Hinson Rouzer Carey Hudson Roy Carl Huizenga Rutherford Carter (GA) Issa Scalise Carter (TX) Jackson Schweikert Scott, Austin Sessions Cawthorn Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Chabot Cheney Johnson (SD) Simpson Cline Jordan Smith (MO) Joyce (PA) Cloud Smith (NE) Clyde Keller Smucker Kelly (MS) Cole Spartz Comer Kelly (PA) Stauber Crawford Kim (CA) Steel Stefanik Crenshaw Kustoff Curtis LaHood Steil Davidson La.Ma.lfa Steube Davis, Rodney Lamborn Stewart DesJarlais Latta Taylor Diaz-Balart LaTurner Tenney Thompson (PA) Donalds Lesko Duncan Letlow Tiffany Long Dunn Timmons Ellzev Loudermilk Van Drew Emmer Lucas Van Duyne Wagner Walberg Estes Luetkemever Fallon Mace Walorski Feenstra Mann Ferguson Massie Waltz Weber (TX) Fischbach Mast Fitzgerald McCarthy Webster (FL) Fleischmann McCaul Wenstrup McClain Westerman Foxx Franklin, C. McClintock Williams (TX) Wilson (SC) Scott McHenry Fulcher McKinley Wittman Meijer Womack

> Meuser Zeldin NOT VOTING-1 Hollingsworth

Gallagher

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remain-

□ 1843

So the question was decided in the affirmative, and title V of the bill was retained.

Rvan (Bever)

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Johnson (TX) Ryan (Beyer) Barragán (Beyer) (Jeffries) Sánchez (Garcia Kirkpatrick Rochester) (TX)) Boebert (Gaetz) Sewell (Beatty) (Pallone) Krishnamoorthi Sherman (Bever) (Fleischmann) (García (IL)) Sires (Pallone) Brown (OH) Lamb (Blunt Spartz (Banks) (Beatty) Rochester) Strickland Bucshon (Gibbs) Leger Fernandez (Takano) Cárdenas (Neguse) Suozzi (Beyer) Loudermilk (Correa) Swalwell Crist (Fleischmann) (Correa) (Wasserman Lowenthal Taylor (Fallon) Schultz) (Beyer)Thompson (PA) Mace (Donalds) DeFazio (Keller) (Stanton) McEachin Torres (NY) Evans (Beyer) (Beyer) Moore (WI) (Blunt Frankel, Lois Rochester) (Wasserman (Beyer) Vargas (Takano) Schultz) Moulton Walorski (Banks) Gomez (Garcia (Neguse) Payne (Pallone) Waters (Garcia (TX))Guest Price (NC) (TX)) (Fleischmann) (Manning) Welch (Pallone) Johnson (SD) Ruiz (Correa) Wilson (FL) (LaHood) Rush (Jeffries) (Neguse)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will now put the question on retaining title VI of the bill.

The question is, Shall title VI be retained?

Pursuant to section 3(b) of House Resolution 1153, the year and nays are ordered.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were-yeas 220, nays 207, not voting 1, as follows:

[Roll No. 242]

YEAS-220

Adams Crow Davids (KS) Aguilar Allred Davis, Danny K. Auchincloss Axne DeFazio DeGette Barragán DeLauro Beatty Del Bene Bera Demings DeSaulnier Beyer Bishop (GA) Deutch Dingell Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Bonamici Dovle, Michael Bourdeaux Bowman Escobar Bovle, Brendan Eshoo Espaillat Brown (MD) Evans Fitzpatrick Brown (OH) Brownley Fletcher Bush Foster Frankel, Lois Bustos Butterfield Gallego Garamendi Carbajal García (IL) Cárdenas Garcia (TX) Carson Carter (LA) Gomez Cartwright Gonzalez, Vicente Casten Gottheimer Castor (FL) Green, Al (TX) Castro (TX) Grijalva Harder (CA) Cherfilus-McCormick Hayes Chu Cicilline Higgins (NY) Himes Horsford Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Houlahan Cleaver Hover Huffman Clyburn Cohen Connolly Jackson Lee Jacobs (CA) Cooper Jacobs (NY)

Correa

Courtney

Costa

Craig

Crist

Jayapal

Jeffries

Jones

Johnson (GA)

Johnson (TX)

Kahele Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Khanna Kildee Kilmer Kim (NJ) Kinzinger Kirkpatrick Krishnamoorthi Kuster Lamb Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Leger Fernandez Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Lieu Lofgren Lowenthal Luria Lynch Malinowski Maloney, Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean Manning Matsui McBath McCollum McEachin McGovern McNerney Meeks Meng Mfume Moore (WI) Morelle Moulton Mrvan Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano

Neal Neguse Newman Norcross O'Halleran Ocasio-Cortez Omar Pallone Panetta Pappas Pascrell Payne Pelosi Perlmutter Peters Phillips Pingree Pocan Porter Pressley Price (NC) Quigley Raskin Rice (NY) Ross Roybal-Allard Ruiz

Aderholt

Amodei

Armstrong

Arrington

Balderson

Allen

Babin

Bacon

Baird

Banks

Bentz

Biggs

Bergman

Bice (OK)

Bilirakis

Boebert

Bost

Brady

Brooks

Buck

Budd

Buchanan

Bucshon

Burchett

Burgess

Calvert

Carey

Carl

Cammack

Carter (GA)

Carter (TX)

Cawthorn

Chabot

Cheney

Cline

Cloud

Clyde

Comer

Crawford

Crenshaw

Davidson

DesJarlais

Donalds

Duncan

Dunn

Ellzey

Estes

Foxx

Emmer

Fallon

Feenstra

Ferguson

Fischbach

Fitzgerald

Fleischmann

Franklin, C.

Scott

Gaetz Gallagher

Garbarino

Gibbs

Garcia (CA)

Miller (WV)

Zeldin

Fulcher

Diaz-Balart

Davis, Rodney

Cuellar

Curtis

Cole

Bishop (NC)

Ruppersberger Rush Ryan Sánchez Sarbanes Scanlon Schakowsky Schiff Schneider Schrier Scott (VA) Scott, David Sewell. Sherman Sherrill Sires Slotkin $Smith \ (WA)$ Soto Spanberger Speier Stansbury Stanton Stevens Strickland Swalwell

Gimenez

Gohmert Moolenaar Golden Gonzales, Tony Mooney Moore (AL) Gonzalez (OH) Moore (UT) Good (VA) Gooden (TX) Gosar Granger Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Green (TN) Greene (GA) Griffith Grothman Guest Guthrie Harris Harshbarger Hartzler Hern Herrell Herrera Beutler Hice (GA) Higgins (LA) Hill Hinson Hudson Huizenga Issa Jackson Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Johnson (SD) Jordan Joyce (OH) Joyce (PA) Katko Keller Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Kim (CA) Kind Kustoff LaHood La.Ma.lfa Lamborn Latta LaTurner Lesko Letlow Long Loudermilk Luetkemever Mace Malliotakis Mann Massie Mast McCarthy McCaul McClain McClintock McHenry McKinley Meijer Meuser Miller (IL) Womack

Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tlaib Tonko Torres (CA) Torres (NY) Trahan Trone Underwood Upton Vargas Veasey

Velázquez Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Coleman Welch Wexton Wild Williams (GA) Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

Miller-Meeks

NAYS-207

Mullin Murphy (NC) Nehls Newhouse Norman Obernolte Owens Palazzo Palmer Pence Perry Pfluger Posey Reschenthaler Rice (SC) Rodgers (WA) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rose Rosendale Rouzer Rov Rutherford Salazar Scalise Schrader Schweikert Scott, Austin Sessions Simpson Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smucker Spartz Stauber Steel Stefanik Steil Steube Stewart Tavlor Tenney Thompson (PA) Tiffany Timmons Turner Valadao Van Drew Van Duyne Wagner Walberg Walorski Waltz Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Wenstrup Westerman Williams (TX) Wilson (SC) Wittman

NOT VOTING-1 Hollingsworth

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1851

So the question was decided in the affirmative.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS Johnson (TX)

Barragán (Beyer)

(Jeffries) Bass (Blunt Sánchez (Garcia Rochester) Kirkpatrick Boebert (Gaetz) (Pallone) Sewell (Beatty) Krishnamoorthi Brooks Sherman (Bever) (Fleischmann) (García (IL)) Lamb (Blunt Sires (Pallone) Brown (OH) Spartz (Banks) (Beatty) Bucshon (Gibbs) Rochester) Strickland Leger Fernandez (Neguse) (Takano) Cárdenas Suozzi (Bever) Loudermilk (Correa) Swalwell Crist (Fleischmann) (Correa) (Wasserman Lowenthal Taylor (Fallon) Schultz) (Beyer) Thompson (PA) Mace (Donalds) DeFazio (Keller) (Stanton) McEachin Torres (NY) Evans (Beyer) Frankel, Lois (Beyer) Moore (WI) (Blunt Rochester) (Wasserman (Beyer) Vargas (Takano) Schultz) Moulton Walorski (Banks) Gomez (Garcia (Neguse) (TX)) Payne (Pallone) Waters (Garcia Guest Price (NC) (TX)) Welch (Pallone) (Fleischmann) (Manning) Ruiz (Correa) Johnson (SD) Wilson (FL) (LaHood) Rush (Jeffries)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will now put the question on retaining title VII of the bill.

The question is, Shall title VII be retained?

Pursuant to section 3(b) of House Resolution 1153, the year and nays are ordered.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 380, nays 47, not voting 1, as follows:

[Roll No. 243]

YEAS-380 Adams Brown (OH) Cloud Aguilar Brownley Clyburn Allen Buchanan Clvde Allred Buck Cohen Amodei Bucshon Cole Connolly Budd Armstrong Burchett Auchincloss Cooper Axne Bush Correa Bacon Bustos Costa Butterfield Baird Courtney Balderson Calvert Craig Cammack Crawford Barr Barragán Carbajal Crenshaw Bass Cárdenas Crist Beatty Crow Carev Bentz Carl Cuellar Bera. Carson Curtis Bergman Carter (GA) Davids (KS) Beyer Bice (OK) Carter (LA) Davidson Davis, Danny K. Carter (TX) Davis, Rodne Cartwright Biggs Dean DeFazio Bilirakis Case Casten Bishop (GA) Castor (FL) Bishop (NC) DeGette Blumenauer Blunt Rochester DeLauro DelBene Castro (TX) Cawthorn Boebert Chabot Demings Cheney Cherfilus-Bonamici DeSaulnier Bost Deutch Bourdeaux McCormick Diaz-Balart Bowman Boyle, Brendan Chu Cicilline Dingell Doggett Clark (MA)

Clarke (NY)

Cleaver

Brooks

Brown (MD)

Donalds Doyle, Michael F.

June 8, 20	C							
Dunn	LaHood	Rice (NY)						
Escobar	LaMalfa	Rice (SC)						
Eshoo Espaillat	Lamb Lamborn	Rodgers (WA) Rogers (AL)						
Evans	Langevin	Rogers (KY)						
Fallon	Larsen (WA) Larson (CT)	Rosendale Ross						
Ferguson Fischbach	Latta	Rouzer						
Fitzpatrick	LaTurner	Roybal-Allard						
Fleischmann Fletcher	Lawrence Lawson (FL)	Ruiz Ruppersberger						
Foster	Lee (CA)	Rush						
Foxx	Lee (NV)	Rutherford						
Frankel, Lois Franklin, C.	Leger Fernandez Lesko	Ryan Salazar						
Scott	Letlow	Sánchez						
Fulcher Gaetz	Levin (CA) Levin (MI)	Sarbanes Scalise						
Gallagher	Lieu	Scanlon						
Gallego	Lofgren	Schakowsky						
Garamendi Garbarino	Long Loudermilk	Schiff Schneider						
Garcia (CA)	Lowenthal	Schrader						
Garcia (IL) Garcia (TX)	Luetkemeyer Luria	Schrier Schweikert						
Gibbs	Lynch	Scott (VA)						
Gimenez	Mace	Scott, Austin						
Golden Gomez	Malinowski Malliotakis	Scott, David Sessions						
Gonzales, Tony	Maloney,	Sewell						
Gonzalez (OH) Gonzalez,	Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean	Sherman Sherrill						
Vicente	Manning	Simpson						
Gosar	Massie	Sires						
Gottheimer Granger	Mast Matsui	Slotkin Smith (NJ)						
Graves (LA)	McBath	Smith (WA)						
Graves (MO) Green (TN)	McCarthy McClain	Smucker Soto						
Green, Al (TX)	McCollum	Spanberger						
Greene (GA)	McEachin	Spartz						
Griffith Grijalva	McGovern McKinley	Speier Stansbury						
Grothman	McNerney	Stanton						
Guest Guthrie	Meeks Meijer	Stauber Steel						
Harder (CA)	Meng	Steil						
Harris	Meuser	Steube						
Harshbarger Hayes	Mfume Miller (IL)	Stevens Stewart						
Hern	Miller (WV)	Strickland						
Herrell Herrera Beutler	Miller-Meeks Mooney	Suozzi Swalwell						
Hice (GA)	Moore (AL)	Takano						
Higgins (LA)	Moore (UT)	Taylor						
Higgins (NY) Hill	Moore (WI) Morelle	Tenney Thompson (CA)						
Himes	Moulton	Thompson (MS)						
Hinson Horsford	Mrvan Murphy (FL)	Tiffany Timmons						
Houlahan	Murphy (NC)	Titus						
Hoyer	Nadler	Tlaib Tonko						
Hudson Huffman	Napolitano Neal	Torres (CA)						
Huizenga	Neguse	Torres (NY)						
Issa Jackson Lee	Newhouse Newman	Trahan Trone						
Jacobs (CA)	Norcross	Turner						
Jacobs (NY) Jayapal	Norman O'Halleran	Underwood Upton						
Jeffries	Obernolte	Valadao						
Johnson (GA)	Ocasio-Cortez	Van Drew						
Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH)	Omar Owens	Van Duyne Vargas						
Johnson (SD)	Palazzo	Veasey						
Johnson (TX) Jones	Pallone Panetta	Velázquez Walberg						
Jordan	Pappas	Waltz						
Joyce (OH)	Pascrell	Wasserman						
Kahele Kaptur	Payne Pelosi	Schultz Waters						
Katko	Perlmutter	Watson Coleman						
Keating Kelly (IL)	Perry Peters	Webster (FL) Welch						
Khanna	Phillips	Wenstrup						
Kildee	Pingree	Wexton						
Kilmer Kim (CA)	Pocan Porter	Wild Williams (GA)						
Kim (NJ)	Posey	Wilson (FL)						
Kind Kinzinger	Pressley Price (NC)	Wilson (SC) Wittman						
Kirkpatrick	Quigley	Womack						
Krishnamoorthi Kuster	Raskin Reschenthaler	Yarmuth Zeldin						
1140001	200001101101101101101	Dolum						

NAYS-47

Aderholt Banks Cline Brady Arrington Comer DesJarlais Babin Burgess

Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Duncan Pfluger Ellzey Rose Emmer Kustoff Roy Estes Lucas Smith (MO) Feenstra Mann Smith (NE) Fitzgerald McCaul Stefanik Gohmert McClintock Thompson (PA) Good (VA) McHenry Wagner Gooden (TX) Moolenaar Walorski Hartzler Mullin Weber (TX) Jackson Nehls Westerman Joyce (PA) Palmer Williams (TX) Keller Pence

NOT VOTING-1

Hollingsworth

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1901

Ms. STEFANIK, Messrs. KELLY of Mississippi, ELLZEY, and DUNCAN changed their vote from "yea" "nav."

Messrs. Lahood and VAN DREW changed their vote from "nay" "yea."

So the question was decided in the affirmative, and title VII of the bill was retained.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

RESOLUTION 8, IITH CONGRESS						
Barragán (Beyer) Bass (Blunt Rochester) Boebert (Gaetz) Brooks (Fleischmann) Brown (OH) (Beatty) Bucshon (Gibbs) Cárdenas (Correa) Crist (Wasserman Schultz) DeFazio (Stanton)	Johnson (TX) (Jeffries) Kirkpatrick (Pallone) Krishnamoorthi (García (IL)) Lamb (Blunt Rochester) Leger Fernandez (Neguse) Loudermilk (Fleischmann) Lowenthal (Beyer) Mace (Donalds) McEachin	Ryan (Beyer) Sánchez (Garcia (TX)) Sewell (Beatty) Sherman (Beyer) Sires (Pallone) Spartz (Banks) Strickland (Takano) Suozzi (Beyer) Swalwell (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) Thompson (PA) (Keller)				
Evans (Beyer) Frankel, Lois (Wasserman Schultz) Gomez (Garcia (TX)) Guest (Fleischmann) Johnson (SD) (LaHood)	(Beyer) Moore (WI) (Beyer) Moulton (Neguse) Payne (Pallone) Price (NC) (Manning) Ruiz (Correa) Rush (Jeffries)	Torres (NY) (Blunt Rochester) Vargas (Takano) Walorski (Banks) Waters (Garcia (TX)) Welch (Pallone) Wilson (FL) (Neguse)				

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT

Mr. HUDSON. Madam Speaker, I have a motion to recommit at the

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. Hudson moves to recommit the bill H.R. 7910 to the Committee on the Judiciary.

The material previously referred to by Mr. Hudson is as follows:

Strike the text of the bill and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Secure Every School and Protect Our Nation's Children Act" or as the "STOP II Act".

SEC. 2. INCREASED AUTHORIZATION OF FUND-ING FOR CERTAIN PROGRAMS.

(a) BYRNE-JAG.—For fiscal year 2023, there is authorized to be appropriated to the Attorney General to carry out the grant program under subpart 1 of part E of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10151 et seq.), in addition to any amounts made available for such purpose, \$500,000,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That such amounts shall be used for additional personnel.

(b) COPS.—For fiscal year 2023, there is authorized to be appropriated to the Attorney General to carry out the grant program under part Q of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10381 et seq.), in addition to any amounts made available for such purpose, \$500,000,000, to remain available until expended: Provided. That such amounts shall be used as provided under paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 1701(b) of such Act (34 U.S.C. 10381(b))

(c) STOP SCHOOL VIOLENCE.—Subsection (a) of section 2705 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10555) is amended to read as follows:

"(a) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated \$833,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2023 through 2028, of which-

(1) \$555,333,334 shall be made available to the BJA Director to carry out this part; and "(2) \$277,666,666 shall be made available to the COPS Director to carry out this part.'

(d) Grants for Mental Health Guidance COUNSELORS.—Section 4112 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7122) is amended-

(1) in subsection (a), by inserting ", other than subsection (c)," after "this subpart"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following: "(c) MENTAL HEALTH GUIDANCE COUN-

SELORS.—There authorized to be appropriated for the hiring of mental health guidance counselors by State and local educational agencies \$1,000,000,000 for fiscal year 2023.

(e) Offset.—Of the unobligated balances from amounts made available under sections 602(a)(1) and 603(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 802(a)(1), 803(a)) on the date of enactment of this Act, \$7,055,000,000 is rescinded as of such date: Provided, That such rescission shall be applied first on a pro rata basis to the unobligated balances of the payment amounts allocated by the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to subsection (b)(3)(B) of section 602 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 802): Provided further, That any remaining amounts to be rescinded shall be applied next on a pro rata basis to the unobligated balances of the payment amounts allocated by the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to subsection (b)(1)(B) and (b)(2)(B) of section 602 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 802): Provided further, That any remaining amounts to be rescinded shall be applied on a pro rata basis to the unobligated balances of the payment amounts allocated by the Secretary of the Treasury for each of the entities authorized to receive payments under section 603 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 803).

SEC. 3. ADDITIONAL AUTHORIZED USE OF STOP SCHOOL VIOLENCE GRANTS.

Section 2701 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. 10151) is amended-

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking "paragraphs (5) through (9)" and inserting "paragraphs (5) through (10)"; and

(2) in subsection (b)-

(A) by redesignating paragraph (9) as paragraph (10); and

(B) by inserting after paragraph (8) the following:

"(9) Assessment of a school to find weaknesses in security and identify any lack of coverage in mental health support staff for students.".

SEC. 4. FEDERAL CLEARINGHOUSE ON SCHOOL SAFETY BEST PRACTICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of title XXII of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 651 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"SEC. 2220D. FEDERAL CLEARINGHOUSE ON SCHOOL SAFETY BEST PRACTICES.

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.-

- "(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in coordination with the Secretary of Education, the Attorney General, and the Secretary of Health and Human Services, shall establish in the Department a Federal Clearinghouse on School Safety Best Practices (in this section referred to as the 'Clearinghouse').
- "(2) PURPOSE.—The Clearinghouse shall be the primary resource of the Federal Government to identify and publish online through SchoolSafety.gov, or any successor website, best practices and recommendations relating to school safety for use by State educational agencies and local educational agencies, institutions of higher education, State and local law enforcement agencies, health professionals, and the general public.

"(3) Personnel.—

- "(A) Assignments.—The Clearinghouse shall be assigned such personnel and resources as the Secretary considers appropriate to carry out this section.
- "(B) DETAILEES.—The Secretary of Education, the Attorney General, and the Secretary of Health and Human Services may detail personnel to the Clearinghouse.

"(4) Exemptions.—

- "(A) PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT.—Chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code (commonly known as the 'Paperwork Reduction Act') shall not apply to any rulemaking or information collection required under this section.
- "(B) FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT.— The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply for the purposes of carrying out this section.

"(b) CLEARINGHOUSE CONTENTS.—

- "(1) CONSULTATION.—In identifying and publishing best practices and recommendations pursuant to subsection (a)(2), the Clearinghouse may consult with appropriate Federal, State, local, Tribal, and private sector entities, and nongovernmental organizations.
- "(2) CRITERIA.—Best practices and recommendations of the Clearinghouse identified and published pursuant to subsection (a)(2) shall, at a minimum—
- "(A) incorporate comprehensive school safety measures, including threat prevention, preparedness, protection, mitigation, incident response, and recovery to improve the safety posture of a school, including relating to the health, safety, and welfare of persons in school settings;
- "(B) include any evidence or research rationale supporting the determination of the Clearinghouse that the best practice or recommendation at issue has been shown to have a significant effect on improving the safety posture of a school, including relating to the health, safety, and welfare of persons in school settings, including—
- "(i) relevant research that is evidencebased supporting such best practice or recommendation:
- "(ii) findings and data from previous Federal or State commissions recommending improvements to the safety posture of a school, including relating to the health, safety, and welfare of persons in school settings; or
- "(iii) other supportive evidence or findings relied upon by the Clearinghouse in deter-

mining best practices and recommendations to improve the safety posture of a school, including relating to the health, safety, and welfare of persons in school settings; and

- "(C) include information on Federal grant programs for which implementation of such best practices or recommendations is an eligible use for any such program.
- "(3) OTHER BEST PRACTICES AND RECOMMENDATIONS.—To the greatest extent practicable, in identifying and publishing best practices and recommendations pursuant to subsection (a)(2), the Clearinghouse shall so identify and publish, as appropriate, best practices and recommendations to improve the safety posture of a school, including relating to the health, safety, and welfare of persons in school settings, adopted by a Federal, State, local, Tribal, or private sector entity or nongovernmental organization.
- "(c) ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING.—The Secretary, acting through the Clearinghouse, may publish materials to assist and train State educational agencies and local educational agencies and State and local law enforcement agencies regarding the implementation of best practices and recommendations identified and published pursuant to subsection (a)(2).
- "(d) Continuous Improvement.—The Secretary shall—
- "(1) collect for the purpose of continuous improvement of the Clearinghouse—

"(A) data analytics;

- "(B) user feedback on the implementation of best practices and recommendations identified and published pursuant to subsection (a)(2): and
- "(C) any evaluations conducted on implementation of such best practices and recommendations; and
- "(2) in coordination with the Secretary of Education, the Attorney General, and the Secretary of Health and Human Services—
- "(A) regularly assess best practices and recommendations identified and published pursuant to subsection (a)(2) with respect to which there are no resources available through Federal Government programs for implementation; and
- "(B) establish an external advisory board comprised of appropriate State, local, Tribal, and private sector entities and nongovernmental organizations, including organizations representing parents of students attending elementary schools or secondary schools, to—
- "(i) provide feedback on the implementation of best practices and recommendations identified and published pursuant to subsection (a)(2); and
- "(ii) propose additional recommendations for best practices for inclusion in the Clearinghouse.
- Georgia (e) Parental Assistance.—The Clearinghouse shall produce materials to assist parents of students with identifying relevant Clearinghouse resources related to supporting the implementation of Clearinghouse best practices and recommendations identified and published pursuant to subsection (a)(2).
 - "(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
- "(1) ELEMENTARY SCHOOL.—The term 'elementary school' has the meaning given such term in section 8101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801).
- "(2) EVIDENCE-BASED.—The term 'evidencebased' has the meaning given such term in section 8101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801).
- "(3) INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION.— The term 'institution of higher education' has the meaning given such term in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001).

- "(4) LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY.—The term 'local educational agency' has the meaning given such term in section 8101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801).
- "(5) PARENT.—The term 'parent' has the meaning given such term in section 8101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801).
- "(6) SECONDARY SCHOOL.—The term 'secondary school' has the meaning given such term in section 8101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801).
- "(7) STATE EDUCATIONAL AGENCY.—The term 'State educational agency' has the meaning given such term in section 8101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7801)."
- (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in section 1(b) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2220C the following new item:

"Sec. 2220D. Federal Clearinghouse on School Safety Best Practices.".

SEC. 5. NOTIFICATION OF FEDERAL CLEARING-HOUSE ON SCHOOL SAFETY BEST PRACTICES.

- (a) NOTIFICATION BY THE SECRETARY OF EDUCATION.—The Secretary of Education shall provide written notification of the publication of the Federal Clearinghouse on School Safety Best Practices (referred to in this section as the "Clearinghouse") under section 2220D of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, as added by section 4, to—
- (1) every State educational and local educational agency; and
- (2) other Department of Education partners in the implementation of the best practices and recommendations of the Clearinghouse, as determined appropriate by the Secretary of Education.
- (b) NOTIFICATION BY THE SECRETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY.—The Secretary of Homeland Security shall provide written notification of the publication of the Clearinghouse under section 2220D of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, as added by section 4, to—
- (1) every State homeland security advisor; (2) every State department of homeland security; and
- (3) other Department of Homeland Security partners in the implementation of the best practices and recommendations of the Clearinghouse, as determined appropriate by the Secretary of Homeland Security.
- (c) NOTIFICATION BY THE SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall provide written notification of the publication of the Clearinghouse under section 2220D of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, as added by section 4, to—
- (1) every State department of public health; and
- (2) other Department of Health and Human Services partners in the implementation of the best practices and recommendations of the Clearinghouse, as determined appropriate by the Secretary of Health and Human Services.
- (d) NOTIFICATION BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.—The Attorney General shall provide written notification of the publication of the Clearinghouse under section 2220D of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, as added by section 4, to—
- (1) every State department of justice; and (2) other Department of Justice partners in the implementation of the best practices and recommendations of the Clearinghouse, as determined appropriate by the Attorney General.

Rush

Ryan

SEC. 6. GRANT PROGRAM REVIEW.

- (a) FEDERAL GRANTS AND RESOURCES.—The Secretary of Education, the Secretary of Homeland Security, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, and the Attorney General shall each-
- (1) review grant programs administered by their respective agency and identify any grant program that may be used to implement best practices and recommendations of the Federal Clearinghouse on School Safety Best Practices (referred to in this section as the "Clearinghouse") under section 2220D of the Homeland Security Act of 2002, as added by section 4;
- (2) identify any best practices and recommendations of the Clearinghouse for which there is not a Federal grant program that may be used for the purposes of implementing the best practice or recommendation as applicable to the agency; and
- (3) periodically report any findings under paragraph (2) to the appropriate committees of Congress.
- (b) STATE GRANTS AND RESOURCES.—The Clearinghouse shall, to the extent practicable, identify, for each State-
- (1) each agency responsible for school safety in the State, or any State that does not have such an agency designated;
- (2) any grant program that may be used for the purposes of implementing best practices recommendations of the Clearinghouse;
- (3) any resources other than grant programs that may be used to assist in implementation of best practices ommendations of the Clearinghouse.

SEC. 7. RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.

- (a) WAIVER OF REQUIREMENTS.—Nothing in this Act or the amendments made by this Act shall be construed to create, satisfy, or waive any requirement under-
- (1) title II of the Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12131 et seq.);
- (2) the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 701 et seq.);
- (3) title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.);
- (4) title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.); or
- (5) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.).
- (b) PROHIBITION ON FEDERALLY DEVELOPED, MANDATED, OR ENDORSED CURRICULUM. Nothing in this Act or the amendments made by this Act shall be construed to authorize any officer or employee of the Federal Government to engage in an activity otherwise prohibited under section 103(b) of the Department of Education Organization Act (20 U.S.C. 3403(b)).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 2(b) of rule XIX, the previous question is ordered on the motion to recommit.

The question is on the motion to recommit.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

Mr. HUDSON. Madam Speaker, on that I demand the year and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3(s) of House Resolution 8. the yeas and navs are ordered.

Members will record their votes by electronic device, and this will be a 5minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 198, nays 228, not voting 1, as follows:

[Roll No. 244]

YEAS-198

Aderholt

Amodei

Babin

Bacon

Baird

Banks

Barr

Bentz

Bost.

Brady

Bergman

Bice (OK)

Bilirakis

Buchanan

Bucshon

Burchett

Burgess

Calvert

Carey

Chabot

Chenev

Cline

Cloud

Clyde

Cole

Comer

Curtis

Crawford

Crenshav

Davidson

Des Jarlais

Donalds

Duncan

Dunn

Ellzey

Estes

Fallon

Foxx

Feenstra

Ferguson

Fischbach

Fitzgerald

Fitzpatrick

Franklin, C

Scott

Gallagher

Garbarino

Gimenez

Adams

Aguilar

Auchincloss

Barragán

Allred

Axne

Bass

Bera.

Beyer

Biggs

Bishop (GA)

Blumenauer

Boebert

Bonamici

Bowman

Bourdeaux

Blunt Rochester

Boyle, Brendan

Brown (MD)

Brown (OH)

Brownley

Butterfield

Crow

Buck

Bush

Bustos

Beatty

Garcia (CA)

Fulcher

Fleischmann

Emmer

Diaz-Balart

Carl

Cammack

Carter (GA)

Carter (TX)

Budd

Bishop (NC)

Armstrong

Arrington

Balderson

Gonzalez (OH) Moolenaar Good (VA) Mooney Gooden (TX) Moore (AL) Granger Moore (UT) Graves (LA) Mullin Graves (MO) Murphy (NC) Green (TN) Nehls Greene (GA) Newhouse Griffith Norman Grothman Obernolte Guest Guthrie Owens Palazzo Harris Palmer Harshbarger Pence Hartzler Perrv Hern Pfluger Herrell Posev Herrera Beutler Reschenthaler Hice (GA) Rice (SC) Higgins (LA) Rodgers (WA) Hill Rogers (AL) Hinson Rogers (KY) Hudson Rose Huizenga Rosendale Rouzer Jackson Rutherford Jacobs (NY) Salazar Johnson (LA) Scalise Johnson (OH) Schweikert Johnson (SD) Scott, Austin Jordan Joyce (OH) Sessions Simpson Smith (MO) Joyce (PA) Katko Smith (NE) Keller Smith (NJ) Kelly (MS) Smucker Kelly (PA) Spartz Kim (CA) Stauber Kinzinger Steel Kustoff Stefanik LaHood Steil Davis, Rodney LaMalfa Steube Lamborn Stewart Latta Taylor LaTurner Tenney Lesko Thompson (PA) Letlow -Tiffany Long Timmons Loudermilk Turner Lucas Upton Luetkemeyer Valadao Mace Van Drew Malliotakis Van Duvne Mann Wagner Mast McCarthy Walberg McCaul Walorski Waltz McClain Weber (TX) McClintock Webster (FL) McHenry Wenstrup McKinley Westerman Meijer Williams (TX) Meuser Miller (IL) Wilson (SC) Wittman Miller (WV) Miller-Womack Gonzales, Tony Zeldin Meeks

NAYS-228

Carbajal Cuellar Davids (KS) Cárdenas Carson Davis, Danny K. Carter (LA) Dean DeFazio Cartwright Case DeGette Casten DeLauro Castor (FL) DelBene Castro (TX) Demings DeSaulnier Cawthorn Cherfilus-Deutch McCormick Dingell Chu Doggett Doyle, Michael Cicilline Clark (MA) Escobar Clarke (NY) Eshoo Espaillat Cleaver Clyburn Cohen Evans Connolly Fletcher Cooper Foster Correa Frankel, Lois Costa Gaetz Courtney Gallego Garamendi Craig Crist García (IL)

Garcia (TX)

Gomez Gonzalez, Vicente GosarGottheimer Green, Al (TX) Grijalva Harder (CA) Hayes Higgins (NY) Himes Horsford Houlahan Hoyer Huffman Jackson Lee Jacobs (CA) Jayapal Jeffries. Johnson (GA) Johnson (TX) Jones Kahele Kaptur Keating Kelly (IL) Khanna Kildee Kilmer Kim (NJ) Kind Kirkpatrick Krishnamoorthi Kuster Lamb Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Leger Fernandez Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Lieu Lofgren Lowenthal Luria

Gohmert

Golden

Lynch Malinowski Maloney, Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean Manning Massie Matsui McBath McCollum McEachin McGovern McNerney Meng Mfume Moore (WI) Morelle Moulton Mrvan Murphy (FL) Nadler Napolitano Nea1 Neguse Newman Norcross O'Halleran Ocasio-Cortez Omar Pallone Panetta Pappas Pascrell Payne Perlmutter Peters Phillips Pingree Pocan Porter Presslev Price (NC) Quigley Raskin Rice (NY) Ross Roy Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger

Sánchez Sarbanes Scanlon Schakowsky Schiff Schneider Schrader Schrier Scott (VA) Scott, David Sewell. Sherman Sherrill Sires Slotkin Smith (WA) Soto Spanberger Speier Stansbury Stanton Stevens Strickland Suozzi Swalwell Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tlaib Tonko Torres (CA) Torres (NY) Trahan Trone Underwood Vargas Veasey Velázquez Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Coleman Welch Wexton Wild Williams (GA) Wilson (FL) Yarmuth

NOT VOTING-1 Hollingsworth

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1911

Mr. GALLEGO changed his vote from "vea" to "nav.

So the motion to recommit was reiected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer) Johnson (TX) Bass (Blunt (Jeffries) Rochester) Kirkpatrick (Pallone) Boebert (Gaetz) Brooks Krishnamoorthi (Fleischmann) (García (IL)) Brown (OH) Lamb (Blunt (Beatty) Rochester) Bucshon (Gibbs) Leger Fernandez Cárdenas (Neguse) Loudermilk (Correa) Crist (Fleischmann) (Wasserman Lowenthal Schultz) (Bever) DeFazio Mace (Donalds) (Stanton) McEachin Evans (Beyer) (Bever) Frankel, Lois Moore (WI) (Wasserman (Beyer) Moulton Schultz) Gomez (Garcia (Neguse) (TX))Payne (Pallone) Guest Price (NC) (Fleischmann) (Manning) Johnson (SD) Ruiz (Correa) (LaHood) Rush (Jeffries)

Ryan (Beyer) Sánchez (Garcia (TX)) Sewell (Beatty) Sherman (Beyer) Sires (Pallone) Spartz (Banks) Strickland (Takano) Suozzi (Beyer) Swalwell (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) Thompson (PA) (Keller) Torres (NY) (Blunt Rochester)

Vargas (Takano)

Walorski (Banks)

Waters (Garcia

Welch (Pallone)

Wilson (FL)

(Neguse)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken: and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the aves appeared to have it.

Mr. JORDAN. Madam Speaker. on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 3(s) of House Resolution 8, the yeas and nays are ordered.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 223, nays 204, not voting 1, as follows:

[Roll No. 245]

O'Halleran

Omar

Pallone

Ocasio-Cortez

YEAS-223 Gomez Gonzalez (OH) Adams Aguilar Allred Gonzalez. Auchincloss Vicente Gottheimer Axne Green, Al (TX) Barragán Grijalva Harder (CA) Bass Beatty Haves Higgins (NY) Bever Bishop (GA) Himes Horsford Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Houlahan Bonamici Hoyer Bourdeaux Huffman Bowman Jackson Lee Boyle, Brendan Jacobs (CA) F Jacobs (NY) Brown (MD) Javanal Brown (OH) Jeffries Brownley Johnson (GA) Bush Johnson (TX) Bustos Jones Butterfield Kahele Carbajal Kaptur Cárdenas Keating Carson Kelly (IL) Carter (LA) Khanna. Cartwright Case Kilmer Casten Kim (NJ) Castor (FL) Castro (TX) Kinzinger Kirkpatrick Cherfilus-McCormick Krishnamoorthi Chu Kuster Cicilline Lamb Clark (MA) Langevin Larsen (WA) Clarke (NY) Larson (CT) Cleaver Lawrence Lawson (FL) Clyburn Cohen Connolly Lee (CA) Cooper Lee (NV) Leger Fernandez Correa Costa Levin (CA) Courtney Levin (MI) Craig Lieu Lofgren Crist Crow Lowenthal Cuellar Luria Davids (KS) Lynch Davis, Danny K. Malinowski Malonev. Dean DeFazio Carolyn B. DeGette Maloney, Sean DeLauro Manning DelBene Matsui Demings McBath DeSaulnier McCollum McEachin Deutch Dingel1 McGovern McNerney Doggett Doyle, Michael Meeks Meng Escobar Mfume Eshoo Espaillat Moore (WI) Morelle Evans Moulton Fitzpatrick Mrvan Murphy (FL) Fletcher Foster Nadler Frankel, Lois Napolitano

Gallego

Garamendi

García (IL)

Garcia (TX)

Neal

Neguse

Newman

Norcross

Panetta Pappas Pascrell Payne Pelosi Perlmutter Peters Phillips Pingree Pocan Porter Pressley Price (NC) Quigley Raskin Rice (NY) Ross Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush Ryan Sánchez Sarbanes Scanlon Schakowsky Schiff Schneider Schrier Scott (VA) Scott David Sewell Sherman Sherrill Sires Slotkin Smith (WA) Soto Spanberger Speier Stansbury Stanton Stevens Strickland Suozzi Swalwell Takano Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Titus Tlaib Tonko Torres (CA) Torres (NY) Trahan Trone Underwood Upton Vargas Veasev Velázquez Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Coleman

Welch

Wild

Wexton

Williams (GA)

Wilson (FL)

Yarmuth

NAYS-204

Aderholt

Amodei

Arrington

Balderson

Allen

Bacon

Baird

Banks

Barr

Bentz

Biggs

Bergman

Bice (OK)

Bilirakis

Boebert

Bost

Brady

Buck

Budd

Brooks

Buchanan

Bucshon

Burchett

Burgess

Calvert

Carey

Carl

Cammack

Cawthorn

Chabot

Chenev

Cline

Cloud

Clyde

Comer

Curtis

Crawford

Crenshaw

Davidson

Donalds

Duncan

Dunn

Ellzey

Estes

Fallon

Feenstra

Ferguson

Fischbach

Fitzgerald

Scott

Gallagher

Garbarino

Garcia (CA)

Fulcher

Gaetz

Foxx

Emmer

Cole

Gimenez Moolenaar Gohmert Mooney Golden Moore (AL) Armstrong Gonzales, Tony Moore (UT) Good (VA) Mullin Gooden (TX) Murphy (NC) Gosar Nehls Granger Newhouse Graves (LA) Norman Graves (MO) Obernolte Green (TN) Owens Greene (GA) Palazzo Griffith Palmer Grothman Pence GuestPerry Guthrie Pfluger Bishop (NC) Harris Posey Harshbarger Reschenthaler Hartzler Rice (SC) Hern Rodgers (WA) Herrell Herrera Beutler Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Hice (GA) Rose Higgins (LA) Rosendale Hill Hinson Rouzer Roy Hudson Rutherford Huizenga Salazar Issa Jackson Scalise Johnson (LA) Schrader Carter (GA) Johnson (OH) Schweikert Carter (TX) Johnson (SD) Scott, Austin Jordan Sessions Joyce (OH) Simpson Joyce (PA) Smith (MO) Katko Smith (NE) Keller Smith (NJ) Kelly (MS) Smucker Kelly (PA) Spartz Kim (CA) Stauber Kustoff Steel LaHood Stefanik LaMalfa Steil. Lamborn Steube Davis, Rodney Latta Stewart Des Jarlais LaTurner Taylor Diaz-Balart Lesko Tenney Letlow Thompson (PA) Long Loudermilk Tiffany Timmons Turner Luetkemeyer Valadao Mace Van Drew Malliotakis Van Duvne Mann Wagner Massie Walberg Mast Walorski McCarthy Waltz Fleischmann McCaul Weber (TX) McClain Webster (FL) Franklin, C. McClintock Wenstrup McHenry Westerman McKinley Williams (TX) Meijer Wilson (SC) Meuser

> Miller-Meeks NOT VOTING-1

Wittman

Womack

Zeldin

Hollingsworth

Miller (IL)

Miller (WV)

□ 1920

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer) DeFazio Kirkpatrick (Stanton) Bass (Blunt (Pallone) Rochester) Evans (Beyer) Krishnamoorthi Boebert (Gaetz) Frankel, Lois (García (IL)) Brooks (Wasserman Lamb (Blunt (Fleischmann) Schultz) Rochester) Brown (OH) Gomez (Garcia Leger Fernandez (Beatty) (TX)) (Neguse) Bucshon (Gibbs) Guest Loudermilk Cárdenas (Fleischmann) (Fleischmann) (Correa) Johnson (SD) Lowenthal Crist (LaHood) (Bever) (Wasserman Johnson (TX) Mace (Donalds) Schultz) (Jeffries)

McEachin (Beyer) Moore (WI) (Bever) Moulton (Neguse) Payne (Pallone) Price (NC) (Manning) Ruiz (Correa) Rush (Jeffries)

Ryan (Beyer)

Sánchez (Garcia (TX)) Sewell (Beatty) Sherman (Bever) Sires (Pallone) Spartz (Banks) Strickland (Takano) Suozzi (Bever) Swa1well (Correa) Taylor (Fallon)

Thompson (PA) (Keller) Torres (NY) (Blunt Rochester) Vargas (Takano) Walorski (Banks) Waters (Garcia (TX)) Welch (Pallone) Wilson (FL) (Neguse)

PPP AND BANK FRAUD ENFORCE-MENT HARMONIZATION ACT OF

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. WIL-LIAMS of Georgia). Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 7352) to amend the Small Business Act to extend the statute of limitation for fraud by borrowers under the Paycheck Protection Program, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. VELÁZQUEZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 421, nays 0, not voting 6, as follows:

[Roll No. 246] YEAS-421

DeGette

DeLauro

DelBene

Demings

Adams Butterfield Aderholt Cammack Aguilar Allen Carbajal Allred Cárdenas Amodei Carev Armstrong Carl Arrington Carson Carter (GA) Auchineless Axne Carter (LA) Babin Carter (TX) Bacon Cartwright Baird Case Balderson Casten Castor (FL) Banks Barr Castro (TX) Barragán Cawthorn Bass Chabot Beatty Cherfilus-Bentz Chu Bera Cicilline Bergman Beyer Bice (OK) Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Biggs Cleaver Bilirakis Cline Bishop (GA) Cloud Bishop (NC) Clyburn Blumenauer Clyde Blunt Rochester

Boebert

Bost

F.

Brady

Brooks

Brown (MD)

Brown (OH)

Brownley

Buchanan

Bucshon

Burchett

Burgess

Buck

Budd

Rush

Bustos

Bonamici

Bourdeaux

Boyle, Brendan

Bowman

DeSaulnier Des Jarlais Deutch Diaz-Balart Dingell Doggett Donalds Duncan Dunn Ellzey Emmer Escobar Eshoo Espaillat Estes McCormick Evans Fallon Feenstra Ferguson Fischbach Fitzgerald Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Fletcher Foster Cohen Foxx Cole Frankel, Lois Comer Franklin, C. Connolly Scott Fulcher Cooper Correa Gaetz Gallagher Costa Courtney Gallego Garamendi Craig Crawford Garbarino Crenshaw Garcia (CA) Crist García (IL) Crow Garcia (TX) Cuellar Gibbs Curtis Gimenez Davids (KS) Gohmert Davidson Davis, Danny K. Gomez Gonzales, Tony Gonzalez (OH) Davis, Rodney Dean Gonzalez. Vicente DeFazio

Good (VA) Gooden (TX) Luria Gosar Lynch Gottheimer Mace Granger Graves (LA) Graves (MO) Maloney, Green (TN) Green, Al (TX) Greene (GA) Griffith Grijalva Grothman Guest Guthrie Harder (CA) Harris Harshbarger Hartzler Haves Hern Herrell Herrera Beutler Hice (GA) Higgins (LA) Higgins (NY) Hill Himes Hinson Horsford Houlahan Hoyer Hudson Huffman Huizenga Issa. Jackson Jackson Lee Jacobs (CA) Jacobs (NY) Jayapal Jeffries Johnson (GA) Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Johnson (SD) Johnson (TX) Jones Jordan Joyce (OH) Joyce (PA) Kahele Kaptur Katko Keating Keller Kelly (IL) Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Khanna Kildee Kilmer Kim (CA) Kim (NJ) Kind Kirkpatrick Krishnamoorthi Kuster Kustoff LaHood LaMalfa Lamb Lamborn Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latta LaTurner Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Leger Fernandez Lesko Letlow Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Lieu Ross Lofgren Rouzer Long Rov Loudermilk Lowenthal Ruiz

Mann Manning Massie Mast Matsui McBath McCarthy McCaul McClain McClintock McCollum McEachin McGovern McHenry McKinley McNerney Meeks Meijer Meng Meuser Mfume Miller (IL) Miller (WV) Moolenaar Mooney Moore (AL) Moore (UT) Moore (WI) Morelle Moulton Mrvan Mullin Nadler Napolitano Neal Neguse Nehls Newhouse Newman Norcross Norman O'Halleran Obernolte Omar Owens Palazzo Pallone Palmer Panetta. Pappas Pascrell Pavne Pence Perlmutter Perry Peters Pfluger Phillips Pingree Pocan Porter Posev Pressley Price (NC) Quigley Raskin Rice (NY) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rose Rosendale

Luetkemeyer Rush Rutherford Rvan Salazar Malinowski Sánchez Malliotakis Sarbanes Scalise Carolyn B. Scanlon Maloney, Sean Schiff Schneider Schrader Schrier Schweikert Scott (VA) Scott, Austin Scott, David Sessions Sewell. Sherman Sherrill Simpson Sires Slotkin Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (WA) Smucker Soto Spanberger Spartz Speier Miller-Meeks Stansbury Stanton Stauber Steel Stefanik Steil Steube Stevens Murphy (FL) Strickland Murphy (NC) Suozzi Swalwell Takano Tavlor Tenney Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thompson (PA) -Tiffany Timmons Titus Tlaib Ocasio-Cortez Tonko Torres (CA) Torres (NY) Trahan Trone Turner Underwood Upton Valadao Van Drew Van Duvne Vargas Veasey Velázquez Wagner Walberg Walorski Waltz Wasserman Schultz Waters Watson Coleman Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Reschenthaler Welch Wenstrup Westerman Rodgers (WA) Wexton Wild Williams (GA) Williams (TX) Wilson (FL) Wilson (SC) Wittman Roybal-Allard Womack Yarmuth Ruppersberger Zeldin

NOT VOTING-

Golden Chenev Doyle, Michael Hollingsworth Kinzinger

Lucas

Schakowsky

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remain-

□ 1928

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer) Johnson (TX) Bass (Blunt Rochester) Boebert (Gaetz) Brooks (Fleischmann) Brown (OH) (Beatty) Bucshon (Gibbs) Cárdenas (Correa) Crist (Wasserman Schultz) DeFazio (Stanton) Evans (Beyer) Frankel, Lois (Wasserman Schultz) Gomez (Garcia (TX)) Guest (Fleischmann) Johnson (SD) (LaHood)

Rush (Jeffries) (Jeffries) Rvan (Bever) Kirkpatrick Sánchez (Garcia (TX)) Sewell (Beatty) (Pallone) Krishnamoorthi (García (IL)) Sherman (Beyer) Lamb (Blunt Sires (Pallone) Spartz (Banks) Rochester) Leger Fernandez Strickland (Takano) (Neguse) Loudermilk Suozzi (Beyer) (Fleischmann) Swalwell Lowenthal (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) (Beyer) Mace (Donalds) Thompson (PA) McEachin (Keller) Torres (NY) (Beyer) Moore (WI) (Blunt (Beyer) Rochester) Moulton Vargas (Takano) Walorski (Banks) (Neguse) Neal (Bever) Waters (Garcia Payne (Pallone)

(TX))

Wilson (FL)

(Neguse)

Welch (Pallone)

COVID-19 EIDL FRAUD STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ACT OF 2022

Price (NC)

(Manning)

Ruiz (Correa)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 7334) to extend the statute of limitations for fraud by borrowers under certain COVID-19 economic injury disaster loan programs of the Small Business Administration, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and navs were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. VELÁZQUEZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 416, nays 3, not voting 8, as follows:

[Roll No. 247] YEAS-416

Beatty Boyle, Brendan Adams Aderholt F. Brady Bentz Aguilar BeraAllen Brooks Bergman Allred Brown (MD) Bever Brown (OH) Amodei Bice (OK) Armstrong Brownley Biggs Arrington Buchanan Bilirakis Auchincloss Buck Bishop (GA) Axne Bucshon Bishop (NC) Babin Budd Blumenauer Burchett Bacon Blunt Rochester Baird Burgess Boebert Balderson Bush Bonamici Banks Bustos Bost Butterfield Barr Bourdeaux Barragán Calvert Bowman Cammack Bass

Carter (GA) Carter (LA) Carter (TX) Cartwright Case Castor (FL) Castro (TX) Cawthorn Chabot Cherfilus-McCormick Chu Cicilline Clark (MA) Clarke (NY) Cleaver Cline Cloud Clyburn Clyde Cohen Cole Comer Connolly Cooper Correa Costa Courtney Craig Crawford Crenshaw Crist Crow Cuellar Curtis Davids (KS) Davidson Davis Danny K Davis, Rodney Dean DeFazio DeGette DeLauro DelBene Demings DeSaulnier Des Jarlais Deutch Diaz-Balart Dingell Doggett Donalds Duncan Dunn Ellzey Emmer Escobar Eshoo Espaillat Estes Evans Fallon Feenstra Ferguson Fischbach Fitzgerald Fitzpatrick Fleischmann Fletcher Foster Foxx Frankel, Lois Franklin, C. Scott Fulcher Gaetz Gallagher Gallego Garamendi Garbarino Garcia (CA) García (IL) Gibbs Gimenez Gohmert Golden Gomez Gonzales, Tony Gonzalez (OH) Gonzalez Vicente Good (VA) Gooden (TX) Gosar

Carbajal

Cárdenas

Carey

Carson

Carl

Gottheimer Massie Granger Mast Graves (LA) Matsui Graves (MO) McBath Green (TN) Green, Al (TX) McCaul Greene (GA) McClain Griffith Grijalva Grothman Guest Guthrie Harder (CA) Harris Harshbarger Meeks Hartzler Meijer Haves Meng Hern Meuser Herrell Mfume Herrera Beutler Hice (GA) Higgins (LA) Higgins (NY) Hill Mooney Himes Hinson Horsford Houlahan Morelle Hudson Moulton Huffman Mrvan Mullin Huizenga Jackson Jackson Lee Nadler Jacobs (CA) Javapal Neal Jeffries Neguse Johnson (GA) Nehls Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Newman Johnson (SD) Johnson (TX) Norman Jones Jordan Joyce (OH) Joyce (PA) Omar Kahele Owens Kaptur Palazzo Katko Pallone Keating Palmer Keller Panetta Kelly (IL) Pappas Kelly (MS) Pascrell Kelly (PA) Payne Khanna. Pence Kildee Perry Kilmer Peters Kim (CA) Pfluger Kim (NJ) Phillips Pingree Kind Kirknatrick Pocan Krishnamoorthi Porter Kuster Posey Kustoff Presslev LaHood LaMalfa Quigley Lamb Raskin Lamborn Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latta LaTurner Lawrence Rose Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Ross Lee (NV) Rouzer Leger Fernandez Lesko Letlow Ruiz Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Rush Lieu Lofgren Rvan Salazar Long Loudermilk Sánchez Lowenthal Lucas Scalise Luetkemeyer Scanlon Luria Lynch Schiff Mace Malinowski Malliotakis Schrier Maloney, Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean Mann Manning Sessions

McCarthy McClintock McCollum McEachin McGovern McHenry McKinlev McNerney Miller (II.) Miller (WV) Miller-Meeks Moolenaar Moore (AL) Moore (UT) Moore (WI) Murphy (FL) Murphy (NC) Napolitano Newhouse Norcross O'Halleran Obernolte Ocasio-Cortez Price (NC) Reschenthaler Rice (NY) Rice (SC) Rodgers (WA) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rosendale Roy Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Rutherford Sarbanes Schakowsky Schneider Schrader Schweikert Scott (VA) Scott, Austin Scott, David

Sewell

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—HOUSE

Strickland Vargas Sherman Suozzi Veasey Swalwell Sherrill Velázquez Simpson Takano Walberg Walorski Taylor Sires Slotkin Tenney Waltz Smith (MO) Thompson (CA) Wasserman Smith (NE) Thompson (MS) Schultz Smith (NJ) Thompson (PA) Watson Coleman Smith (WA) Tiffany Weber (TX) Timmons Webster (FL) Smucker Soto Titus Welch Spanberger Tlaib Wenstrup Spartz Tonko Westerman Torres (CA) Speier Wexton Torres (NY) Wild Stansbury Stanton Trahan Williams (GA) Stauber Trone Williams (TX) Turner Wilson (FL) Steel Stefanik Underwood Wilson (SC) Stei1 Unton Wittman Valadao Steube Womack Van Drew Yarmuth Stevens Stewart Van Duvne Zeldin

NAYS-3

Casten Garcia (TX)

NOT VOTING-8

Waters

Chenev Hollingsworth Kinzinger Doyle, Michael Hoyer Perlmutter Jacobs (NY) Wagner

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1934

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO THOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Bever) Johnson (TX) Bass (Blunt Rochester) Boebert (Gaetz) Brooks (Fleischmann) Brown (OH) (Beatty) Bucshon (Gibbs) Cárdenas (Correa) Crist (Wasserman Schultz) DeFazio (Stanton) Evans (Beyer) Frankel Lois (Wasserman Schultz) Gomez (Garcia (TX)) Guest (Fleischmann)

Johnson (SD)

(LaHood)

(Jeffries) Kirkpatrick (Pallone) Krishnamoorthi (García (IL)) Lamb (Blunt Rochester) Leger Fernandez (Neguse) Loudermilk (Fleischmann) Lowenthal (Beyer) Mace (Donalds) McEachin (Beyer) Moore (WI) (Bever) Moulton (Neguse) Neal (Beyer)

Ryan (Beyer) Sánchez (Garcia (TX)) Sewell (Beatty) Sherman (Beyer) Sires (Pallone) Spartz (Banks) Strickland (Takano) Suozzi (Beyer) Swalwell (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) Thompson (PA) (Keller) Torres (NY) (Blunt Rochester) Vargas (Takano) Walorski (Banks) Waters (Garcia Payne (Pallone) (TX)) Welch (Pallone) Price (NC) (Manning) Wilson (FL)

(Neguse)

DeFazio

DeGette

Keating

Keller

O'Halleran

Ocasio-Cortez

Rush (Jeffries)

HUBZONE PRICE EVALUATION PREFERENCE CLARIFICATION ACT OF 2021

Ruiz (Correa)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5879) to amend the Small Business Act to clarify the application of the price evaluation preference for qualified HUBZone small business concerns to certain contracts, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and navs were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. VELÁZQUEZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 359, nays 61, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 248]

YEAS-359 DeLauro Kelly (IL) Adams Aderholt DelBene Kelly (MS) Aguilar Demings Kelly (PA) Allred DeSaulnier Khanna Amodei Diaz-Balart Kildee Armstrong Dingell Kilmer Auchincloss Doggett Kim (CA) Axne Donalds Kim (NJ) Bacon Dunn Kind Kirkpatrick Baird Ellzev Balderson Emmer Krishnamoorthi Barr Escobar Kuster Barragán Eshoo Kustoff Bass Espaillat LaHood Beatty LaMalfa Estes Bentz Evans Lamb Bera Feenstra Lamborn Bergman Ferguson Langevin Fischbach Larsen (WA) Beyer Bice (OK) Fitzgerald Larson (CT) Fitzpatrick Bilirakis Latta Bishop (GA) Fleischmann LaTurner Blumenauer Fletcher Lawrence Blunt Rochester Lawson (FL) Foster Lee (CA) Bonamici Foxx Frankel, Lois Bost Lee (NV) Bourdeaux Franklin, C. Leger Fernandez Bowman Scott Letlow Levin (CA) Boyle, Brendan Fulcher Gallagher Levin (MI) Lieu Brady Gallego Brown (MD) Garamendi Lofgren Brown (OH) Garbarino Long Brownley Garcia (CA) Loudermilk Lowenthal Buchanan García (IL) Bucshon Garcia (TX) Lucas Budd Gibbs Luetkemeyer Bush Gimenez Luria Lynch Bustos Gomez Gonzales Tony Butterfield Mace Gonzalez (OH) Malinowski Calvert Cammack Gonzalez, Malliotakis Carbajal Vicente Maloney, Carolyn B. Cárdenas Gosar Gottheimer Maloney, Sean Carey Carl Graves (LA) Mann Graves (MO) Manning Carson Matsui Carter (GA) Green, Al (TX) Carter (LA) Griffith McBath Cartwright Grijalva McCarthy McClain Case Guest Guthrie Casten McCollum Castor (FL) Harder (CA) McEachin Castro (TX) Hartzler McGovern Cawthorn McHenry Haves Chabot Herrell McKinley Cherfilus-Herrera Beutler McNernev McCormick Higgins (NY) Meeks Chu Hill Meijer Cicilline Himes Meng Clark (MA) Hinson Meuser Clarke (NY) Horsford Mfume Miller (WV) Cleaver Houlahan Clyburn Hudson Miller-Meeks Cohen Huffman Moolenaar Cole Huizenga Mooney Moore (AL) Connolly Issa Jackson Lee Cooper Moore (UT) Correa Jacobs (CA) Moore (WI) Costa Jacobs (NY) Morelle Jayapal Courtney Moulton Craig Jeffries Mrvan Crawford Johnson (GA) Mullin Johnson (LA) Crenshaw Murphy (FL) Johnson (OH) Crist Murphy (NC) Johnson (SD) Nadler Crow Cuellar Johnson (TX) Napolitano Curtis Jones Neal Davids (KS) Joyce (OH) Neguse Davis, Danny K. Kahele Newhouse Davis, Rodney Newman Kaptur Dean Katko Norcross

Owens Palmer Panetta Pappas Pascrell Peters Pfluger Phillips Pingree Porter Pressley Price (NC) Quigley Raskin Rice (NY) Rice (SC) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Ross Roybal-Allard Ruppersberger Rush Ryan Salazar Sánchez Sarbanes Allen

Palazzo Pallone Payne Perlmutter Pocan Reschenthaler Rodgers (WA) Rose Ruiz Rutherford Scalise Arrington Babin Banks

Thompson (PA) Scanlon Schakowsky Titus Schiff Tlaib Schneider Tonko Schrader Torres (CA) Schrier Torres (NY) Scott (VA) Trahan Scott, Austin Trone Scott, David Turner Sewell. Underwood Sherman Upton Sherrill Valadao Simpson Van Drew Vargas Slotkin Veasey Smith (MO) Velázguez Smith (NJ) Wagner Smith (WA) Walberg Soto Walorski Spanberger Waltz Spartz Wasserman Speier Schultz Stansbury Waters Stanton Watson Coleman Stauber Welch Steel Wenstrun Stefanik Westerman Steil Wexton Stevens Wild Stewart Williams (GA) Strickland Williams (TX) Suozzi Swalwell Wilson (FL) Wilson (SC) Takano Taylor Wittman Womack Tenney Thompson (CA) Yarmuth Thompson (MS) Zeldin Gohmert Nehls

Good (VA) Norman Gooden (TX) Obernolte Granger Pence Biggs Green (TN) Perry Bishop (NC) Greene (GA) Posey Boebert Grothman Rosendale Brooks Harris Rouzer Buck Harshbarger Rov Burchett Hern Schweikert Hice (GA) Burgess Sessions Carter (TX) Higgins (LA) Smith (NE) Cline Jackson Smucker Cloud Jordan Joyce (PA) Steube Clyde Tiffany Comer Lesko Timmons Davidson Massie DesJarlais Van Duyne Mast Duncan McCaul Weber (TX) McClintock Fallon Webster (FL) Gaetz Miller (IL)

NOT VOTING-

Hollingsworth Chenev Dovle, Michael Deutch Hoyer Golden Kinzinger

□ 1943

Mr. PFLUGER changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Bever) Bass (Blunt Rochester) Boebert (Gaetz) Brooks (Fleischmann) Brown (OH) (Beatty) Bucshon (Gibbs) Cárdenas (Correa) Crist (Wasserman Schultz) DeFazio (Stanton)

Evans (Bever) Frankel, Lois (Wasserman Schultz) Gomez (Garcia (TX)) Guest (Fleischmann) Johnson (SD) (LaHood) Johnson (TX) (Jeffries)

Rochester) Leger Fernandez (Neguse) Loudermilk (Fleischmann) Lowenthal (Beyer) Mace (Donalds) McEachin (Beyer) Moore (WI) Kirkpatrick (Beyer) (Pallone) Moulton (Neguse) Neal (Beyer) Krishnamoorthi (García (IL))

Lamb (Blunt

Sessions

Mfume

Miller (WV)

Moolenaar

Moore (AL)

Moore (UT)

Moore (WI)

Murphy (FL)

Murphy (NC)

Napolitano

Mooney

Morelle

Mrvan

Mullin

Nadler

Nea1

Neguse

Newhouse

Newman

Norcross

O'Halleran

Obernolte

Omar

Owens

Palazzo

Pallone

Palmer

Panetta

Pappas

Payne

Peters

Pfluger

Phillips

Pingree

Pocan

Porter

Presslev

Quigley

Raskin

Rice (NY)

Rice (SC)

Rouzer

Ruiz

Rush

Ryan

Salazar

Sánchez

Scalise

Scanlon

Schiff

Schakowsky

Schneider

Scott (VA)

Scott, Austin

Scott, David

Good (VA)

Gosar

Granger

Griffith

Harris

Grothman

Harshbarger

Hice (GA)

Joyce (PA)

Jackson

Jordan

Lesko

Mann

Massie

Green (TN)

Greene (GA)

Gooden (TX)

Schrader

Schrier

Sarbanes

Price (NC)

Reschenthaler

Rodgers (WA)

Rogers (AL)

Rogers (KY)

Rovbal-Allard

Ruppersberger

Rutherford

Pascrell

Perlmutter

Ocasio-Cortez

Moulton

Miller-Meeks

Payne (Pallone)
Price (NC)
(Manning)
Ruiz (Correa)
Rush (Jeffries)
Ryan (Beyer)
Sánchez (Garcia
(TX))
Sewell (Beatty)

Sherman (Bever)

Sires (Pallone) Spartz (Banks) Strickland (Takano) Suozzi (Beyer) Swalwell (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) Thompson (PA) (Keller) Torres (NY)
(Blunt
Rochester)
Vargas (Takano)
Walorski (Banks)
Waters (Garcia
(TX))
Welch (Pallone)
Wilson (FL)
(Neguse)

SMALL BUSINESS WORKFORCE PIPELINE ACT OF 2022

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 7622) to amend the Small Business Act to include requirements relating to apprenticeship program assistance for small business development centers, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Velázquez) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 368, nays 52, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 249] YEAS—368

Castor (FL) Adams Fitzpatrick Aderholt Castro (TX) Fletcher Aguilar Chabot Foster Allen Cherfilus-Foxx McCormick Frankel, Lois Allred Chu Franklin, C. Amodei Cicilline Armstrong Scott Clark (MA) Fulcher Auchincloss Clarke (NY) Gallagher Bacon Cleaver Gallego Garamendi Clyburn Baird Balderson Clyde Garbarino Barr Cohen Garcia (CA) Barragán Cole García (IL) Comer Garcia (TX) Bass Beattv Connolly Gibbs Gimenez Bentz Cooper Golden Bera Correa Bergman Costa Gomez Beyer Courtney Gonzales, Tony Bice (OK) Craig Crawford Gonzalez (OH) Bilirakis Gonzalez. Bishop (GA) Crenshaw Vicente Blumenauer Crist Gottheimer Blunt Rochester Crow Graves (LA) Bonamici Cuellar Graves (MO) Bost Curtis Green, Al (TX) Bourdeaux Davids (KS) Grijalva Bowman Davidson Guest Boyle, Brendan Davis, Danny K. Guthrie Davis, Rodney Harder (CA) F Brady Hartzler Dean Brown (MD) DeFazio Haves Brown (OH) DeGette Hern Brownley DeLauro Herrell Herrera Beutler Buchanan DelBene Bucshon Demings Higgins (LA) DeSaulnier Higgins (NY) Budd Burgess DesJarlais Hill Diaz-Balart Himes Bush Dingell Bustos Hinson Butterfield Doggett Horsford Calvert Donalds Houlahan Cammack Dunn Hudson Carbajal Ellzev Huffman Cárdenas Emmer Huizenga Carey Escobar Issa Jackson Lee Carl Eshoo Carson Espaillat Jacobs (CA) Carter (GA) Evans Feenstra Jayapal Carter (LA) Jeffries Johnson (GA) Cartwright Ferguson Case Fischbach Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Fitzgerald Casten

Johnson (SD) Johnson (TX) Jones Joyce (OH) Kahele Kaptur Katko Keating Keller Kelly (IL) Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Khanna Kildee Kilmer Kim (CA) Kim (NJ) Kind Kirkpatrick Krishnamoorthi Kuster Kustoff LaHood LaMalfa Lamb Lamborn Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latta LaTurner Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Leger Fernandez Letlow Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Lieu Lofgren Long Loudermilk Lowenthal Lucas Luetkemever Luria Lynch Mace Malinowski Malliotakis Maloney,

Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean Manning Mast Matsui McBath McCarthy McClain McClintock McCollum McEachin McGovern McHenry McKinley McNerney Meeks Meijei Meng Meuser

Banks
Biggs
Bishop (NC)
Boebert
Brooks
Buck
Burchett
Carter (TX)
Cawthorn
Cline
Cloud
Duncan
Estes

Arrington

Babin

Fallon

Gaetz

Chenev

Deutch

Fleischmann

NOT VOTING—7

Doyle, Michael Hoyer
F. Jacobs (NY)
Hollingsworth Kinzinger

Sewell Sherman Sherrill Simpson Sires Slotkin Smith (MO) Smith (NE) Smith (NJ) Smith (WA) Smucker Soto Spanberger Spartz Speier Stansbury Stanton Stauber Steel Stefanik Steil. Stevens Stewart Strickland Suozzi Swalwell Takano Taylor Tenney Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thompson (PA) Titus Tlaib Tonko Torres (CA) Torres (NY) Trahan Trone Turner Underwood Upton Valadao Van Drew Van Duyne Vargas Veasey Velázquez Wagner Walberg Walorski Waltz Wasserman

NAYS—52 Gohmert

McCaul Miller (IL) Nehls Norman Pence Perry Posey Rose Rosendale Rov Schweikert Steube Tiffany Timmons Weber (TX) Webster (FL)

Schultz

Watson Coleman

Waters

Welch

Wenstrup

Wexton

Wild

Westerman

Williams (GA)

Williams (TX)

Wilson (FL)

Wilson (SC)

Wittman

Womack

Yarmuth

Zeldin

1

7 Hover ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE
The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 1950

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer) Johnson (TX) Rush (Jeffries) Bass (Blunt (Jeffries) Ryan (Beyer) Rochester) Kirkpatrick Sánchez (Garcia (TX)) Boebert (Gaetz) (Pallone) Sewell (Beatty) Brooks Krishnamoorthi (Fleischmann) (García (IL)) Sherman (Bever) Sires (Pallone) Brown (OH) Lamb (Blunt (Beatty) Bucshon (Gibbs) Rochester) Spartz (Banks) Leger Fernandez Strickland Cárdenas (Neguse) (Takano) (Correa) Loudermilk Suozzi (Beyer) Crist (Fleischmann) Swalwell (Wasserman Lowenthal (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) Schultz) (Beyer) DeFazio Mace (Donalds) Thompson (PA) (Stanton) McEachin (Keller) Evans (Beyer) Torres (NY) (Beyer) Frankel, Lois Moore (WI) (Blunt (Wasserman (Beyer) Rochester) Moulton Schultz) Vargas (Takano) Walorski (Banks) Gomez (Garcia (Neguse) (TX)) Neal (Beyer) Waters (Garcia Guest Payne (Pallone) (TX)) (Fleischmann) Welch (Pallone) Price (NC) Johnson (SD) (Manning) Wilson (FL) (LaHood) Ruiz (Correa) (Neguse)

SUPPORTING SMALL BUSINESS AND CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION ACT OF 2022

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 7664) to amend the Small Business Act to include requirements relating to graduates of career and technical education programs or programs of study for small business development centers and women's business centers, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. Velázquez) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 399, nays 18, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 250] YEAS—399

Adams Barragán Bowman Boyle, Brendan Aderholt Bass Beatty Aguilar F. Brady Allen Bentz Allred Bera Brooks Bergman Brown (MD) Amodei Beyer Bice (OK) Armstrong Brown (OH) Auchincloss Brownley Axne Bilirakis Buchanan Babin Bishop (GA) Bucshon Bacon Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Budd Burchett Baird Balderson Bonamici Burgess Banks Rost. Rush Bourdeaux Barr Bustos

Butterfield McClintock Green (TN) Calvert Green, Al (TX) McCollum Cammack Griffith McEachin Carbajal Grijalya McGovern Grothman Cárdenas McHenry McKinley Carey Guest Carl Guthrie McNerney Harder (CA) Carson Meeks Carter (GA) Harris Meijer Carter (LA) Harshbarger Meng Carter (TX) Hartzler Meuser Cartwright Hayes Mfume Case Hern Miller (IL) Castor (FL) Herrell Miller (WV) Herrera Beutler Miller-Meeks Castro (TX) Cawthorn Higgins (LA) Moolenaar Mooney Moore (AL) Chabot Higgins (NY) Cherfilus-Hill McCormick Himes Moore (UT) Chu Moore (WI) Hinson Cicilline Horsford Morelle Clark (MA) Houlahan Moulton Mrvan Clarke (NY) Hudson Cleaver Huffman Mullin Murphy (FL) Cline Huizenga Cloud Murphy (NC) Jackson Clyburn Nadler Jackson Lee Napolitano Clyde Cohen Jacobs (CA) Neal Cole Jacobs (NY) Neguse Comer Jayapal Nehls Connolly Jeffries Newhouse Cooper Johnson (GA) Newman Johnson (LA) Correa Norcross Johnson (OH) O'Halleran Costa Courtney Johnson (SD) Obernolte Ocasio-Cortez Johnson (TX) Craig Crawford Jones Omar Crenshaw Jordan Owens Joyce (OH) Crist Palazzo Joyce (PA) Crow Pallone Cuellar Kahele Palmer Curtis Kantur Panetta Davids (KS) Katko Pappas Davidson Keating Pascrell Davis, Danny K. Keller Payne Davis, Rodney Kelly (IL) Perlmutter Kelly (MS) Peters DeFazio Kelly (PA) Pfluger DeGette Khanna Phillips DeLauro Kildee Pingree Del Bene Kilmer Pocan Kim (CA) Porter Demings Kim (NJ) DeSaulnier Posey Des Jarlais Kind Presslev Diaz-Balart Kirkpatrick Price (NC) Dingell Krishnamoorthi Quigley Doggett Kuster Raskin Reschenthaler Donalds Kustoff Duncan LaMalfa Rice (NY) Rice (SC) Dunn Lamb Lamborn Rodgers (WA) Ellzey Emmer Langevin Rogers (AL) Larsen (WA) Escobar Rogers (KY) Eshoo Larson (CT) Rose Latta Espaillat Rosendale Estes LaTurner Ross Evans Lawrence Rouzer Fallon Lawson (FL) Roybal-Allard Lee (CA) Feenstra Ruiz Ruppersberger Ferguson Lee (NV) Fischbach Leger Fernandez Rush Rutherford Lesko Fitzgerald Fitzpatrick Letlow Ryan Fleischmann Levin (CA) Salazar Sánchez Fletcher Levin (MI) Foster Sarbanes Foxx Lofgren Scalise Frankel, Lois Scanlon Long Franklin, C. Loudermilk Schakowsky Scott Lowenthal Schiff Fulcher Schneider Lucas Gallagher Luetkemeyer Schrader Gallego Luria Schrier Garamendi Schweikert Lynch Garbarino Mace Scott (VA) Garcia (CA) Malinowski Scott, Austin Scott, David García (IL) Malliotakis Maloney, Carolyn B. Gibbs Sessions Gimenez Sewell. Golden Malonev. Sean Sherman Gomez Sherrill Mann Gonzales, Tony Manning Simpson Gonzalez (OH) Mast Sires Gooden (TX) Matsui Slotkin Smith (MO) McBath Gottheimer McCarthy Smith (NE) Granger Smith (NJ) Smith (WA) Graves (LA) McCau1 McClain Graves (MO)

Smucker Soto Spanberger Spartz Speier Stansbury Stanton Stauber Steel Stefanik Steil Steube Stevens Stewart Strickland Suozzi Swalwell Takano Taylor Tenney Arrington Biggs Bishop (NC) Boebert Buck Casten Cheney Deutch Doyle, Michael (TX)) Guest

Thompson (MS) Thompson (PA) Tiffany Timmons Titus Tonko Torres (CA) Torres (NY Trahan Trone Turner Underwood Upton Valadao Van Drew Van Duyne Vargas Veasev Velázquez Thompson (CA) Walherg NAYS-18

Gaetz Garcia (TX) Good (VA) Gosar Greene (GA) Hice (GA)

Massie Norman Pence Perry Rov Waters

Walorski

Wasserman

Schultz

Weber (TX)

Webster (FL)

Watson Coleman

Waltz

Welch

Wenstrup

Wexton

Wild

Westerman

Williams (GA)

Williams (TX)

Wilson (FL)

Wilson (SC)

Wittman

Womack

Yarmuth

Zeldin

NOT VOTING-10

Gohmert Gonzalez, Vicente Hollingsworth

Hover Kinzinger LaHood Tlaib

Rush (Jeffries)

Ryan (Beyer)

(Takano)

(Correa)

Rochester)

Costa

Craig

Crist

Crow

Cuellar

Curtis

Davids (KS)

Davis, Danny K.

Courtney

Crawford

Crenshaw

Jackson

Jayapal

Jeffries

Johnson (SD)

Johnson (TX)

Nadler

Napolitano

□ 1957

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS (LaHood)

Barragár (Beyer) Bass (Blunt Boebert (Gaetz) Brooks (Fleischmann) Brown (OH) (Beatty) Bucshon (Gibbs) Cárdenas (Correa) Crist (Wasserman Schultz) DeFazio (Stanton) Evans (Bever) Frankel, Lois

Schultz) Gomez (Garcia (Fleischmann) Johnson (SD)

Johnson (TX) (Jeffries) Sánchez (Garcia (TX)) Kirkpatrick (Pallone) Sewell (Beatty) Krishnamoorthi (García (IL)) Sherman (Bever) Sires (Pallone) Lamb (Blunt Rochester) Spartz (Banks) Strickland Leger Fernandez (Neguse) Suozzi (Bever) Loudermilk (Fleischmann) Swalwell Lowenthal Taylor (Fallon) (Beyer) Mace (Donalds) Thompson (PA) (Keller) McEachin Torres (NY) (Bever) Moore (WI) (Blunt (Beyer) Vargas (Takano) Moulton Walorski (Banks) (Neguse) Waters (Garcia (TX)) Neal (Beyer) Payne (Pallone) Welch (Pallone) Price (NC) (Manning) Wilson (FL) Ruiz (Correa) (Neguse)

WOMEN-OWNED SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAM TRANSPARENCY ACT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 7670) to amend the Small Business Act to require a report on small business concerns owned and controlled by women, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. VELÁZQUEZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 402, nays 19, not voting 6. as follows:

[Roll No. 251]

YEAS-402

Adams Dean Aderholt DeFazio Aguilar DeGette Allen DeLauro Allred DelBene Amodei Demings Armstrong DeSaulnier Auchincloss DesJarlais Axne Deutch Babin Diaz-Balart Bacon Dingell Doggett Donalds Baird Balderson Banks Duncan Barr Dunn Barragán Ellzey Bass Emmer Beatty Escobar Bentz Eshoo Bera Espaillat Bergman Estes Beyer Bice (OK) Evans Fallon Bilirakis Feenstra Bishop (GA) Ferguson Blumenauer Fischbach Blunt Rochester Fitzgerald Fitzpatrick Bonamici Bost. Fleischmann Bourdeaux Fletcher Bowman Foster Boyle, Brendan Foxx Frankel, Lois Brady Franklin, C Brooks Scott Brown (MD) Gallagher Brown (OH) Gallego Brownley Garamendi Buchanan Garbarino Garcia (CA) Buck Bucshon García (IL) Budd Garcia (TX) Burgess Gibbs Gimenez Bush Bustos Gohmert Butterfield Golden Calvert Gomez Gonzales, Tony Cammack Carbajal Gonzalez (OH) Cárdenas Gonzalez. Carey Vicente Gottheimer Carl Carson Granger Carter (GA) Graves (LA) Carter (LA) Graves (MO) Carter (TX) Green (TN) Cartwright Green, Al (TX) Case Griffith Casten Grijalva Castor (FL) Grothman Castro (TX) Guest Guthrie Cawthorn Chabot Harder (CA) Cherfilus-Harshbarger McCormick Hartzler Chu Cicilline Hayes Hern Clark (MA) Herrell Clarke (NY) Herrera Beutler Cleaver Higgins (LA) Higgins (NY) Cline Cloud Hill Clyburn Himes Hinson Cohen Cole Horsford Houlahan Comer Connolly Hudson Cooper Huffman Huizenga Correa

Jones Jordan Joyce (OH) Joyce (PA) Kahele Kaptur Katko Keating Keller Kelly (IL) Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Khanna Kildee Kilmer Kim (CA) Kim (NJ) Kind Kirkpatrick Krishnamoorthi Kuster Kustoff LaHood LaMalfa Lamb Lamborn Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latta LaTurner Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Leger Fernandez Lesko Letlow Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Lieu Lofgren Long Loudermilk Lowenthal Lucas Luetkemeyer Luria Lynch Mace Malinowski Malliotakis Malonev. Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean Mann Manning Mast Matsui McBath McCarthy McCaul McClainMcClintock McCollum McEachin McGovern McHenry McKinley McNerney Meeks Meijer Meng Meuser Mfume Miller (IL) Miller (WV) Miller-Meeks Moolenaar Mooney Moore (AL) Jackson Lee Moore (UT) Jacobs (CA) Moore (WI) Jacobs (NY) Morelle Moulton Mrvan Johnson (GA) Mullin Johnson (LA) Murphy (FL) Johnson (OH) Murphy (NC)

Salazar

Sánchez

Scalise

Scanlon

Schneider

Schrader

Schweikert

Scott (VA)

Scott, Austin

Scott, David

Sessions

Sherman

Sherrill

Simpson

Slotkin

Smith (MO)

Smith (NE)

Smith (NJ)

Smith (WA)

Spanberger

Stansbury

Stanton

Stauber

Stefanik

Steel

Steil

Steube

Stevens

Stewart

Suozzi

Strickland

Swalwell

Takano

Taylor

Smucker

Soto

Spartz

Speier

Sires

Sewell

Schrier

Schiff

Schakowsky

Sarbanes

Nea1 Neguse Nehls Newhouse Newman Norcross O'Halleran Obernolte Ocasio-Cortez Omar Owens Palazzo Palmer Panetta Pappas Pascrell Pavne Perlmutter Perry Peters Pfluger Phillips Pingree Pocan Porter Posev Pressley Price (NC) Quigley Raskin Reschenthaler Rice (NY) Rice (SC) Rodgers (WA) Rogers (AL) Rogers (KY) Rose Ross Roybal-Allard Ruiz Ruppersberger Rush Rutherford

Tenney Thompson (CA) Thompson (MS) Thompson (PA) Tiffany Timmons Titus Tlaib Tonko Torres (CA) Torres (NY) Trahan Trone Turner Underwood Upton Valadao Van Drew Van Duyne

Trone
Turner
Underwood
Upton
Valadao
Van Drew
Van Duyne
Vargas
Veasey
Velázquez
Wagner
Walberg
Walberg
Walberg
Waltz
Wasserman
Schultz
Waters
Watson Coleman
Weber (TX)
Webster (FL)
Welch

Welch
Wenstrup
Westerman
Wexton
Wild
Williams (GA)
Williams (TX)
Wilson (FL)
Wilson (SC)
Wittman
Womack
Yarmuth
Zeldin

Kinzinger

NAYS-19

Fulcher Arrington Massie Good (VA) Biggs Norman Bishop (NC) Gooden (TX) Pence Boebert Gosar Rosendale Burchett Greene (GA) Rov Clyde Harris Hice (GA) Davidson

NOT VOTING-6

Cheney Gaetz
Doyle, Michael Hollingsworth
F. Hoyer

□ 2004

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS Johnson (TX) Rush (Jeffries) Bass (Blunt (Jeffries) Rvan (Bever) Kirkpatrick Sánchez (Garcia Rochester) Boebert (Gaetz) (Pallone) (TX))Sewell (Beatty) Brooks Krishnamoorthi (Fleischmann) (García (IL)) Sherman (Beyer) Brown (OH) Lamb (Blunt Sires (Pallone) (Beatty) Rochester) Spartz (Banks) Bucshon (Gibbs) Leger Fernandez Strickland (Neguse) (Takano) Cárdenas Loudermilk (Correa) Suozzi (Beyer) Crist (Fleischmann) Swalwell (Wasserman Lowenthal (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) Schultz) (Bever) DeFazio Mace (Donalds) Thompson (PA) (Stanton) McEachin (Keller) Torres (NY) Evans (Bever) (Bever) Moore (WI) Frankel, Lois (Blunt (Wasserman (Beyer) Rochester) Schultz) Moulton Vargas (Takano) Gomez (Garcia (Neguse) Walorski (Banks) (TX)) Neal (Beyer) Waters (Garcia Guest Payne (Pallone) (TX)) (Fleischmann) Price (NC) Welch (Pallone) Johnson (SD) (Manning) Wilson (FL) Ruiz (Correa) (LaHood) (Neguse)

STRENGTHENING SUBCON-TRACTING FOR SMALL BUSI-NESSES ACT OF 2022

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 7694) to amend the Small Business Act to modify the requirements relating to the evaluation of the subcontracting plans of certain offerors, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered. The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from New York (Ms. VELÁZQUEZ) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 411, nays 11, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 252]

YEAS-411

Cherfilus-Adams Gaetz Aderholt McCormick Gallagher Chu Gallego Aguilar Allen Cicilline Garamendi Allred Clark (MA) Garbarino Amodei Clarke (NY) Garcia (CA) Armstrong Cleaver García (IL) Arrington Cline Garcia (TX) Auchincloss Cloud Gibbs Axne Clvburn Gimenez Babin Clyde Gohmert Bacon Cohen Golden Baird Cole Gomez Gonzales, Tony Balderson Comer Connolly Gonzalez (OH) Banks Barr Cooper Gonzalez. Barragár Vicente Correa Good (VA) Bass Costa Reatty Courtney Gooden (TX) Bentz Gottheimer Craig Crawford Granger Graves (LA) Bera Bergman Crenshaw Graves (MO) Beyer Crist Green (TN) Green, Al (TX) Bice (OK) **Bilirakis** Cuellar Bishop (GA) Griffith Curtis Bishop (NC) Davids (KS) Grijalva Blumenauer Davidson Grothman Blunt Rochester Davis, Danny K. Guest Davis, Rodney Guthrie Boebert Harder (CA) Bonamici Dean Bost DeFazio Harshbarger Bourdeaux DeGette Hartzler Bowman DeLauro Haves Boyle, Brendan DelBene Hern Demings Herrell Herrera Beutler Brady DeSaulnier Brooks DesJarlais Higgins (LA) Brown (MD) Deutch Higgins (NY) Brown (OH) Diaz-Balart Hill Brownley Dingell Himes Buchanan Doggett Hinson Donalds Horsford Bucshon Budd Duncan Houlahan Burchett Dunn Hudson Burgess Ellzey Huffman Bush Emmer Huizenga Bustos Escobar Issa. Butterfield Eshoo Jackson Espaillat Jackson Lee Calvert Cammack Estes Jacobs (CA) Jacobs (NY) Carbajal Evans Jayapal Cárdenas Fallon Carev Feenstra Jeffries Carl Ferguson Johnson (GA) Carson Fischbach Johnson (LA) Carter (GA) Johnson (OH) Fitzgerald Carter (LA) Fitzpatrick Johnson (SD) Carter (TX) Fleischmann Johnson (TX) Cartwright Fletcher Jones Case Foster Jordan Casten Foxx Frankel, Lois Joyce (OH) Castor (FL) Joyce (PA) Castro (TX) Franklin, C. Kahele Cawthorn Scott Kaptur Fulcher Chabot Katko

Keller Kelly (IL) Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Khanna Kildee Kilmer Kim (CA) Kim (NJ) Kirkpatrick Nea1 Krishnamoorthi Kustoff LaHood LaMalfa Lamb Lamborn Langevin Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Latta LaTurner Lawrence Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Leger Fernandez Lesko Letlow Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Lieu Lofgren Long Loudermilk Lowenthal Lucas Luetkemeyer Luria Lynch Mace Malinowski Malliotakis Maloney, Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean Mann Rose Manning Ross Mast Matsui McBath Ruiz McCarthy McCaul McClain Rush McClintock McCollum McEachin McGovern McHenry McKinley McNerney Meeks Meijer Meng Meuser Mfume Miller (IL) Miller (WV) Miller-Meeks Moolenaar Mooney

Sherman Moore (AL) Moore (UT) Sherrill Moore (WI) Simpson Morelle Sires Moulton Slotkin Mrvan Smith (MO) Mullin Smith (NE) Murphy (FL) Smith (NJ) Murphy (NC) Smith (WA) Nadler Smucker Napolitano Soto Spanberger Neguse Spartz Speier Newhouse Stansbury Newman Stanton Norcross Stauber O'Halleran Stee1 Obernolte Stefanik Ocasio-Cortez Steil Omar Steube Stevens Owens Palazzo Stewart Strickland Pallone Palmer Suozzi Panetta Swalwell Pappas Takano Pascrell Taylor Payne Tenney Thompson (CA) Pence Perlmutter Thompson (MS) Peters Thompson (PA) Pfluger Tiffany Phillips Timmons Titus Tlaib Pingree Pocan Porter Tonko Posey Torres (CA) Pressley Torres (NY) Price (NC) Trahan Quigley Trone Turner Raskin Reschenthaler Underwood Rice (NY) Upton Rice (SC) Valadao Rodgers (WA) Van Drew Rogers (AL) Van Duvne Rogers (KY) Vargas Veasey Velázquez Rouzer Wagner Roybal-Allard Walberg Walorski Ruppersberger Waltz Wasserman Rutherford Schultz Waters Rvan Salazar Watson Coleman Sánchez Weber (TX) Webster (FL) Sarbanes Scalise Welch Wenstrup Scanlon Schakowsky Westerman Schiff Wexton Schneider Wild Schrader Williams (GA) Schrier Williams (TX) Schweikert Wilson (FL) Scott (VA) Wilson (SC) Scott, Austin Wittman Scott, David Womack Sessions Yarmuth Sewell. Zeldin

NAYS—11

Biggs Buck Gosar Greene (GA) Harris Perry
Hice (GA) Rosendale
Massie Roy
Norman

NOT VOTING-5

Cheney Hollingsworth
Doyle, Michael Hoyer
F. Kinzinger

\square 2011

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mr. PERRY. Madam Speaker, on Roll Call No. 252, I mistakenly voted "no" when I intended to vote "yes".

Rosendale

Spanberger

Perry

Rice (SC)

Rodgers (WA)

Rogers (AL)

Rogers (KY)

Roybal-Allard

Ruppersberger

Rutherford

Rose

Ross

Ruiz

Rush

R.van

Salazar

Sánchez

Sarbanes

Scalise

Schiff

Scanlon

Schneider

Scott (VA)

Scott, Austin

Scott, David

Schrader

Schrier

Sessions

Sherman

Sherrill Simpson

Slotkin

Smith (MO)

Smith (NE)

Smith (NJ)

Smith (WA)

Smucker

Soto

Spartz

Speier

Stansbury

Stanton

Stauber

Stefanik

Stevens

Stewart

Suozzi

Swalwell

Thompson (CA)

Thompson (MS) Thompson (PA)

Takano

Tenney

Titus

Tlaib

Tonko

Trahan

Trone

Turner

Upton

Valadao

Vargas

Veasey

Wagner

Walberg

Waltz

Waters

Welch

Wenstrup

Wexton

Wild

Westerman

Williams (GA)

Williams (TX)

Wilson (FL)

Wittman

Womack

Yarmuth

Zeldin

Walorski

Wasserman

Schultz

Weber (TX)

Webster (FL)

Watson Coleman

Velázquez

Van Drew

Van Duyne

Torres (CA)

Torres (NY)

Underwood

Strickland

Steel

Steil

Sires

Sewell

Rouzer

Lamb

Latta

LaTurner

Lawrence

Lamborn

Langevin

Larsen (WA)

Larson (CT)

Ellzey

Emmer

Escobar

Espaillat

Feenstra.

Ferguson

Fischbach

Fletcher

Foster

Foxx

Fitzpatrick

Fleischmann

Frankel, Lois

Franklin, C.

Scott

Gallagher

Garamendi

Garbarino

Garcia (CA)

García (IL)

Garcia (TX)

Gonzales, Tony

Gonzalez (OH)

Gibbs

Gimenez

Gohmert

Gonzalez

Granger

Griffith

Grijalya.

Vicente

Gottheimer

Graves (LA)

Graves (MO)

Green, Al (TX)

Golden

Gomez

Gallego

Fulcher

Gaetz

Eshoo

Evans

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer) Bass (Blunt Rochester) Boebert (Gaetz) Brooks (Fleischmann) Brown (OH) (Beatty) Bucshon (Gibbs) Cárdenas (Correa) (Wasserman Schultz) DeFazio (Stanton) Evans (Beyer) Frankel, Lois (Wasserman Schultz) Gomez (Garcia (TX)) Guest (Fleischmann) Johnson (SD) (LaHood)

Johnson (TX) (Jeffries) Kirkpatrick (Pallone) Krishnamoorthi (García (IL)) Lamb (Blunt Rochester) Leger Fernandez (Neguse) Loudermilk (Fleischmann) Lowenthal (Beyer) Mace (Donalds) McEachin (Beyer) Moore (WI)

(Bever)

(Neguse)

Neal (Beyer)

Price (NC)

Payne (Pallone)

(Manning)

Ruiz (Correa)

Moulton

Rush (Jeffries) Rvan (Bever) Sánchez (Garcia (TX)) Sewell (Beatty) Sherman (Beyer) Sires (Pallone) Spartz (Banks) Strickland (Takano) Suozzi (Beyer) Swalwell (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) Thompson (PA) (Keller) Torres (NY) (Blunt Rochester) Vargas (Takano) Walorski (Banks) Waters (Garcia Welch (Pallone)

Wilson (FL)

WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT OF 2022

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 7776) to provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. DEFA-ZIO) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 384, nays 37, not voting 6, as follows:

[Roll No. 253]

Brown (OH)

Brownley

YEAS-384

Adams Aderholt Aguilar Allen Allred Amodei Armstrong Arrington Auchincloss Axne Babin Bacon Balderson Barr Barragán Bass Beatty Bergman Beyer Bice (OK) Bilirakis Bishop (GA) Blumenauer Blunt Rochester Bonamici Bost Bourdeaux Bowman Boyle, Brendan Brady Brooks Brown (MD)

Buchanan Cohen Bucshon Cole Budd Comer Burchett Connolly Burgess Cooper Bush Correa Bustos Costa Butterfield Courtney Craig Calvert Cammack Crawford Carbajal Crenshaw Cárdenas Crist Carev Crow Cuellar Carl Carson Curtis Carter (GA) Davids (KS) Davis, Danny K. Carter (LA) Carter (TX) Davis, Rodney Dean Cartwright DeFazio Casten DeGette Castor (FL) DeLauro Castro (TX) DelBene Cawthorn Demings Chabot DeSaulnier DesJarlais Cheney Cherfilus-Deutch McCormick Diaz-Balart Chu Cicilline Dingell Doggett Clark (MA) Donalds Clarke (NY) Duncan Cleaver Dunn

Cloud

Clyburn

Guthrie Harder (CA) Hartzler Haves Hern Herrell Herrera Beutler Higgins (LA) Higgins (NY) Hill Himes Hinson Horsford Houlahan Hudson Huffman Huizenga Issa Jackson Jackson Lee Jacobs (CA) Jacobs (NY) Jayapal Jeffries Johnson (GA) Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Johnson (SD) Johnson (TX) Jones Joyce (OH) Joyce (PA) Kahele Kaptur Katko Keating Keller Kelly (IL) Kelly (MS) Kelly (PA) Khanna Kildee Kilmer Kim (CA) Kim (NJ) Kirkpatrick Krishnamoorthi Kuster Kustoff LaHood LaMalfa Banks Bentz

Lawson (FL) Lee (CA) Lee (NV) Leger Fernandez Letlow Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Lieu Lofgren Long Loudermilk Lowenthal Lucas Luetkemever Luria Lynch Mace Malinowski Malliotakis Malonev. Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean Mann Manning Mast Matsui McBath McCarthy McCaul McClain McClintock McCollum McEachin McGovern McHenry McKinley McNerney Meeks Meijer Meng Meuser Mfume Miller (IL) Miller (WV) Miller-Meeks Moolenaar Mooney Moore (UT) Moore (WI) Morelle Moulton Mrvan Mullin Murphy (NC) Nadler Napolitano Nea1 Neguse Newhouse Newman Norcross O'Halleran Obernolte Ocasio-Cortez Omar Owens Palazzo Pallone Palmer Panetta Pappas Pascrell Payne Perlmutter Peters Pfluger Phillips Pingree Pocan Porter Posey Pressley Price (NC) Quigley Raskin Reschenthaler

NAYS-37 Biggs Bishop (NC)

Rice (NY)

Boebert Buck

Clyde Davidson Estes Fallon Fitzgerald Good (VA) Gooden (TX) Gosar Green (TN) Greene (GA)

Doyle, Michael

Hollingsworth Schakowsky

Harshbarger Roy Hice (GA) Schweikert Jordan Steube Lesko Taylor Massie Tiffany Moore (AL) Timmons Nehls Wilson (SC) Norman Pence

NOT VOTING-6

Hoyer Kinzinge Murphy (FL)

Grothman

Harris

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). There are 2 minutes remaining.

□ 2019

Mr. TAYLOR changed his vote from "yea" to "nay.

Mr. FULCHER changed his vote from "nay" to "yea."

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer) Johnson (TX) Bass (Blunt (Jeffries) Rochester) Kirkpatrick Boebert (Gaetz) (Pallone) Krishnamoorthi Brooks (Fleischmann) (García (IL)) Lamb (Blunt Brown (OH) (Beatty) Bucshon (Gibbs) Rochester) Leger Fernandez (Neguse) Cárdenas Loudermilk (Correa) Crist (Fleischmann) (Wasserman Lowenthal Schultz) (Beyer) DeFazio Mace (Donalds) (Stanton) McEachin Evans (Beyer) Frankel, Lois (Beyer) Moore (WI) (Wasserman (Beyer) Schultz) Moulton Gomez (Garcia (Neguse) (TX)) Neal (Beyer) Guest (Fleischmann) Payne (Pallone) Price (NC) Johnson (SD) (Manning)

(LaHood)

Rush (Jeffries) Ryan (Beyer) Sánchez (Garcia (TX)) Sewell (Beatty) Sherman (Beyer) Sires (Pallone) Spartz (Banks) Strickland (Takano) Suozzi (Beyer) Swalwell (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) Thompson (PA) (Keller) Torres (NY) (Blunt Rochester) Vargas (Takano) Walorski (Banks) Waters (Garcia (TX)Welch (Pallone) Wilson (FL) (Neguse)

FOOD AND DRUG AMENDMENTS OF

Ruiz (Correa)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 7667) to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to revise and extend the user-fee programs for prescription drugs, medical devices, generic drugs, and biosimilar biological products, and for other purposes, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. PALLONE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 392, nays 28, not voting 7, as follows:

[Roll No. 254]

Kilmer

Kind

Kuster

Kustoff

LaHood

LaMalfa

Lamborn

Langevin

LaTurner

Lawrence

Lee (CA)

Lee (NV)

Lesko

Letlow

Lieu

Lofgren

Lucas

Luria

Lynch

Mace

Mann

Manning

Matsui

McBath

McCaul

McClain

McEachin

McHenry

McKinley

McNerney

Meeks

Meijer

Meuser

Morelle

Moulton

Mrvan

Mullin

Nadler

Neguse

Newhouse

Newman

Norcross

Nehls

Omar

Owens

Palazzo

Pallone

Palmer

Panetta

Pappas

Payne

Pence

Peters

Pfluger

Kelly (IL) Kelly (MS)

Kelly (PA)

Khanna Kildee

Pascrell

Perlmutter

Nea1

Meng

McCarthy

Maloney,

Rose

Ross

Rush

Lamb

Latta

Kim (CA)

Kim (NJ)

YEAS-392 Adams DeSaulnier Aderholt DesJarlais Diaz-Balart Aguilar Allen Dingell Allred Doggett Amodei Duncan Armstrong Arrington Ellzey Auchincloss Emmer Axne Escobar Babin Eshoo Espaillat Bacon Baird Estes Balderson Evans Banks Fallon Barr Feenstra Barragán Ferguson Bass Fischbach Beatty Fitzgerald Fitzpatrick Bentz Fleischmann Bera. Fletcher Bergman Beyer Bice (OK) Foster Foxx Bilirakis Frankel, Lois Bishop (GA) Franklin, C. Blumenauer Scott Blunt Rochester Fulcher Bonamici Gallagher Gallego Bost Bourdeaux Garamendi Bowman Garbarino Boyle, Brendan Garcia (CA) García (IL) Brady Garcia (TX) Brown (MD) Gibbs Brown (OH) Gimenez Brownley Golden Buchanan Gomez Bucshon Gonzales, Tony Budd Gonzalez (OH) Burchett Gonzalez, Burgess Vicente Gottheimer Bush Granger Graves (LA) Bustos Butterfield Graves (MO) Calvert Cammack Green (TN) Green, Al (TX) Carbaial Cárdenas Griffith Grijalva Carey Carl Grothman Carson Guest Carter (GA) Guthrie Harder (CA) Carter (LA) Harshbarger Carter (TX) Cartwright Hartzler Case Haves Casten Hern Castor (FL) Herrell Herrera Beutler Castro (TX) Chabot Hice (GA) Higgins (NY) Cherfilus-McCormick Hill Chu Himes Cicilline Hinson Horsford Clark (MA) Houlahan Clarke (NY) Cleaver Hudson Cline Huffman Clyburn Huizenga Clyde Issa. Jackson Cohen Jackson Lee Cole Comer Jacobs (CA) Connolly Jacobs (NY) Cooper Jayapal Correa Jeffries Costa Johnson (GA) Courtney Johnson (LA) Johnson (OH) Craig Crawford Johnson (SD) Crenshaw Johnson (TX) Crist Jones Jordan Crow Cuellar Joyce (OH) Joyce (PA) Curtis Davids (KS) Kahele Davis, Danny K. Davis, Rodney Kaptur Katko Dean Keating

DeFazio

DeGette

DeLauro

DelBene

Demings

Kirknatrick Krishnamoorthi Larsen (WA) Larson (CT) Lawson (FL) Leger Fernandez Levin (CA) Levin (MI) Long Loudermilk Lowenthal Luetkemeyer Malinowski Malliotakis Carolyn B. Maloney, Sean McClintock McCollum McGovern Mfume Miller (WV) Miller-Meeks Moolenaar Moore (AL) Moore (UT) Moore (WI) Murphy (FL) Murphy (NC) Napolitano O'Halleran Obernolte Ocasio-Cortez

Buck

Scott, David Phillips Torres (CA) Pingree Sessions Torres (NY) Pocan Sewell Trahan Porter Sherman Trone Posev Sherrill Turner Pressley Simpson Underwood Price (NC) Upton Slotkin Quigley Valadao Smith (MO) Raskin Van Drew Reschenthaler Smith (NE) Van Duvne Rice (NY) Smith (NJ) Vargas Rice (SC) Smith (WA) Veasev Rodgers (WA) Smucker Velázquez Rogers (AL) Soto Wagner Rogers (KY) Spanherger Walberg Spartz Walorski Speier Waltz Rouzer Stansbury Wasserman Roybal-Allard Stanton Schultz Stauber Waters Ruppersberger Steel Watson Coleman Stefanik Weber (TX) Rutherford Steil Webster (FL) Ryan Stevens Welch Salazar Stewart Wenstrup Sánchez Strickland Westerman Sarbanes Suozzi Wexton Swalwell Scalise Wild Takano Scanlon Williams (GA) Tenney Schakowsky Thompson (CA) Williams (TX) Schiff Schneider Thompson (MS) Wilson (FL) Schrader Thompson (PA) Wilson (SC) Schrier Timmons Wittman Womack Schweikert Titus Scott (VA) Tlaib Yarmuth Scott, Austin Tonko Zeldin NAYS-28 Gohmert Biggs Mooney Bishop (NC) Good (VA) Norman Boebert Gooden (TX) Perry Brooks Rosendale Gosar Greene (GA) Roy Cawthorn ${\rm Harris}$ Steube Higgins (LA) Cloud Tavlor Davidson Massie Tiffany Donalds Mast Miller (IL) Gaetz NOT VOTING-7 Cheney Doyle, Michael Deutch Keller Hollingsworth Kinzinger □ 2027

So (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MEMBERS RECORDED PURSUANT TO HOUSE RESOLUTION 8, 117TH CONGRESS

Barragán (Beyer) Johnson (TX) Rush (Jeffries) Bass (Blunt (Jeffries) Ryan (Beyer) Kirkpatrick Sánchez (Garcia Rochester) (Pallone) Boebert (Gaetz) (TX))Sewell (Beatty) Brooks Krishnamoorthi (Fleischmann) (García (IL)) Sherman (Beyer) Brown (OH) Lamb (Blunt Sires (Pallone) (Beatty) Rochester) Spartz (Banks) Bucshon (Gibbs) Leger Fernandez Strickland Cárdenas (Neguse) (Takano) Loudermilk Suozzi (Beyer) (Correa) Crist (Fleischmann) Swalwell (Correa) Taylor (Fallon) (Wasserman Lowenthal Schultz) (Bever) Mace (Donalds) DeFazio Thompson (PA) (Keller) Torres (NY) (Stanton) McEachin Evans (Bever) (Bever) Frankel, Lois Moore (WI) (Blunt Rochester) Vargas (Takano) (Wasserman (Beyer) Schultz) Moulton Gomez (Garcia (Neguse) Walorski (Banks) (TX))Neal (Beyer) Waters (Garcia Guest Payne (Pallone) (TX)) (Fleischmann) Price (NC) Welch (Pallone) Johnson (SD) (LaHood) (Manning) Wilson (FL) Ruiz (Correa) (Neguse)

□ 2030

PERMISSION FOR MEMBER TO BE CONSIDERED AS FIRST SPONSOR OF H.RES. 921

Mr. NORMAN. Madam Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that I may hereafter be considered to be the first sponsor of H. Res. 921, a resolution origiintroduced by Representative Hagedorn of Minnesota, for the purpose of adding cosponsors and requesting reprintings pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection.

RESIGNATION ASMEMBER. OF COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SE-CURITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following resignation as a member of the Committee on Homeland Security:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, DC, June 8, 2022.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,

Speaker, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER PELOSI: I write to respectfully tender my resignation as a member of the Committee on Homeland Security. It has been an honor to serve in this capacity.

Sincerely.

RALPH NORMAN. Member of Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the resignation is accepted. There was no objection.

RESIGNATION AS **MEMBER** OF COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERVICES

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following resignation as a member of the Committee on Financial Services:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES. House of Representatives, Washington, DC, June 8, 2022.

Hon. NANCY PELOSI,

Speaker, House of Representatives,

Washington, DC.

DEAR SPEAKER PELOSI, I write to respectfully tender my resignation as a member of the House Financial Services Committee. It has been an honor to serve in this capacity. Sincerely.

DAVID KUSTOFF. Member of Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the resignation is accepted. There was no objection.

ELECTING MEMBERS TO CERTAIN STANDING COMMITTEES OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. McCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Republican Conference, I send to the desk a privileged resolution and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 1159

Resolved, That the following named Members be, and are hereby, elected to the following standing committees of the House of Representatives:

COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS: Mr. Kustoff.

COMMITTEE ON FINANCIAL SERVICES: Mr.

Norman.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there

objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REQUEST TO CONSIDER S. 4160, SU-PREME COURT POLICE PARITY ACT

(Mr. McCARTHY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. McCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, earlier today, a man was arrested for plotting

to kill Justice Kavanaugh.

Over a month ago, the Senate unanimously passed a bill to provide more security for Supreme Court Justices and their families, but House Democrats have let that bill gather dust. This is the same party whose heated rhetoric has encouraged political pressure on conservative Justices.

Former White House Press Secretary Jen Psaki said:

I know that there is an outrage right now, I guess, about protests that have been peaceful to date, and we certainly do continue to encourage that, outside judges' homes, and that is the President's position.

And Majority Leader SCHUMER said:

I want to tell you, Justice Kavanaugh and Justice Gorsuch, you have unleashed a whirl-wind, and you will pay the price. You won't know what hit you if you go forward with these awful decisions.

Mr. Speaker, with words like that and threats like these, Congress cannot afford to wait. We have a duty to protect the Court, the Justices, and their families from political violence and intimidation. And we can meet that duty tonight before the House adjourns by sending this bill to the President's desk.

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table S. 4160, Supreme Court Police Parity Act, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under guidelines consistently issued by successive Speakers, as recorded in section 956 of the House Rules and Manual, the Chair is constrained not to entertain the request unless it has been cleared by the bipartisan floor and committee leaderships.

Mr. McCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, it is cleared on the Republican side.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentleman stating a parliamentary inquiry?

Mr. McCARTHY. No. I am just stating there is no objection on the Republican side, so that would only be the Democrats holding the bill up.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

WILBUR DUMAS' 100TH BIRTHDAY

(Mr. CARTER of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CARTER of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in honor of the 100th birthday of Wilbur Dumas, a veteran and a well-accomplished Georgian.

Wilbur was born in McRae, Georgia, in 1922 to James and Effie Dumas. In 1943, he married the love of his life, Pollie Snow, in Morven, Georgia.

Following his marriage, he was drafted into the Army in 1944 during World War II and served in the Pacific. Wilbur was in the Tokyo Bay on September 2, 1945, when the official instrument of surrender was signed by the Japanese on the USS Missouri.

After the war, he and Pollie moved to Port Wentworth where they raised a daughter and two sons. They bought a house on Commonwealth Avenue in 1951 where Wilbur still resides to this day. Wilbur retired from Kaiser Agricultural Chemical Plant in Port Wentworth in 1984.

Unlike many, Wilbur lived to see his 100th birthday this past May, and it was an honor and a privilege to celebrate his birthday with him at our home church at Port Wentworth United Methodist Church.

I wish Mr. Dumas a happy 100th birthday.

What an amazing achievement.

REQUEST TO CONSIDER S. 4160, SU-PREME COURT POLICE PARITY ACT

(Mrs. McCLAIN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mrs. McCLAIN. Mr. Speaker, today an armed man with the intent to kill justice Kavanaugh was arrested near the Justice's home. The Senate unanimously passed a bipartisan bill that would provide security to the Justices and their families. Yet House Democrats have refused to act on it.

Unlike the bills we voted on today, we actually have a bipartisan, commonsense solution to protect one of our Nation's most sacred institutions. Therefore, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table S. 4160 and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. As the Chair previously advised, that request cannot be entertained absent appropriate clearance.

THE CONSTITUTION IS ABSOLUTE

(Ms. FOXX asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. FOXX. Mr. Speaker, we have certainly heard our fair share of hot air

from Democrats as they attack the Constitution and the rights of Americans

A Member from Rhode Island recently said that the constitutional rights of the American people are BS. President Biden claimed that the Second Amendment was not absolute.

This is a new and dangerous standard that is being set—that the Constitution is merely a doormat that a Member of Congress wipes his or her feet on.

It will surely pain my Democrat colleagues to hear this, but I will say it anyway: the Constitution is absolute.

If they have a problem respecting our founding document, then why did they take a take an oath to protect and defend it in the first place?

Perhaps they need to do some soul searching.

$\begin{array}{c} {\tt NUCLEAR} \ \ {\tt ENERGY} \ \ {\tt IS} \ \ {\tt RENEWABLE} \\ {\tt ENERGY} \end{array}$

(Mr. LaMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LaMALFA. Mr. Speaker, it is not just American oil and natural gas energies that are under attack. Another one of the Nation's top sources of energy, nuclear generation, has faced repeated operational obstacles and is facing threats of extinction.

Nuclear power is one of the best choices for renewable energy we have. It is consistent, it runs 24/7, and it isn't intermittent or dependent on the weather like wind or solar. It takes up a small physical footprint, such as California's sole remaining reactors at Diablo Canyon, take up only 900 acres of land and provides nearly 10 percent of California's energy portfolio and 23 percent of our carbon-free generation that everybody is so worried about.

It is affordable. Although power plants are expensive to build, like most things are, they are relatively cheap to

Interestingly, nuclear generation has recently garnered widespread bipartisan support. Recently, last month, the Biden administration launched a \$6 billion Federal effort to keep nuclear power plants open that are at risk of closing. Even California Governor Gavin Newsom seems to have reversed his opinion on nuclear energy and has expressed some level of support to keep Diablo Canyon open.

Nuclear energy has had an unfair bad rap from past incidents, most notably Chernobyl. Well, this isn't the 1980s Soviet Union. This is the United States. We have the innovation and technological advances to make nuclear energy a cornerstone of our future in energy independence. We need to hang on to it.

PAYING TRIBUTE

(Ms. JACKSON LEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I come tonight to pay tribute to the Wheeler Avenue Baptist Church in Houston, Texas, celebrating its 60th anniversary—its diamond anniversary—and to thank the senior pastor, Dr. Marcus Cosby, and, of course, the pastor emeritus, the world renowned Rev. Dr. William A. Lawson.

The leadership of Pastor Cosby is founded in his own spiritual grounding and as well his commitment, dedication, and respect for Pastor Lawson and his family.

It is an interesting church now called the cathedral, and it is a place where people can come for refuge. It is a place of intellect and genius because of its many members of great talent.

But, oh, they are the Good Samaritans. They are reminded as a church body that it is their goal and their responsibility to feed the hungry and those without clothes, those who need housing, and as well to open its doors to civic participation.

This is a place that was founded by Pastor Lawson and his wife, the late Audrey Lawson, in their living room 60 years ago as Pastor Lawson was, in fact, being the Pastor for TSU's, Texas Southern University, students.

Mr. Speaker, I congratulate Wheeler Avenue Baptist Church because they are a church of action, service, and love of God. Bless them for their 60th anniversary.

CRISIS AT THE SOUTHERN BORDER

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 4, 2021, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. GROTHMAN) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. GROTHMAN. Mr. Speaker, there are a variety of topics that I think have not been discussed enough lately but I think should be addressed on the floor.

We have been gone for 2 weeks prior to reconvening this week, and in that time I took one more trip to the southern border. I took the trip to the border because other than arguably the threat of an absolute catastrophe over the Ukraine, it is easily the biggest cause for concern in this country at this time.

□ 2045

I went down to McAllen, Texas, with 11 other Congressmen. Rather than having a trip of twelve which, as I understand, is the biggest group that has been at the border since COVID, we should have had 80 or 90 people there to see what is going on.

Prior to talking about things that I saw on the trip, I will talk about the size of the problem, because the numbers tell the story. In the most recent April, we let 183,000 people in the country.

Frequently, the press, for whatever reason, and some politicians, talk

about the number of people who have contact with the Border Patrol. 183,000 is the number who actually came in the country. A year ago in April, that number was 66,000. And 2 years ago it was 6,000. So we have gone from 6,000 let in the country in April of 2020, April of 2021, 66,000 and, most recently, 183,000.

These numbers are staggering. The press should be reporting every monthly number with banner headlines. Perhaps, because the story seems old, they get tired of reporting it. But it is a more significant story every month.

Of those 183,000, about 60,000 are what we refer to as gotaways; that is, not people who checked in at the Border Patrol and got a cursory review. They are people who did not touch the Border Patrol at all. We don't know whether they were sneaking in this country with drugs. We don't know whether they had diseases. We don't know whether they have criminal background problems. We don't know.

So from 6,000 to 66,000 to 183,000. Who knows what it is going to be by this time next year. Obviously, it is changing America dramatically.

We do have ways to come in this country legally. We like to make sure that the future America is people who have proved themselves, law-abiding, hardworking. Here we have no idea who we are getting.

I point out that one of the reasons more people are sneaking across the border is that, as we have approximately 120,000 people who check in with the Border Patrol, the Border Patrol has to spend more of their time doing paperwork and not doing what they want to do, which is guard the border. That is why we are having a much more significant number of people come here without any contact whatsoever.

The Biden administration says they inherited a mess. They inherited 6,000~a month; and now we are at 183,000~a month.

As far as other observations along the Rio Grande, the heartlessness of the Mexican drug cartels continues to be shown. When they want to get drugs here, because they know the Border Patrol is understaffed, what they do is they send a group of people across the river, and they know the Border Patrol will be obligated to deal with that group of people. And then they send another group of people, say, a mile or 2 miles further on down or further up river, where they know they can get across with their drugs.

They also know the cartels are so brutal and heartless that they will throw a young child overboard in the Rio Grande because they know our ethical Border Patrol will save that child, rather than deal with the people who are sneaking across, perhaps sneaking across with drugs.

For the first time since I have been at the border—I have been down there several times, in part, due to my subcommittee assignment, the fact that I

am a ranking member. The people who showed me the Rio Grande pointed out at the bend of a river, here is where we have a lot of Chinese come across.

Again, I think people are under the impression these are largely Mexican, Central American folks. I was surprised to hear from my guide that they pointed out this is the bend where we see a lot of Chinese coming across; which is by the way, typical of what I have seen in other regions. This is people from all around the world.

They also pointed out that one of the reasons so many people are coming here, they come here because the drug cartels are making money off them and advertising on social media all around the world, be it Central America, be it Brazil, be it Peru, be it India, or Pakistan, or Bangladesh, the drug cartels are making money on these folks.

The further you come, the more they make per person. Maybe they are getting six or \$7,000 for Mexican, maybe 9 or 10,000 for Central American, maybe 15 or \$20,000 from Asia.

One more time—and I repeat things up here I find almost hard to believe. But one more time, I was told by the Border Patrol that right now the Mexican drug cartels make more money smuggling people across the border than drugs, and that is really saying something.

So, in addition to the other problems, we are strengthening the financial hold the drug cartels have on Mexico and, to a lesser degree, on the United States.

It is not rocket science how to stop this. You stop it by going back to the migrant protection protocol in which people coming here were held in Mexico pending a hearing.

When I talk about the non getaways, about 120,000 a month, these folks are let in the United States and told to show up for a hearing at some time. They rarely show up.

When people are paying 10 or \$15,000 to get this far, they would not come here in the first place if they were on the Mexican side of the border. People are not going to pay \$15,000 to sit in Mexico and hope a hearing goes their way.

So we should go back to the common sense provisions we had just a year and a half ago, and we would quickly get back down to the six or 10 or 15,000 a month, rather than the massive amount that is coming across the border.

Another benefit of not having so many people cross the border, is we would decrease the number, the horrifically high number of drug overdoses we have in America. These numbers have been repeated, but it is another story that I think the press is dropping the ball on because they think because it is an old story it is not an important story.

When I was elected to this job 7 years ago, about 47,000 Americans were dying every year of drug overdoses. That is now over 110,000. By comparison, 57,000 American troops died in Vietnam. Over

twice as many Americans die every year from drug overdoses in this country as died in 12 years in Vietnam.

The apathy of the Biden administration on these numbers and, quite frankly, the apathy from the people in this Chamber is stunning. 110,000 people are dying. Not only does that, by itself, mean we have to do a lot more at the border, we should greatly increase the penalties for people who smuggle fentanyl or sell fentanyl in this country.

Think about that. 110,000 people. Think about their parents. Think about their children. Think about their siblings as, for the rest of their life, they are going to carry that death with them

And what do we get from this administration? Nothing. The border is not a priority. We don't care how much fentanyl comes here.

So again, my request to this body and the request from the Biden administration, show a little bit of sympathy for the families, where the people who use these drugs die, and care a little bit about the future of America.

In some years, over 800,000 people are sworn in as new citizens. Nobody complains. They have been in the country 5 or 6 years. They have proven they are not going to go on welfare. They have proven they are not going to commit crimes. I have attended their ceremonies. They make one feel very good about being an American. I don't know why we wouldn't want to have everybody come here under those circumstances, rather than this massive increase of people coming across the border.

The next topic which should be discussed, and every Congressman who didn't spend the last 2 weeks in their house heard about it, is the dramatic amount of inflation that is out here.

One thing that bothers me, and it bothers me about my own party, is I don't think we spend enough time talking about where the inflation came from. It came from excessive government spending and the need of the Federal Reserve to, in essence, print money to deal with that spending.

I point out that this was not a surprise. I personally—I could say who am I—personally pointed out at the time the original stimulus package that President Biden signed almost immediately upon getting—coming into office, the \$1.9 trillion stimulus package, predictably caused this dramatic increase in cost of food, cost of oil, certainly, cost of housing.

I remind people that Larry Summers, key economist, key economic adviser to Barack Obama, said at the time that this was the least responsible economic policy in 40 years. That is what Barack Obama's economic adviser said.

But, instead, we got from the other side of the aisle, they ignored Mr. Summers' concerns. They ignored the concerns of Republicans. And upon President Biden taking office, whoosh, a new \$1.9 trillion stimulus package.

Soon thereafter, they added to that an over \$1 trillion infrastructure bill which was bipartisan because they got a few irresponsible Republican Senators to vote for it. But, again, outside of regular budget process, these massive bills.

And predictably, what happened is what Larry Summers and, quite frankly, me and many Republicans said was going to happen, dramatic increase in inflation; huge increases in the money supply; increases in the money supply even greater than what we saw in the 1970s under the Carter inflation.

It is such a dangerous path we are taking. And I think, in the future, as President Biden continues to ask for more spending, and I think the level of spending he asked for in the regular budget is excessive in its own right; over 12 percent increase in nondefense spending there. It would be good if not just the Republicans, because we are in the minority, but the Democrats as well would stand up to President Biden and say no.

We cannot have any more inflation. Inflation used to be known—and what it is is a regressive tax on the public. The average person spends more on gas—the average middle-class person spends more on gas than the wildly well-off person. They spend more on housing. They spend more on food.

This is a policy that harms the middle class and harms the poor, quite frankly, far more than the billionaires which have such influence politically and get so involved in the last election.

I know there were times where Republicans stood up to President Trump when he wanted to issue new checks on some of this COVID bills and voted "no." It would be refreshing in the future if we had some Democrats stand up and say, I voted for you President Biden, but this spending was just irresponsible and out of control, and I cannot stand with you.

I would love it, in the appropriation bills, if we pass—in the current fiscal year, had no increase at all. That is not a dramatic thing. They should be cut dramatically. But it would be good if both the Republicans and Democrats got together and said we are going to go back to sequester sort of increases: things that we saw under Barack Obama even, and try to hold the increases into under 1 percent, or perhaps nothing, because it is going to take quite a while to recover for the excessive COVID spending, as well as the huge stimulus package that President Biden led off with.

This is not something that was a surprise. It was not something that was caused by Ukraine. It was something that every middle schooler should know. You cannot just print money and not have a huge impact on inflation.

The next thing we are going to look at here tonight is, quite frankly, the reason I ran for the job, and what I thought was the major problem in America, and still believe, other than

the border, which maybe is nudged by it, or the threat of some catastrophic war in Europe, and that is the role that the Federal government has played in the breakdown of the American family.

The number of children born without a father in the home was under 5 percent before World War II, and kind of in the golden age of America, that is what was the norm.

It is hard to believe anybody would not want the nuclear family to be the standard that American children grow up in.

□ 2100

However, we do know that Karl Marx—and there is this romance with socialism that permeates part of the Democrat Party, permeates a lot of our academic institutions. Karl Marx felt that we had to destroy the American family.

As I have said many times before, Black Lives Matter, on their website around the time of the last campaign, said that they wanted to get rid of the Western-proscribed nuclear family.

First of all, it is an insult to the rest of the world because families with mothers and fathers at home are common in Asia, common in Africa, common everywhere.

But in any event, it is apparent that the powerful Black Lives Matter at least said they wanted to get rid of the nuclear family. Karl Marx wanted to get rid of the nuclear family.

What happened in America that we went from very few children not having a mom and dad at home to working our way to 40 percent of the children born in America without mom and dad at home?

It didn't just happen. It happened because this institution, beginning with who I think is the worst President in the country's history until now, Lyndon Johnson, began a war on the family. I guess he called it a war on poverty. He really should have called it a war on the family.

He began to put the government in a position in which they would take care of the children instead of both parents—traditionally, the father. So, in other words, they substituted the government for the father in the home.

Quickly, we reached the point where it ramped up from the middle sixties to the nineties, then dipped a little with welfare reform in the sixties, and now is on the upward climb. Forty percent of children go home to one parent.

There are some parents who are able to swing it and do a good job, but let's face it: It is much more difficult to raise kids in that circumstance, and the statistics show it.

So, how has this body responded? It has responded by increasing the incentives to not form a nuclear family. Right now, all the government transfer payments, be it medical care, be it food stamps, be it low-income housing, be it the earned income tax payment, be it the TANF payments, are all conditioned upon families being in poverty.

A lot of people considered in poverty I don't think we would consider in poverty. They maybe have an air-conditioned apartment. They may have iPhones. They may have cars. But you are considered in poverty if you are not earning a great deal of money. And the bag of things you are getting can, in many cases, exceed that of what a working parent, frequently a father, in the home can provide.

The government says provided you don't get married to a guy with an income or much of an income, we are going to give you a free apartment, frequently a nicer apartment than people who are not in the system yet. We are going to give you free food.

When I talk to the clerks at the grocery stores and such, they frequently say the food one gets is more expensive than the clerks who work at the grocery stores can afford.

When you give free healthcare, when you give additional checks of some basis, TANF checks, you can quickly wind up in a situation in which, like I said, you are better off financially not getting married.

This was, I think, the biggest policy mistake we have seen in America, perhaps in hundreds of years, the decision in the 1960s to, in essence, have the government replace the husband.

I think so many of the problems in America today, including the crime problems that we addressed or tried to address in the gun bills that were passed earlier today, actually wouldn't be anywhere near the problem they are had we not done what we could to destroy the American family as Karl Marx wanted.

It is the bag of things one gets. Efforts have been made throughout the last year and a half, some successful, some not, to push more and more money in the system for people or for single parents—could be a man, could be a woman—who are not married to someone with much of an income, the efforts made to increase the earned income tax credit, the increases in the food stamps, the efforts made to put more low-income housing out there.

I remember, too, as I mentioned, I talked to some of the admittedly more liberal people who administer the low-

income housing. I asked them: What about the program don't you like?

Well, it is a good program I am glad to be part of here, but these people are getting nicer housing than I am getting.

It kind of reminds me like when you talk to the clerk at the grocery store. The people in the system are getting nicer groceries than the people not. The people getting the low-income housing, once they get off the waiting list, are sometimes getting better accommodations than people who are off on their own, particularly couples starting out.

In any event, I think if there is one thing I would like to have the Republican Party do, if we ever do get both Houses and the Presidency again, is to make a concerted effort to change these welfare programs so never again will the United States be in the business of encouraging families without both parents, particularly encouraging families without a father at home.

The results of Lyndon Johnson's policies have been written about for 50 years now. Whether we are talking about Daniel Moynihan or George Gilder or Robert Rector, everybody points it out.

This breakdown in the family, which everybody knows is ruining America or is largely responsible for causing the moral decline in America, it didn't just happen. It happened because the U.S. Government and people in this body wanted to take money and give it to people living a certain lifestyle and take it from the tax dollars of people living the nuclear family lifestyle.

I strongly encourage people in this body, including Republican leadership, if we take control of this House 2 years from now with the Presidency, to make their number one priority flipping around these welfare programs which have done so much to lead to the moral decline that we have in America today.

I realize it means standing up to the media. It is standing up to—a former Democrat mayor of Milwaukee used to refer to the poverty pimps. I don't know whether they use that word anymore

It will take standing up to the poverty pimps and finally getting the

strength of the American family back where it was in the 1960s, back where it was in the 1950s where our schools seemed to be doing a better job, where it didn't seem like the crime was anywhere near as great as today.

By the way, things like the murder rate should be falling precipitously because of improved medical care, but we still have a higher murder rate today, last year, than we did in the fifties, which is just preposterous but one of the effects of Lyndon Johnson's war on the family.

I leave that goal for both the Republicans and Democrats, to stop and think what they can do to get the American family back where it was 60 years ago.

Those are some of what I consider the major issues in America, issues that should be talked about today and are, quite frankly, of more significance than some of the things that the media talks about.

I hope when we get done taking our break near the end of June that we do something to address the border, or President Biden would address it immediately, that we do something to address the huge number of drug overdoses and all the broken hearts out there of the people who died because of this problem.

I hope we begin to address the breakdown of the family that didn't just happen. It happened almost by design of the government. I hope we do something about the excessive spending, which results in this inflation that makes it difficult for people at all ages of life. But I think it must make it so difficult for the youngest people as they look forward: Can we buy a home? Can we have children?

ADJOURNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to section 11(b) of House Resolution 188, the House stands adjourned until 9 a.m. tomorrow.

Thereupon (at 9 o'clock and 10 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Thursday, June 9, 2022, at 9 a.m.

BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF PAYGO LEGISLATION

Pursuant to the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (PAYGO), Mr. YAR-

MUTH hereby submits, prior to the vote on passage, the attached estimate of the costs of H.R. 7776, the Water Resources Development Act of 2022, as

ESTIMATE OF PAY-AS-YOU-GO EFFECTS FOR H.R. 7776

amended, for printing in the CONGRES-SIONAL RECORD.

	By fiscal year, in millions of dollars—												
	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2022- 2027	2022- 2032
Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Impact	0	748	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-748	747	-1

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

EC-4312. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule - Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in United States Environmental Protection Agency Programs, State and Local Assistance, Research and Demonstration Grants, National Environmental Education Act Grants [EPA-HQ-OMS-2020-0018; 7573-01-OMS] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC_4313. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Mercury Cell Chlor-Alkali Plants Residual Risk and Technology Review [EPA-HQ-OAR-2020-0560; FRL-7546-02-OAR] (RIN: 2060-AU59) received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4314. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; NC: Great Smoky Mountains National Park, Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill and Rocky Mount Areas Limited Maintenance Plans for the 1997 8-Hour Ozone NAAQS [EPA-R04-OAR-2021-0062; FRL-9504-02-R4] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4315. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's interim final determination — Determination to Defer Sanctions; California; San Diego County Air Pollution Control District [EPA-R09-OAR-2022-0306; FRL-9713-02-R9] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4316. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; Kentucky; Fugitive Emissions Rule [EPA-R04-OAR-2021-0686; FRL-9124-02-R4] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4317. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; GA; Updates to References to Appendix W Modeling Guidelines [EPA-R04-OAR-2022-0187; FRL-9606-02-R4] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4318. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; California; Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, Placer County Air Pollution Control District [EPA-R09-OAR-2020-0573; FRL-9453-01-R9] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4319. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Quality State Implementation Plans; Approvals and Promulgations: California; Opacity Testing of Heavy-Duty Diesel Vehicles [EPA-R09-OAR-2021-0452; FRL-8834-02-R9] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4320. A letter from the Associate Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency's final rule — Air Plan Approval; SC; 2018 General Assembly Miscellaneous Revisions [EPA-R04-OAR-2020-0445; FRL-9621-02-R4] received May 10, 2022, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

EC-4321. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-434, "Fiscal Year 2022 Revised Local Budget Adjustment Temporary Act of 2022", pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-4322. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-435, "Removal and Disposition of Abandoned and Other Unlawfully Parked Vehicles Reform Amendment Act of 2022", pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

EC-4323. A letter from the Chairman, Council of the District of Columbia, transmitting D.C. Act 24-436, "Department of Motor Vehicles Extension of Deadlines Amendment Act of 2022", pursuant to Public Law 93-198, Sec. 602(c)(1); (87 Stat. 814); to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

CONSENSUS CALENDAR

Under clause 7 of the rule XV, the following motion was filed with the Clerk: Motion No. 5, June 8, 2022 by Ms. SCHAKOWSKY on H.R. 3630.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. CÁRDENAS:

H.R. 7976. A bill to amend the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974 to eliminate the use of valid court orders to secure lockup of status offenders, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Ms. WATERS:

H.R. 7977. A bill to amend the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to require the Advocate for Small Business Capital Formation to provide educational resources and host events to promote capital raising options for traditionally underrepresented small businesses, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Ms. WATERS:

H.R. 7978. A bill to make reforms to provide support for minority depository institutions, community development financial institutions, and minority lending institutions to promote and advance communities of color through inclusive lending, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mrs. MILLER-MEEKS:

H.R. 7979. A bill to allow certain funds provided in response to the public health emer-

gency with respect to COVID-19 to be made available for school security measures, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Ms. DEAN:

H.R. 7980. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to provide for deferment on the repayment of loans for borrowers who are victims of sexual violence, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Ms. DEAN (for herself, Mr. EVANS, Mr. FITZPATRICK, Ms. SCANLON, and Mr. BRENDAN F. BOYLE of Pennsylvania):

H.R. 7981. A bill to require qualifying smoke alarms in certain federally assisted housing, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mr. FOSTER:

H.R. 7982. A bill to amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to allow certain Federal student loans to be transferred from a parent to a child, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. GOODEN of Texas (for himself, Mr. JACKSON, Mr. DONALDS, Mr. WEBER of Texas, Mr. ELIZEY, Mrs. MILLER of Illinois, Mr. TIFFANY, Mr. BABIN, Mr. BUCK, and Ms. FOXX):

H.R. 7983. A bill to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to expand the requirements for the collection of biometric data, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committee on Homeland Security, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. MOONEY (for himself, Mr. Perry, Mrs. Boebert, Mrs. McClain, Mrs. Miller of Illinois, Mr. Moolenaar, and Ms. Tenney):

H.R. 7984. A bill to nullify Executive Order 14019 relating to promoting voter access; to the Committee on House Administration, and in addition to the Committees on the Judiciary, Oversight and Reform, and Science, Space, and Technology, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mrs. MURPHY of Florida:

H.R. 7985. A bill to require the Secretary of the Treasury to submit a report on the inflationary impact of certain tariffs, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Ms. PORTER (for herself and Mrs. STEEL):

H.R. 7986. A bill to award a Congressional Gold Medal posthumously to Dr. John Cheng, a hero who died protecting others on May 15, 2022; to the Committee on Financial Services.

By Mrs. RODGERS of Washington (for herself, Mr. Schneider, Mrs. Wagner, Mr. Trone, Mr. Bacon, and Mr. Panetta):

H.R. 7987. A bill to require the Secretary of Defense to seek cooperation with allies and partners in the Middle East to identify an architecture and develop an acquisition approach for certain countries in the Middle East to implement an integrated air and missile defense capability to protect the people, infrastructure, and territory of such countries from cruise and ballistic missiles, manned and unmanned aerial systems, and rocket attacks from Iran, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. RUIZ (for himself, Mr. LAMALFA, Mr. HUFFMAN, Mr. GARAMENDI, Mr. McCLINTOCK, Mr. THOMPSON of California, Mr. BERA, Mr. OBERNOLTE, Mr. MCNERNEY, Mr.

HARDER of California, DESAULNIER, Ms. LEE of California, Mr. SWALWELL, Mr. Costa, Ms. ESHOO, Ms. LOFGREN, Mr. PANETTA, Mr. Valadao, Mr. Carbajal, Ms. Chu, Ms. Brownley, Mr. Peters, Ms. Jacobs of California, Ms. Speier, Ms. WATERS, Ms. MATSUI, Mr. SCHIFF, Mr. CÁRDENAS, Mr.SHERMAN, MrAGUILAR, Mrs. NAPOLITANO, Mr. LIEU, Mr. Gomez, Mrs. Torres of California, Ms. Bass, Mrs. Kim of California, Ms. Roybal-Allard, Mr. TAKANO, Mr. CALVERT, Ms. BARRAGÁN, Ms. PORTER, Mr. CORREA, Ms. Mr. Lowenthal, Mr. Levin of California, Mr. Issa, Mr. Vargas, and Ms. SÁNCHEZ):

H.R. 7988. A bill to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 79125 Corporate Centre Drive in La Quinta, California, as the "Corporal Hunter Lopez Memorial Post Office Building"; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Ms. SCHRIER (for herself, Mrs. HAYES, and Ms. WASSERMAN SCHULTZ):

H.R. 7989. A bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to prevent food shortages, including shortages of infant formula and certain medical foods; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Ms. SEWELL (for herself, Mr. WENSTRUP, Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois, and Mr. MURPHY of North Carolina):

H.R. 7990. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to temporarily expand the low-income housing tax credit for healthcare-oriented housing; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. WALITZ (for himself, Mr. Crow, Ms. Kaptur, Mr. Bacon, Mr. Garamendi, Miss González-Colón, Ms. Norton, Mrs. Kim of California, and Mr. Fitzpatrick):

H.R. 7991. A bill to prohibit contracting with persons that have business operations with the Government of the Russian Federation or the Russian energy sector, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

By Ms. WILLIAMS of Georgia (for herself, Mr. VEASEY, Ms. BOURDEAUX, Ms. SEWELL, Mr. ALLRED, Mr. SCOTT of Virginia, Ms. JACOBS of California, Ms. NORTON, Ms. PLASKETT, Ms. ADAMS, Mr. PAYNE, Mr. CÁRDENAS, Mr. TONKO, Mr. NADLER, Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York, Mr. BEYER, Mr. LEVIN of Michigan, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. MANNING, Mr. CARSON, Ms. SÁNCHEZ, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. JOHNSON of Georgia, Mr. CLEAVER, Mr. LIEU, and Mr. SCHIFF):

H.R. 7992. A bill to establish a democracy advancement and innovation program, and for other purposes; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Ms. BONAMICI (for herself, Miss GONZÁLEZ-COLÓN, Mr. CONNOLLY, Mr. LANGEVIN, Mr. PANETTA, Mr. KILMER, Ms. MATSUI, Mr. HUFFMAN, Mr. BLU-MENAUER, Ms. BARRAGÁN, Mr. CASE, and Ms. BLUNT ROCHESTER):

H. Res. 1158. A resolution recognizing World Oceans Day and the necessity to protect, conserve, maintain, and rebuild our ocean and its resources; to the Committee on Natural Resources, and in addition to the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. McCARTHY:

H. Res. 1159. A resolution electing Members to certain standing committees of the House of Representatives; considered and agreed to. By Mr. FITZPATRICK:

H. Res. 1160. A resolution commending Sewa International USA and all its volunteers in chapters across America for their critical role in combating the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States, India, and several other countries; to the Committee on the Judiciary, and in addition to the Committees on Energy and Commerce, and Foreign Affairs, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. LEE of California (for herself and Ms. CLARKE of New York):

H. Res. 1161. A resolution recognizing the significance of National Caribbean American Heritage Month; to the Committee on Oversight and Reform.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT

Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Mr. CÁRDENAS:

H.R. 7976.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article 1, Section 1.

All legislative powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States, which shall consist of a Senate and House of Representative.

By Ms. WATERS:

H.R. 7977.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, clause 3 provides Congress with the power to "regulate commerce with foreign nations, and among the several states, and with the Indian tribes."

By Ms. WATERS:

H.R. 7978.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, clause 3 provides Congress with he power to "regulate commerce with foreign nations, and among the several states, and with the Indian tribes."

By Mrs. MILLER-MEEKS:

R.R. 7979.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S.C

By Ms. DEAN:

H.R. 7980.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Section I, Article 8

By Ms. DEAN:

H.R. 7981.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8

By Mr. FOSTER:

H.R. 7982.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

This bill is enacted pursuant to the power granted to Congress under Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. GOODEN of Texas:

H.R. 7983.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

The Constitutional authority on which this bill rests is the power of Congress to lay and collect taxes, duties, imposts, and excises to pay the debts and provide for the common Defense and general welfare of the United States, as enumerated in Article I, Section 8, Clause 1. Thus, Congress has the authority not only to increase taxes, but also, to reduce taxes to promote the general welfare of the United States of America and her citizens. Additionally, Congress has the Constitutional authority to regulate commerce among the States and with Indian Tribes, as enumerated in Article I, Section 8, Clause 3.

By Mr. MOONEY:

H.R. 7984.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 4, Clause 1

"The Times, Places and Manner of holding Elections for Senators and Representatives, shall be prescribed in each State by the Legislature thereof; but the Congress may at any time by Law make or alter such Regulations, except as to the Places of chusing Senators."

By Mrs. MURPHY of Florida:

H.R. 7985.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 1, which gives Congress the power to lay and collect taxes and provide for the general welfare of the United States.

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 3, which gives Congress the power to regulate commerce with foreign nations.

By Ms. PORTER:

H.R. 7986.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8 of the U.S. Constitution

By Mrs. RODGERS of Washington:

H.R. 7987.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

The constitutional authority on which this bill rests is the power of Congress to "provide for the common Defence", "to raise and support Armies", and "to make Rules for the Government and Regulation of the land and naval Forces" as enumerated in Article I, section 8 of the United States Constitution.

By Mr. RUIZ:

H.R. 7988 .

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, section 8, Clauses 1 and 18 of the United States Constitution, to provide for the general welfare and make all laws necessary and proper to carry out the powers of Congress.

By Ms. SCHRIER:

H.R. 7989.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I

By Ms. SEWELL:

H.R. 7990.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the U.S. Constitution

 $\operatorname{ByMr}.$ WALTZ:

H.R. 7991.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Clause 1 of Section 8 of Article I of the United States Constitution, which provides Congress with the ability to enact legislation necessary and proper to effectuate its purposes in taxing and spending.

By Ms. WILLIAMS of Georgia:

H.R. 7992.

Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following:

Article I, Section 8, Clause 1

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 72: Ms. HERRERA BEUTLER.

H.R. 369: Mr. QUIGLEY.

H.R. 432: Mr. Auchincloss.

H.R. 475: Mrs. BICE of Oklahoma.

H.R. 541: Mr. STEIL.

H.R. 623: Ms. WILLIAMS of Georgia.

H.R. 750: Mr. WOMACK, Mr. BENTZ, Ms. MALLIOTAKIS, and Mr. MANN.

H.R. 807: Mr. O'HALLERAN.

H.R. 825: Ms. Brown of Ohio, Ms. Sherrill, and Ms. LEGER FERNANDEZ.

H.R. 962: Mrs. BEATTY and Ms. WEXTON.

H.R. 1011: Mr. CARTER of Georgia, Mr. GREEN of Tennessee, and Mr. Webster of Florida.

H.R. 1016: Mrs. Carolyn B. Maloney of New York.

H.R. 1229: Mr. Bentz.

H.R. 1321: Mr. KAHELE.

H.R. 1352: Mr. CARBAJAL, Mr. SARBANES, Ms. WILSON of Florida, and Ms. KUSTER.

H.R. 1361: Mr. LANGEVIN.

H.R. 1456: Mr. EVANS. H.R. 1518: Mr. MANN and Mr. THOMPSON of Pennsylvania.

H.R. 1560: Ms. MOORE of Wisconsin and Ms. Bonamici.

H.R. 1567: Mr. DIAZ-BALART.

H.R. 1604: Mr. Womack, Mr. Diaz-Balart, Mr. Obernolte, and Mr. Bentz.

H.R. 1642: Mr. DIAZ-BALART. H.R. 1758: Mr. GOHMERT and Mr. MULLIN.

H.R. 1800: Ms. PORTER and Mrs. CAROLYN B. MALONEY of New York.

H.R. 1933: Mr. GALLEGO.

H.R. 1941: Mrs. Watson Coleman.

H.R. 1990: Mr. FEENSTRA, Ms. SEWELL, and Ms. Norton.

H.R. 2161: Ms. STEVENS, Mr. PAPPAS, and Mr. Bowman.

H.R. 2238: Mr. McNerney and Ms. Kuster.

H.R. 2271: Ms. DAVIDS of Kansas.

H.R. 2282: Mr. Casten.

H.R. 2376: Mr. KATKO.

H.R. 2415: Mr. LEVIN of Michigan.

H.R. 2447: Ms. NEWMAN and Mr. SUOZZI.

 $\rm H.R.$ 2448: Mrs. Hinson.

H.R. 2509: Mr. HUFFMAN.

H.R. 2525: Ms. MANNING and Mr. GALLEGO.

H.R. 2544: Mrs. Carolyn B. Maloney of New York and Ms. TITUS.

H.R. 2717: Mr. HUDSON and Mr. DIAZ-BALART.

H.R. 2750: Mrs. Watson Coleman.

H.R. 2773: Mr. Schneider, Mr. Keating, Mr. GOLDEN, and Mr. GOTTHEIMER.

H.R. 2814: Ms. Clark of Massachusetts, Ms. DELBENE, Ms. SPEIER, Ms. MANNING, Mr. JONES, Mr. POCAN, Mrs. LAWRENCE, and Mr. MORELLE.

H.R. 2828: Mr. FITZGERALD.

H.R. 2903: Mr. MICHAEL F. DOYLE of Pennsylvania and Mr. LATURNER.

H.R. 2907: Mr. Tonko.

H.R. 3031: Mr. CLEAVER.

H.R. 3077: Mr. DAVID SCOTT of Georgia.

H.R. 3087: Ms. SEWELL.

H.R. 3089: Ms. CRAIG, Ms. CASTOR of Florida, and Mr. WELCH.

H.R. 3109: Ms. Ross.

H.R. 3159: Mr. ESPAILLAT.

H.R. 3165: Ms. CASTOR of Florida and Mr. Brown of Maryland.

H.R. 3183: Mr. BUTTERFIELD.

H.R. 3215: Mr. WALTZ.

H.R. 3294: Mr. Costa.

H.R. 3297: Mr. PERLMUTTER and Mr. BACON.

H.R. 3402: Ms. Manning.

H.R. 3480: Mr. Peters, Mr. Sherman, Ms. CLARK of Massachusetts, Ms. Eshoo, Mr. SIRES, Mrs. CHERFILUS-MCCORMICK, RUSH, Ms. LOFGREN, Ms. NEWMAN, Mr. LAN-GEVIN, Mr. KAHELE, and Mr. VARGAS.

H.R. 3517: Mr. YARMUTH.

H.R. 3573: Ms. NORTON, Ms. MENG, and Mr. BEYER.

H.R. 3611: Ms. Scanlon. H.R. 3630: Ms. HERRELL.

H.R. 3733: Mr. Mast, Ms. Manning, Mr. OBERNOLTE, and Ms. Ross.

H.R. 3748: Mrs. Watson Coleman.

H.R. 3780: Mr. Schiff.

H.R. 3829: Mr. PANETTA.

H.R. 3865: Mr. TAKANO, Mr. GARCÍA of Illinois, and Mr. Suozzi.

H.R. 3897: Ms. DAVIDS of Kansas and Mr. Kelly of Mississippi.

H.R. 3906: Mr. LEVIN of Michigan.

H.R. 3907: Ms. Bush.

H.R. 3932: Mr. GARAMENDI and Mr. AUSTIN SCOTT of Georgia.

H.R. 3962: Mr. WILLIAMS of Texas and Mr. RUTHERFORD.

H.R. 4077: Ms. Plaskett.

H.R. 4108: Mrs. McBath.

H.R. 4141: Ms. CRAIG and Mr. LAHOOD.

H.R. 4151: Mrs. Napolitano and Mr. AGUILAR.

H.R. 4271: Ms. DELBENE and Ms. MANNING.

H.R. 4277: Ms. Barragán.

H.R. 4390: Mr. FITZPATRICK. H.R. 4436: Mr. CICILLINE.

H.R. 4479: Mr. FERGUSON.

H.R. 4546: Ms. Ross.

H.R. 4602: Ms. PINGREE.

H.R. 4750: Ms. TITUS and Mr. LEVIN of Michigan.

H.R. 4833: Ms. JAYAPAL.

H.R. 4853: Mr. Ruppersberger and Ms. CRAIG.

H.R. 4859: Mr. RUTHERFORD.

H.R. 5244: Ms. SCHAKOWSKY and Ms. KAP-

H.R. 5261: Mr. DEUTCH.

H.R. 5273: Ms. TITUS.

H.R. 5407: Mr. CASTEN.

H.R. 5503: Ms. Kelly of Illinois.

H.R. 5562: Mr. GOTTHEIMER.

H.R. 5568: Mr. McNerney.

H.R. 5581: Mr. PASCRELL. H.R. 5585: Ms. WILLIAMS of Georgia and Ms. Brown of Ohio.

H.R. 5606: Mr. BISHOP of Georgia.

H.R. 5611: Ms. TITUS.

H.R. 5684: Mr. WELCH, Mr. HUFFMAN, and Mr. Pappas.

H.R. 5726: Ms. TITUS.

H.R. 5750: Mr. BACON. H.R. 5775: Mr. EVANS and Mr. NADLER.

H.R. 5828: Ms. Malliotakis.

H.R. 5905: Mr. Jones.

H.R. 5919: Mr. GOTTHEIMER and Ms. ROY-BAL-ALLARD.

H.R. 5981: Mr. DEUTCH.

H.R. 6026: Ms. PINGREE.

H.R. 6094: Mr. AUCHINCLOSS and Mr. NAD-

H.R. 6109: Mr. GROTHMAN.

H.R. 6121: Ms. TITUS.

H.R. 6161: Ms. CHU and Mr. BUCHANAN.

H.R. 6219: Ms. Scanlon.

H.R. 6223: Mr. HIGGINS of Louisiana.

H.R. 6238: Ms. DEGETTE.

H.R. 6272: Mr. POCAN. H.R. 6290: Mrs. DINGELL.

H.R. 6370: Mr. SUOZZI.

H.R. 6398: Mr. PASCRELL.

H.R. 6411: Mrs. MILLER-MEEKS, Ms. WIL-LIAMS of Georgia, and Mr. CASTEN.

H.R. 6493: Mr. CASTEN and Mrs. CHERFILUS-McCormick.

H.R. 6519: Miss González-Colón.

H.R. 6532: Ms. NEWMAN and Mr. GRIJALVA.

H.R. 6567: Mr. STAUBER.

H.R. 6571: Mr. STAUBER. H.R. 6580: Ms. SCANLON and Ms. BUSH.

H.R. 6589: Ms. CHU.

H.R. 6643: Mr. RESCHENTHALER.

H.R. 6678: Mrs. Napolitano. H.R. 6681: Mr. GARAMENDI.

H.R. 6733: Mrs. Lesko.

H.R. 6801: Mr. CASTEN. H.R. 6852: Mr. RUTHERFORD and Mr. CÁRDENAS.

H.R. 6872: Mr. Schiff.

H.R. 6941: Ms. Barragán.

H.R. 7002: Mr. RYAN. H.R. 7011: Mr. FEENSTRA.

H.R. 7030: Mr. PAYNE and Mrs. TRAHAN.

H.R. 7051: Mr. TRONE and Mr. BUTTERFIELD. H.R. 7053: Mrs. Steel and Mr. Rutherford.

H.R. 7061: Mr. LANGEVIN.

H.R. 7078: Mr. FITZPATRICK, Mr. STANTON, Ms. TITUS, and Ms. MANNING.

H.R. 7174: Mr. CASE. H.R. 7213: Ms. Ross, Mr. Katko, Mr. Bili-

RAKIS, Ms. NORTON, and Mr. ARMSTRONG.

H.R. 7217: Ms. NEWMAN. H.R. 7219: Mr. Krishnamoorthi.

 $\rm H.R.$ 7236: Mr. Lowenthal, Ms. Titus, Mr. JONES, and Mr. STANTON.

H.R. 7240: Ms. Lois Frankel of Florida, Mr. KHANNA, Ms. MENG, Mrs. LAWRENCE, and Mr.

MEEKS. H.R. 7279: Mr. LIEU.

H.R. 7283: Mrs. Bustos, Mrs. MILLER of West Virginia, and Mr. RASKIN.

H.R. 7305: Mr. DEFAZIO.

H.R. 7350: Mr. LIEU.

H.R. 7365: Mr. TIFFANY.

H.R. 7379: Ms. Sherrill.

H.R. 7431: Mr. SAN NICOLAS, Mr. BISHOP of Georgia, and Mr. TRONE.

H.R. 7435: Mr. FEENSTRA.

H.R. 7468: Ms. Brownley and Mr. Bili-RAKIS.

H.R. 7477: Mr. KILMER, Ms. OMAR, Mr. McKinley, and Mr. Van Drew.

H.R. 7533: Mr. HIGGINS of New York.

H.R. 7537: Mr. VEASEY. H.R. 7539: Mr. DANNY K. DAVIS of Illinois.

H.R. 7541: Mr. AUCHINCLOSS and Mr. HIG-GINS of New York.

H.R. 7543: Mrs. Lawrence, Ms. Newman, and Ms. TITUS.

H.R. 7585: Mr. Sherman and Mr. Soto.

H.R. 7603: Mr. GRIJALVA.

H.R. 7641: Mr. Griffith.

H.R. 7651: Mr. Griffith and Mr. Barr. H.R. 7705: Mrs. McClain.

H.R. 7713: Mr. BANKS.

H.R. 7738: Mr. KILMER. H.R. 7775: Mr. CLEAVER, Ms. SÁNCHEZ, Mr. KILMER, Ms. VELÁZQUEZ, Mr. COLE, Ms. SALA-

ZAR, and Mrs. CHERFILUS-McCORMICK.

H.R. 7803: Ms. STRICKLAND.

H.R. 7830: Mr. RUTHERFORD. H.R. 7832; Mr. CÁRDENAS and Mr. RUIZ.

H.R. 7853: Mr. QUIGLEY and Mr. CASTEN.

H.R. 7861: Mr. BLUMENAUER.

H.R. 7871: Mr. LEVIN of California.

H.R. 7887: Mr. DIAZ-BALART.

H.R. 7892: Mrs. MILLER-MEEKS. H.R. 7925: Mr. Peters and Mr. Obernolte. H.R. 7942: Mr. LATURNER, Mr. HUIZENGA, Mr. GUTHRIE, Mr. STAUBER, and Mr. DIAZ-

BALART. H.R. 7945: Mr. BISHOP of Georgia.

H.R. 7946: Mr. Brown of Maryland. H.R. 7949: Mr. ESPAILLAT.

H.R. 7961: Mr. O'HALLERAN. H.R. 7966: Mrs. Wagner, Ms. Malliotakis, Mr. Reschenthaler, Mr. Meuser, Mr. Diaz-BALART, Ms. SALAZAR, Mrs. KIM of California, Mr. MANN, Mr. WITTMAN, CAMMACK, Mr. ROGERS of Alabama, Mrs. McClain, Mr. Burgess, Mr. Feenstra, Mr. BILIRAKIS, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. LATURNER, Ms. TENNEY, Mr. BENTZ, Mr. HUIZENGA, Mr. DUNN, Mr. CAWTHORN, Mr. GRAVES of Louisiana, Mr. Guthrie, Mr. Ferguson, Mr. STAUBER, Mr. DONALDS, Mr. GRIFFITH, Mr. BALDERSON, Mr. HILL, and Mr. JOHNSON of

Ohio. H.R. 7967: Ms. Stefanik.

H.R. 7969: Mr. BISHOP of Georgia and Ms. Ross.

H.R. 7970: Mr. HUFFMAN.

H.J. Res. 81: Mr. MULLIN and Mrs. CAMMACK.

- H.J. Res. 87: Ms. OCASIO-CORTEZ, Mr. NEGUSE, Ms. BUSH, Mr. HIGGINS of New York, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. PAYNE, and Mr. POSEY.
- H. Con. Res. 65: Mr. Armstrong, Ms. Craig, Mr. Rodney Davis of Illinois, Ms. Garcia of Texas, and Mr. Evans.
- H. Res. 434: Ms. Sánchez, Ms. Stansbury, and Miss González-Colón.
- H. Res. 517: Mr. HOYER.
- H. Res. 633: Mr. MOONEY.
- H. Res. 682: Mr. NORCROSS.
- H. Res. 891: Mr. LANGEVIN.
- $H.\ Res.\ 968:\ Mr.\ HIGGINS$ of Louisiana.
- H. Res. 1009: Mr. Blumenauer, Mr. Thompson of Mississippi, Mr. Raskin, Mr. Cleaver, Mr. Khanna, Ms. Porter, Mr. Correa, Mr. Welch, and Mr. Pascrell.
- H. Res. 1061: Mr. MOONEY.
- H. Res. 1074: Mr. MOONEY.
- H. Res. 1129: Mr. TRONE and Ms. NEWMAN.
- H. Res. 1132: Ms. STANSBURY, Mr. NADLER, Mr. GRIJALVA, and Mrs. FLETCHER.
- H. Res. 1137: Ms. WILLIAMS of Georgia, Mr. Khanna, Mr. Payne, Mrs. Cherfilus-McCor-Mick, Mr. Carter of Louisiana, and Mr. Gri-Jalva.
- H. Res. 1141: Ms. TITUS, Mr. DESAULNIER, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Ms. WILLIAMS of Georgia, Mr. CROW, Mr. KHANNA, Mr. BOWMAN, Mr. ALLRED, Ms. NEWMAN, and Ms. BLUNT ROCHESTER.
- H. Res. 1148: Mr. LATTA, Mr. WALBERG, Mr. JOHNSON of Ohio, Mr. GIBBS, Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania, Mr. AMODEI, Mr. SMUCKER, Mr. JOYCE of Ohio, Mr. KELLER, and Mr. DAVIDSON.
 - H. Res. 1149: Ms. JACKSON LEE.
- H. Res. 1152: Ms. PINGREE, Mr. PETERS, Mr. NEGUSE, and Ms. SLOTKIN.